



Home

Author

Review

Author Dashboard

5 Manuscripts with Decisions >

[Start New Submission](#) >

[5 Most Recent E-mails](#) >

Manuscripts with Decisions

ACTION	STATUS	ID	TITLE	SUBMITTED	DECISIONED
	Contact Journal CE: He, Wu EIC: He, Wu <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Accept (17-Mar-2024) Awaiting Production Checklist 	IDD-02-2023-0017.R4	Role of Age, Gender, and Cultural Factors as Moderator on Technology Acceptance of Online Entertainment	11-Feb-2024	17-Mar-2024
	view decision letter				
a revision has been submitted (IDD-02-2023-0017.R4)	Contact Journal CE: He, Wu EIC: He, Wu <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Minor Revision (31-Jan-2024) a revision has been submitted 	IDD-02-2023-0017.R3	The Role of Age, Gender, and Cultural Factors as Moderators on The Acceptance of Online Entertainment Technology View Submission	19-Jan-2024	31-Jan-2024



ACTION	STATUS	ID	TITLE	SUBMITTED	DECISIONED
view decision letter					
a revision has been submitted (IDD-02-2023-0017.R3)	✉ Contact Journal CE: He, Wu EIC: He, Wu <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Major Revision (28-Dec-2023) a revision has been submitted 	IDD-02-2023-0017.R2	The Role of Age, Gender, and Cultural Factors as Moderators on The Acceptance of Online Entertainment Technology View Submission	07-Oct-2023	28-Dec-2023
view decision letter					
a revision has been submitted (IDD-02-2023-0017.R2)	✉ Contact Journal CE: He, Wu EIC: He, Wu <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Major Revision (13-Aug-2023) a revision has been submitted 	IDD-02-2023-0017.R1	The Role of Age, Gender, and Cultural Factors as Moderators on The Acceptance of Online Entertainment Technology View Submission	23-Jun-2023	13-Aug-2023
view decision letter					
a revision has been submitted (IDD-02-2023-0017.R1)	✉ Contact Journal CE: He, Wu EIC: He, Wu <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Major Revision (05-May-2023) a revision has been submitted 	IDD-02-2023-0017	The Role of Age, Gender, and Cultural Factors as Moderators on The Acceptance of Online Entertainment Technology View Submission	16-Feb-2023	05-May-2023
view decision letter					

© Clarivate | © ScholarOne, Inc., 2024. All Rights Reserved.

ScholarOne Manuscripts and ScholarOne are registered trademarks of ScholarOne, Inc.

ScholarOne Manuscripts Patents #7,257,767 and #7,263,655.

[@Clarivate for Academia & Government](#) | [System Requirements](#) | [Privacy Statement](#) | [Terms of Use](#) | [Pengaturan Cookie](#)



Berdi <bharnadi@unika.ac.id>

Information Discovery and Delivery - IDD-02-2023-0017

Information Discovery and Delivery <onbehalf@manuscriptcentral.com>

Fri, Feb 17, 2023 at 9:56 AM

Reply-To: WHe@odu.edu

To: bharnadi@unika.ac.id

16-Feb-2023

Dear Dr. Harnadi:

Your manuscript entitled "The Role of Age, Gender, and Cultural Factors as Moderators on The Acceptance of Online Entertainment Technology" has been successfully submitted online and is presently being given full consideration for publication in the Information Discovery and Delivery.

Your manuscript ID is IDD-02-2023-0017.

Please mention the above manuscript ID in all future correspondence or when calling the office for questions. If there are any changes in your street address or e-mail address, please log in to Manuscript Central at <https://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/idd> and edit your user information as appropriate.

You can also view the status of your manuscript at any time by checking your Author Centre after logging in to <https://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/idd>.

Please note that Emerald requires you to clear permission to re-use any material not created by you. If there are permissions outstanding, please upload these when you submit your revision or send directly to Emerald if your paper is accepted immediately. Emerald is unable to publish your paper with permissions outstanding.

Thank you for submitting your manuscript to the Information Discovery and Delivery.

Sincerely,

Wu He

Information Discovery and Delivery



Berdi <bharnadi@unika.ac.id>

Information Discovery and Delivery - Author update

Information Discovery and Delivery <onbehalf@manuscriptcentral.com>

Thu, Apr 6, 2023 at 9:23 PM

Reply-To: WHe@odu.edu

To: bharnadi@unika.ac.id, yoga@unika.ac.id, hendra@unika.ac.id, ridwan@unika.ac.id, ranto@unika.ac.id

06-Apr-2023

Dear Author(s)

It is a pleasure to inform you that your manuscript titled The Role of Age, Gender, and Cultural Factors as Moderators on The Acceptance of Online Entertainment Technology (IDD-02-2023-0017) has passed initial screening and is now awaiting reviewer selection. The manuscript was submitted by Dr. Bernardinus Harnadi with you listed as a co-author. As you are listed as a co-author please log in to <https://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/idd> and check that your account details are complete and correct, these details will be used should the paper be accepted for publication.

Yours sincerely,

Wu He

Editorial Assistant, Information Discovery and Delivery

WHe@odu.edu



Berdi <bharnadi@unika.ac.id>

Information Discovery and Delivery - Decision on Manuscript ID IDD-02-2023-0017

Information Discovery and Delivery <onbehalf@manuscriptcentral.com>

Sat, May 6, 2023 at 8:17 AM

Reply-To: hewu@yahoo.com

To: bharnadi@unika.ac.id

05-May-2023

Dear Dr. Harnadi:

Manuscript ID IDD-02-2023-0017 entitled "The Role of Age, Gender, and Cultural Factors as Moderators on The Acceptance of Online Entertainment Technology" which you submitted to the Information Discovery and Delivery, has been reviewed. The comments of the reviewer(s) are included at the bottom of this letter.

The reviewer(s) have recommended publication, but also suggest some revisions to your manuscript. Therefore, I invite you to respond to the reviewer(s)' comments and revise your manuscript.

To revise your manuscript, log into <https://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/idd> and enter your Author Centre, where you will find your manuscript title listed under "Manuscripts with Decisions." Under "Actions," click on "Create a Revision." Your manuscript number has been appended to denote a revision.

You will be unable to make your revisions on the originally submitted version of the manuscript. Instead, revise your manuscript using a word processing program and save it on your computer. Please also highlight the changes to your manuscript within the document by using the track changes mode in MS Word or by using bold or coloured text.

Once the revised manuscript is prepared, you can upload it and submit it through your Author Centre.

When submitting your revised manuscript, you will be able to respond to the comments made by the reviewer(s) in the space provided. You can use this space to document any changes you make to the original manuscript. In order to expedite the processing of the revised manuscript, please be as specific as possible in your response to the reviewer(s).

IMPORTANT: Your original files are available to you when you upload your revised manuscript. Please delete any redundant files before completing the submission.

Because we are trying to facilitate timely publication of manuscripts submitted to the Information Discovery and Delivery, your revised manuscript should be uploaded as soon as possible. If it is not possible for you to submit your revision in a reasonable amount of time, we may have to consider your paper as a new submission.

Once again, thank you for submitting your manuscript to the Information Discovery and Delivery and I look forward to receiving your revision.

Sincerely,
Dr. Wu He
Editor, Information Discovery and Delivery
hewu@yahoo.com

Reviewer(s)' and Co-Editor Comments to Author:

Reviewer: 1

Recommendation: Major Revision

Comments:

In general, the topic of the paper is uninteresting as it does not provide any novel insights into the factors that affect technology acceptance. While the author claims to be innovative by combining age, gender, and cultural factors as moderators in the technology acceptance behavior model, these factors have already been widely examined in previous studies, either as control variables or individually. Despite the attempt to include them together, these moderators do not have any intersection in the model, making the paper not innovative. Moreover, the paper has several minor issues, such as irrelevant or redundant information, lack of citations, and unclear sentences. Additionally, the literature review needs to specify the theoretical framework used, and the proposed theoretical model

and hypotheses should include both direct and moderating effects. Finally, the findings should be integrated into the theoretical framework and discussed in detail.

To address the specific issues mentioned in the passage:

1. <the total population of the world is 8.01 billion where 5.16 billion of them are internet users; and 5.44 billion of them are unique mobile phone users.> ----The sentence about mobile phone users can be removed since it is not relevant to the paper's topic.
2. <Based on these data, there has been three times increase in the use of gadgets and digital resources for daily activities compared to 2022.>----The sentence about the increase in the use of gadgets and digital resources needs to be supported by number and clarified regarding its relevance to the paper.
- 3.<Online entertainment is one of the most popular activities, especially during the Covid-19 pandemic and post pandemic.>----The statement about online entertainment's popularity during the pandemic needs to be supported by a citation.
4. <. In Indonesia, there are 276.4 million of total population with the number of internet users are 212.9 million in January 2023. Interestingly, the mobile connections are 353.8 million, which are equivalent to 128 percent of the total population (Kemp, 2023a)>----The information about Indonesia's population and internet usage can be considered redundant and can be removed.
5. <Moreover, the research on cultural differences in the acceptance of the technology is still limited>---The importance of cultural differences in technology acceptance needs to be explained before making any claims about its limited research.
6. < technology acceptance depends on age, gender, and cultural factors.>---The sentence about technology acceptance and age, gender, and cultural factors can be rephrased for better fluency.
7. The literature review needs to specify the theoretical framework used, and the tables need to be explained and integrated into the text. Additionally, the theoretical basis for age, gender, and cultural factors needs to be discussed.
8. The findings need to be integrated into the theoretical framework and discussed in detail rather than just presenting a table of results.

Additional Questions:

1. Originality: Does the paper contain new and significant information adequate to justify publication?: Not really
2. Relationship to Literature: Does the paper demonstrate an adequate understanding of the relevant literature in the field and cite an appropriate range of literature sources? Is any significant work ignored?: Yes
3. Methodology: Is the paper's argument built on an appropriate base of theory, concepts, or other ideas? Has the research or equivalent intellectual work on which the paper is based been well designed? Are the methods employed appropriate?: Yes
4. Results: Are results presented clearly and analysed appropriately? Do the conclusions adequately tie together the other elements of the paper?: Yes
5. Implications for research, practice and/or society: Does the paper identify clearly any implications for research, practice and/or society? Does the paper bridge the gap between theory and practice? How can the research be used in practice (economic and commercial impact), in teaching, to influence public policy, in research (contributing to the body of knowledge)? What is the impact upon society (influencing public attitudes, affecting quality of life)? Are these implications consistent with the findings and conclusions of the paper?: Not really
6. Quality of Communication: Does the paper clearly express its case, measured against the technical language of the field and the expected knowledge of the journal's readership? Has attention been paid to the clarity of expression and readability, such as sentence structure, jargon use, acronyms, etc.: Yes

Reviewer: 2

Recommendation: Minor Revision

Comments:

Please see comments in #4 above regarding the implication for research, practice, and society. As well as the comments in #6 above regarding the need for additional proofreading.

Additional Questions:

1. Originality: Does the paper contain new and significant information adequate to justify publication?: In this paper, the authors conducted research on the acceptance of online entertainment technology based on age, gender, and cultural factors as moderators. Although research on the acceptance of entertainment technologies is not rare. As the authors pointed out, research on the acceptance using cultural factors as a moderator is limited and is worth investigating.
2. Relationship to Literature: Does the paper demonstrate an adequate understanding of the relevant literature in the field and cite an appropriate range of literature sources? Is any significant work ignored?: The authors have conducted a thorough literature review on existing literature to justify their study as well as the choosing of moderating

factors.

3. Methodology: Is the paper's argument built on an appropriate base of theory, concepts, or other ideas? Has the research or equivalent intellectual work on which the paper is based been well designed? Are the methods employed appropriate?: The methodology chosen for this study is appropriate.

4. Results: Are results presented clearly and analysed appropriately? Do the conclusions adequately tie together the other elements of the paper?: The results of the paper is clearly presented in a logical fashion.

5. Implications for research, practice and/or society: Does the paper identify clearly any implications for research, practice and/or society? Does the paper bridge the gap between theory and practice? How can the research be used in practice (economic and commercial impact), in teaching, to influence public policy, in research (contributing to the body of knowledge)? What is the impact upon society (influencing public attitudes, affecting quality of life)? Are these implications consistent with the findings and conclusions of the paper?: The implications for research, practice, and society can be further explained.

6. Quality of Communication: Does the paper clearly express its case, measured against the technical language of the field and the expected knowledge of the journal's readership? Has attention been paid to the clarity of expression and readability, such as sentence structure, jargon use, acronyms, etc.: It's a well-written paper overall and is easy to read. It will be beneficial to have another round of proof-reading to correct some of the minor grammar or writing issues. For example, in the Abstract, the authors wrote: This research contributes not only limited to the knowledge ..." I think they meant to say " This research contributes not only to the limited knowledge on .."

Co-Editor

Comments to the Author:

(There are no comments.)



Berdi <bharnadi@unika.ac.id>

Information Discovery and Delivery - IDD-02-2023-0017.R1

Information Discovery and Delivery <onbehalfof@manuscriptcentral.com>

Fri, Jun 23, 2023 at 1:06 PM

Reply-To: WHe@odu.edu

To: bharnadi@unika.ac.id

23-Jun-2023

Dear Dr. Harnadi:

Your manuscript entitled "The Role of Age, Gender, and Cultural Factors as Moderators on The Acceptance of Online Entertainment Technology" has been successfully submitted online and is presently being given full consideration for publication in the Information Discovery and Delivery.

Your manuscript ID is IDD-02-2023-0017.R1.

Please mention the above manuscript ID in all future correspondence or when calling the office for questions. If there are any changes in your street address or e-mail address, please log in to Manuscript Central at <https://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/idd> and edit your user information as appropriate.

You can also view the status of your manuscript at any time by checking your Author Centre after logging in to <https://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/idd>.

Please note that Emerald requires you to clear permission to re-use any material not created by you. If there are permissions outstanding, please upload these when you submit your revision or send directly to Emerald if your paper is accepted immediately. Emerald is unable to publish your paper with permissions outstanding.

Thank you for submitting your manuscript to the Information Discovery and Delivery.

Sincerely,

Wu He

Information Discovery and Delivery



Berdi <bharnadi@unika.ac.id>

Information Discovery and Delivery - Decision on Manuscript ID IDD-02-2023-0017.R1

Information Discovery and Delivery <onbehalf@manuscriptcentral.com>

Sun, Aug 13, 2023 at 8:36 PM

Reply-To: hewu@yahoo.com

To: bharnadi@unika.ac.id

13-Aug-2023

Dear Dr. Harnadi:

Manuscript ID IDD-02-2023-0017.R1 entitled "The Role of Age, Gender, and Cultural Factors as Moderators on The Acceptance of Online Entertainment Technology" which you submitted to the Information Discovery and Delivery, has been reviewed. The comments of the reviewer(s) are included at the bottom of this letter.

The reviewer(s) have recommended publication, but also suggest some revisions to your manuscript. Therefore, I invite you to respond to the reviewer(s)' comments and revise your manuscript.

To revise your manuscript, log into <https://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/idd> and enter your Author Centre, where you will find your manuscript title listed under "Manuscripts with Decisions." Under "Actions," click on "Create a Revision." Your manuscript number has been appended to denote a revision.

You will be unable to make your revisions on the originally submitted version of the manuscript. Instead, revise your manuscript using a word processing program and save it on your computer. Please also highlight the changes to your manuscript within the document by using the track changes mode in MS Word or by using bold or coloured text.

Once the revised manuscript is prepared, you can upload it and submit it through your Author Centre.

When submitting your revised manuscript, you will be able to respond to the comments made by the reviewer(s) in the space provided. You can use this space to document any changes you make to the original manuscript. In order to expedite the processing of the revised manuscript, please be as specific as possible in your response to the reviewer(s).

IMPORTANT: Your original files are available to you when you upload your revised manuscript. Please delete any redundant files before completing the submission.

Because we are trying to facilitate timely publication of manuscripts submitted to the Information Discovery and Delivery, your revised manuscript should be uploaded as soon as possible. If it is not possible for you to submit your revision in a reasonable amount of time, we may have to consider your paper as a new submission.

Once again, thank you for submitting your manuscript to the Information Discovery and Delivery and I look forward to receiving your revision.

Sincerely,
Dr. Wu He
Editor, Information Discovery and Delivery
hewu@yahoo.com

Reviewer(s)' and Co-Editor Comments to Author:

Reviewer: 1

Recommendation: Reject

Comments:

Thank you for providing the revised version and explaining the previous concerns. However, the primary deficiency of this paper remains unresolved. While the influence of age, gender, and cultural differences on technology acceptance has been well developed and examined individually, this paper simply combines them without offering any truly innovative insights. These factors have already been widely examined as control variables in previous research. Therefore, I suggest that the authors investigate the joint effects of age, gender, and cultural differences rather than focusing solely on their individual effects. This approach may lead to more interesting findings.

Additional Questions:

1. Originality: Does the paper contain new and significant information adequate to justify publication?: See comments
2. Relationship to Literature: Does the paper demonstrate an adequate understanding of the relevant literature in the field and cite an appropriate range of literature sources? Is any significant work ignored?: See comments
3. Methodology: Is the paper's argument built on an appropriate base of theory, concepts, or other ideas? Has the research or equivalent intellectual work on which the paper is based been well designed? Are the methods employed appropriate?: See comments
4. Results: Are results presented clearly and analysed appropriately? Do the conclusions adequately tie together the other elements of the paper?: See comments
5. Implications for research, practice and/or society: Does the paper identify clearly any implications for research, practice and/or society? Does the paper bridge the gap between theory and practice? How can the research be used in practice (economic and commercial impact), in teaching, to influence public policy, in research (contributing to the body of knowledge)? What is the impact upon society (influencing public attitudes, affecting quality of life)? Are these implications consistent with the findings and conclusions of the paper?: See comments
6. Quality of Communication: Does the paper clearly express its case, measured against the technical language of the field and the expected knowledge of the journal's readership? Has attention been paid to the clarity of expression and readability, such as sentence structure, jargon use, acronyms, etc.: See comments

Reviewer: 2

Recommendation: Accept

Comments:

See comment for correction in 6. Quality of Communication.

Additional Questions:

1. Originality: Does the paper contain new and significant information adequate to justify publication?: In this paper, the authors conducted a research on the acceptance of online entertainment technology based on age, gender, and cultural factors as moderators. Although research on the acceptance of entertainment technologies is not rare. As the authors pointed out, research on the acceptance using cultural factors as a moderator is limited and is worth investigation.
2. Relationship to Literature: Does the paper demonstrate an adequate understanding of the relevant literature in the field and cite an appropriate range of literature sources? Is any significant work ignored?: The authors have conducted a thorough literature review on existing literature to justify their study as well as the choosing of moderating factors.
3. Methodology: Is the paper's argument built on an appropriate base of theory, concepts, or other ideas? Has the research or equivalent intellectual work on which the paper is based been well designed? Are the methods employed appropriate?: The methodology chosen for this study is appropriate.
4. Results: Are results presented clearly and analysed appropriately? Do the conclusions adequately tie together the other elements of the paper?: The results of the paper is clearly presented.
5. Implications for research, practice and/or society: Does the paper identify clearly any implications for research, practice and/or society? Does the paper bridge the gap between theory and practice? How can the research be used in practice (economic and commercial impact), in teaching, to influence public policy, in research (contributing to the body of knowledge)? What is the impact upon society (influencing public attitudes, affecting quality of life)? Are these implications consistent with the findings and conclusions of the paper?: The implication of the research for practice and society is thoroughly discussed.
6. Quality of Communication: Does the paper clearly express its case, measured against the technical language of the field and the expected knowledge of the journal's readership? Has attention been paid to the clarity of expression and readability, such as sentence structure, jargon use, acronyms, etc.: The paper is well-written overall.

I believe there is a typo in the Discussion and Conclusion section, line 26, where the authors referenced Table 19. Based on the context, I believe it should be Table 18.

Reviewer: 3

Recommendation: Minor Revision

Comments:

Good idea in me. However, needs some corrections and for that please refer to the comments I have provided here, which in my mind are to help you. All the best then.

Additional Questions:

1. Originality: Does the paper contain new and significant information adequate to justify publication?: Yes, the context

Some flaws identified:

1. Data were not Data was...found on page #1, line #13, Abstract [check others for consistency]
2. See page # 9, Gender has significant moderating effect...you added Lee (2009), Venkatesh (2003) and Wang and Wang (2008) - what that they discovered in their findings need to be explained further in this section. The same also goes to Age...check others too for consistency. You're matured authors!

2. Relationship to Literature: Does the paper demonstrate an adequate understanding of the relevant literature in the field and cite an appropriate range of literature sources? Is any significant work ignored?: Yes, details are needed in some areas. For instance on page #9 lines # 5-6, you mentioned about 3.3 Price value and behavioural intention. The brief explanations given were not realistic and need your attention and energy to elaborate more.

3. Methodology: Is the paper's argument built on an appropriate base of theory, concepts, or other ideas? Has the research or equivalent intellectual work on which the paper is based been well designed? Are the methods employed appropriate?: Reads your Research Method, you mentioned SPSS on page #9, line #53, please add this work to tell your reader about the parsimonious of SPSS, which is better than other statistical tools:

++Amin, H. (2022). An analysis of online sadaqah acceptance among university graduates in Malaysia. *International Journal of Islamic and Middle Eastern Finance and Management*, 15(6), 1019-1034.

4. Results: Are results presented clearly and analysed appropriately? Do the conclusions adequately tie together the other elements of the paper?: Yes, look many BUT acceptable.

5. Implications for research, practice and/or society: Does the paper identify clearly any implications for research, practice and/or society? Does the paper bridge the gap between theory and practice? How can the research be used in practice (economic and commercial impact), in teaching, to influence public policy, in research (contributing to the body of knowledge)? What is the impact upon society (influencing public attitudes, affecting quality of life)? Are these implications consistent with the findings and conclusions of the paper?: This is Journal article publication and as such I suggest you to separate discussion from conclusion. Let them separate to allow better flow of knowledge and improved citations. See page #18, line #10.

6. Quality of Communication: Does the paper clearly express its case, measured against the technical language of the field and the expected knowledge of the journal's readership? Has attention been paid to the clarity of expression and readability, such as sentence structure, jargon use, acronyms, etc.: For me, the English is fine HOWEVER but the references SHOULD BE formatted according to the Journal's. Otherwise, it can delay your publication as this requires extra job to the third-party associated with the Emerald Publisher.

Co-Editor

Comments to the Author:

(There are no comments.)



Berdi <bharnadi@unika.ac.id>

Information Discovery and Delivery - IDD-02-2023-0017.R2

Information Discovery and Delivery <onbehalfof@manuscriptcentral.com>

Sat, Oct 7, 2023 at 1:02 PM

Reply-To: WHe@odu.edu

To: bharnadi@unika.ac.id

07-Oct-2023

Dear Dr. Harnadi:

Your manuscript entitled "The Role of Age, Gender, and Cultural Factors as Moderators on The Acceptance of Online Entertainment Technology" has been successfully submitted online and is presently being given full consideration for publication in the Information Discovery and Delivery.

Your manuscript ID is IDD-02-2023-0017.R2.

Please mention the above manuscript ID in all future correspondence or when calling the office for questions. If there are any changes in your street address or e-mail address, please log in to Manuscript Central at <https://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/idd> and edit your user information as appropriate.

You can also view the status of your manuscript at any time by checking your Author Centre after logging in to <https://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/idd>.

Please note that Emerald requires you to clear permission to re-use any material not created by you. If there are permissions outstanding, please upload these when you submit your revision or send directly to Emerald if your paper is accepted immediately. Emerald is unable to publish your paper with permissions outstanding.

Thank you for submitting your manuscript to the Information Discovery and Delivery.

Sincerely,

Wu He

Information Discovery and Delivery



Berdi <bharnadi@unika.ac.id>

Information Discovery and Delivery - Decision on Manuscript ID IDD-02-2023-0017.R2

Information Discovery and Delivery <onbehalf@manuscriptcentral.com>

Thu, Dec 28, 2023 at 11:29 PM

Reply-To: hewu@yahoo.com

To: bharnadi@unika.ac.id

28-Dec-2023

Dear Dr. Harnadi:

Manuscript ID IDD-02-2023-0017.R2 entitled "The Role of Age, Gender, and Cultural Factors as Moderators on The Acceptance of Online Entertainment Technology" which you submitted to the Information Discovery and Delivery, has been reviewed. The comments of the reviewer(s) are included at the bottom of this letter.

The reviewer(s) have recommended publication, but also suggest some revisions to your manuscript. Therefore, I invite you to respond to the reviewer(s)' comments and revise your manuscript.

To revise your manuscript, log into <https://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/idd> and enter your Author Centre, where you will find your manuscript title listed under "Manuscripts with Decisions." Under "Actions," click on "Create a Revision." Your manuscript number has been appended to denote a revision.

You will be unable to make your revisions on the originally submitted version of the manuscript. Instead, revise your manuscript using a word processing program and save it on your computer. Please also highlight the changes to your manuscript within the document by using the track changes mode in MS Word or by using bold or coloured text.

Once the revised manuscript is prepared, you can upload it and submit it through your Author Centre.

When submitting your revised manuscript, you will be able to respond to the comments made by the reviewer(s) in the space provided. You can use this space to document any changes you make to the original manuscript. In order to expedite the processing of the revised manuscript, please be as specific as possible in your response to the reviewer(s).

IMPORTANT: Your original files are available to you when you upload your revised manuscript. Please delete any redundant files before completing the submission.

Because we are trying to facilitate timely publication of manuscripts submitted to the Information Discovery and Delivery, your revised manuscript should be uploaded as soon as possible. If it is not possible for you to submit your revision in a reasonable amount of time, we may have to consider your paper as a new submission.

Once again, thank you for submitting your manuscript to the Information Discovery and Delivery and I look forward to receiving your revision.

Sincerely,
Dr. Wu He
Editor, Information Discovery and Delivery
hewu@yahoo.com

Reviewer(s)' and Co-Editor Comments to Author:

Reviewer: 1

Recommendation: Reject

Comments:

I can see that the author aims to challenge the UTAUT2 framework by shifting the role of habit from being a predictor of Behavioral Intention (BI) to being a mediator of BI. The authors provide supporting evidence from the study conducted by Khatimah, H., Susanto, P., & Abdullah, N. L. (2019), titled "Hedonic motivation and social influence on the behavioral intention of e-money: The role of payment habit as a mediator," published in the International Journal of Entrepreneurship, 23(1), 1-9.

Upon conducting a thorough analysis of the evidence (Khatimah (2019)) presented in this study, it becomes clear that the argument lacks sufficient support. In my evaluation, I find that this paper does not meet the criteria of a well-constructed research work and lacks substantial evidence to substantiate its claims.

For instance, let's look at the Hypothesis 1 in Khatimah paper, which posits that "Hedonic motivation positively affects payment habit towards the behavioral intention of e-money users." The argument presented in the paper is "Motivation as the...it brings emotional, multisensory and fantasy as crucial factors of the hedonic values and utilitarian for consumers." However, throughout the entire section, there is no mention of the concept of habit. It is perplexing how the author extrapolated the notion of habit within this hypothesis, as there is no apparent connection or explanation provided. Same issue for the Khatimah papers' hypo 2.

Also, the journal "International Journal of Entrepreneurship" is discontinued in Scopus as of 2021.

Unfortunately, I believe the author didn't check their key source paper's quality carefully and misled by it. Therefore, I cannot support the author's argument (Habit is mediator) based on this particular evidence.

Furthermore, it is essential to note that all other relationships between the author's predictors and outcomes have been thoroughly explored in previous studies. Consequently, I do not believe this paper contributes any new knowledge to the field.

Additional Questions:

1. Originality: Does the paper contain new and significant information adequate to justify publication?: See the comments
2. Relationship to Literature: Does the paper demonstrate an adequate understanding of the relevant literature in the field and cite an appropriate range of literature sources? Is any significant work ignored?: See the comments
3. Methodology: Is the paper's argument built on an appropriate base of theory, concepts, or other ideas? Has the research or equivalent intellectual work on which the paper is based been well designed? Are the methods employed appropriate?: See the comments
4. Results: Are results presented clearly and analysed appropriately? Do the conclusions adequately tie together the other elements of the paper?: See the comments
5. Implications for research, practice and/or society: Does the paper identify clearly any implications for research, practice and/or society? Does the paper bridge the gap between theory and practice? How can the research be used in practice (economic and commercial impact), in teaching, to influence public policy, in research (contributing to the body of knowledge)? What is the impact upon society (influencing public attitudes, affecting quality of life)? Are these implications consistent with the findings and conclusions of the paper?: See the comments
6. Quality of Communication: Does the paper clearly express its case, measured against the technical language of the field and the expected knowledge of the journal's readership? Has attention been paid to the clarity of expression and readability, such as sentence structure, jargon use, acronyms, etc.: See the comments

Reviewer: 2

Recommendation: Minor Revision

Comments:

Good effort! However, please revise these two issues seriously:

+ Amin H (2012) change to Amin (2022), page #10

+3.3 Price Value and Behavioural Intention [Please provide further expositions], page #9

All the best then.

Additional Questions:

1. Originality: Does the paper contain new and significant information adequate to justify publication?: Yes, the research design divulged the merits of this work.
2. Relationship to Literature: Does the paper demonstrate an adequate understanding of the relevant literature in the field and cite an appropriate range of literature sources? Is any significant work ignored?: 3.3 Price Value and Behavioural Intention (Found on page #9) Can you elaborate more on the studies cited here, Baabdullah et al. (2019) and Alalwan et al. (2018)...Besides add 2 more?
3. Methodology: Is the paper's argument built on an appropriate base of theory, concepts, or other ideas? Has the research or equivalent intellectual work on which the paper is based been well designed? Are the methods employed appropriate?: Please correct this, Amin H (2012) to Amin (2022). Found on page #10
4. Results: Are results presented clearly and analysed appropriately? Do the conclusions adequately tie together the

other elements of the paper?: Okay

5. Implications for research, practice and/or society: Does the paper identify clearly any implications for research, practice and/or society? Does the paper bridge the gap between theory and practice? How can the research be used in practice (economic and commercial impact), in teaching, to influence public policy, in research (contributing to the body of knowledge)? What is the impact upon society (influencing public attitudes, affecting quality of life)? Are these implications consistent with the findings and conclusions of the paper?: Okay

6. Quality of Communication: Does the paper clearly express its case, measured against the technical language of the field and the expected knowledge of the journal's readership? Has attention been paid to the clarity of expression and readability, such as sentence structure, jargon use, acronyms, etc.: Okay

Co-Editor

Comments to the Author:

(There are no comments.)



Berdi <bharnadi@unika.ac.id>

Information Discovery and Delivery - IDD-02-2023-0017.R3

Information Discovery and Delivery <onbehalf@manuscriptcentral.com>

Fri, Jan 19, 2024 at 1:56 PM

Reply-To: WHe@odu.edu

To: bharnadi@unika.ac.id

19-Jan-2024

Dear Dr. Harnadi:

Your manuscript entitled "The Role of Age, Gender, and Cultural Factors as Moderators on The Acceptance of Online Entertainment Technology" has been successfully submitted online and is presently being given full consideration for publication in the Information Discovery and Delivery.

Your manuscript ID is IDD-02-2023-0017.R3.

Please mention the above manuscript ID in all future correspondence or when calling the office for questions. If there are any changes in your street address or e-mail address, please log in to Manuscript Central at <https://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/idd> and edit your user information as appropriate.

You can also view the status of your manuscript at any time by checking your Author Centre after logging in to <https://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/idd>.

Please note that Emerald requires you to clear permission to re-use any material not created by you. If there are permissions outstanding, please upload these when you submit your revision or send directly to Emerald if your paper is accepted immediately. Emerald is unable to publish your paper with permissions outstanding.

Thank you for submitting your manuscript to the Information Discovery and Delivery.

Sincerely,

Wu He

Information Discovery and Delivery



Berdi <bharnadi@unika.ac.id>

Information Discovery and Delivery - Decision on Manuscript ID IDD-02-2023-0017.R3

Information Discovery and Delivery <onbehalf@manuscriptcentral.com>

Thu, Feb 1, 2024 at 7:07 AM

Reply-To: hewu@yahoo.com

To: bharnadi@unika.ac.id

31-Jan-2024

Dear Dr. Harnadi: Manuscript ID IDD-02-2023-0017.R3 entitled "The Role of Age, Gender, and Cultural Factors as Moderators on The Acceptance of Online Entertainment Technology" which you submitted to the Information Discovery and Delivery, has been reviewed. The comments of the reviewer(s) are included at the bottom of this letter.

The reviewer(s) have recommended publication, but also suggest some minor revisions to your manuscript. Therefore, I invite you to respond to the reviewer(s)' comments and revise your manuscript.

To revise your manuscript, log into <https://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/idd> and enter your Author Centre, where you will find your manuscript title listed under "Manuscripts with Decisions." Under "Actions," click on "Create a Revision." Your manuscript number has been appended to denote a revision.

You will be unable to make your revisions on the originally submitted version of the manuscript. Instead, revise your manuscript using a word processing program and save it on your computer. Please also highlight the changes to your manuscript within the document by using the track changes mode in MS Word or by using bold or coloured text.

Once the revised manuscript is prepared, you can upload it and submit it through your Author Centre.

When submitting your revised manuscript, you will be able to respond to the comments made by the reviewer(s) in the space provided. You can use this space to document any changes you make to the original manuscript. In order to expedite the processing of the revised manuscript, please be as specific as possible in your response to the reviewer(s).

IMPORTANT: Your original files are available to you when you upload your revised manuscript. Please delete any redundant files before completing the submission.

Because we are trying to facilitate timely publication of manuscripts submitted to the Information Discovery and Delivery, your revised manuscript should be uploaded as soon as possible. If it is not possible for you to submit your revision in a reasonable amount of time, we may have to consider your paper as a new submission.

Once again, thank you for submitting your manuscript to the Information Discovery and Delivery and I look forward to receiving your revision.

Sincerely,
Dr. Wu He
Editor, Information Discovery and Delivery
hewu@yahoo.com

Reviewer(s)' and Co-Editor Comments to Author:

Reviewer: 1

Recommendation: Accept

Comments:
Congrats and all the best then.

Additional Questions:

1. Originality: Does the paper contain new and significant information adequate to justify publication?: Yes, the context addressed in this study is sufficient for publication.

2. Relationship to Literature: Does the paper demonstrate an adequate understanding of the relevant literature in the field and cite an appropriate range of literature sources? Is any significant work ignored?: Accept.

3. Methodology: Is the paper's argument built on an appropriate base of theory, concepts, or other ideas? Has the research or equivalent intellectual work on which the paper is based been well designed? Are the methods employed appropriate?: Accept.

4. Results: Are results presented clearly and analysed appropriately? Do the conclusions adequately tie together the other elements of the paper?: Accept.

5. Implications for research, practice and/or society: Does the paper identify clearly any implications for research, practice and/or society? Does the paper bridge the gap between theory and practice? How can the research be used in practice (economic and commercial impact), in teaching, to influence public policy, in research (contributing to the body of knowledge)? What is the impact upon society (influencing public attitudes, affecting quality of life)? Are these implications consistent with the findings and conclusions of the paper?: Now clear and understandable. I have no comment.

6. Quality of Communication: Does the paper clearly express its case, measured against the technical language of the field and the expected knowledge of the journal's readership? Has attention been paid to the clarity of expression and readability, such as sentence structure, jargon use, acronyms, etc.: Easy to follow.

Reviewer: 2

Recommendation: Accept

Comments:

Solved all my concerns. Good luck.

Additional Questions:

1. Originality: Does the paper contain new and significant information adequate to justify publication?: See the comments

2. Relationship to Literature: Does the paper demonstrate an adequate understanding of the relevant literature in the field and cite an appropriate range of literature sources? Is any significant work ignored?: See the comments

3. Methodology: Is the paper's argument built on an appropriate base of theory, concepts, or other ideas? Has the research or equivalent intellectual work on which the paper is based been well designed? Are the methods employed appropriate?: See the comments

4. Results: Are results presented clearly and analysed appropriately? Do the conclusions adequately tie together the other elements of the paper?: See the comments

5. Implications for research, practice and/or society: Does the paper identify clearly any implications for research, practice and/or society? Does the paper bridge the gap between theory and practice? How can the research be used in practice (economic and commercial impact), in teaching, to influence public policy, in research (contributing to the body of knowledge)? What is the impact upon society (influencing public attitudes, affecting quality of life)? Are these implications consistent with the findings and conclusions of the paper?: See the comments

6. Quality of Communication: Does the paper clearly express its case, measured against the technical language of the field and the expected knowledge of the journal's readership? Has attention been paid to the clarity of expression and readability, such as sentence structure, jargon use, acronyms, etc.: See the comments

Co-Editor

Comments to the Author:

Please add a little more discussion about the limitations of your study. In addition, a proofreading is needed for your paper. For example, the last sentence "this research suggests that the upcoming research may be expanded the theoretical model" has a language issue. Please get a proofreading done for your paper before the resubmission. This will be your last chance before the publication.



Berdi <bharnadi@unika.ac.id>

Information Discovery and Delivery - IDD-02-2023-0017.R4

Information Discovery and Delivery <onbehalf@manuscriptcentral.com>Mon, Feb 12, 2024 at 9:52
AM

Reply-To: WHe@odu.edu

To: bharnadi@unika.ac.id

11-Feb-2024

Dear Dr. Harnadi:

Your manuscript entitled "Role of Age, Gender, and Cultural Factors as Moderator on Technology Acceptance of Online Entertainment" has been successfully submitted online and is presently being given full consideration for publication in the Information Discovery and Delivery.

Your manuscript ID is IDD-02-2023-0017.R4.

Please mention the above manuscript ID in all future correspondence or when calling the office for questions. If there are any changes in your street address or e-mail address, please log in to Manuscript Central at <https://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/idd> and edit your user information as appropriate.

You can also view the status of your manuscript at any time by checking your Author Centre after logging in to <https://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/idd>.

Please note that Emerald requires you to clear permission to re-use any material not created by you. If there are permissions outstanding, please upload these when you submit your revision or send directly to Emerald if your paper is accepted immediately. Emerald is unable to publish your paper with permissions outstanding.

Thank you for submitting your manuscript to the Information Discovery and Delivery.

Sincerely,

Wu He

Information Discovery and Delivery



Berdi <bharnadi@unika.ac.id>

Information Discovery and Delivery - Decision on Manuscript ID IDD-02-2023-0017.R4

Information Discovery and Delivery <onbehalf@manuscriptcentral.com>

Mon, Mar 18, 2024 at 5:00 AM

Reply-To: hewu@yahoo.com

To: bharnadi@unika.ac.id, yoga@unika.ac.id, hendra@unika.ac.id, ridwan@unika.ac.id, ranto@unika.ac.id

17-Mar-2024

Dear Harnadi, Bernardinus; Widiantoro, Albertus; Prasetya, FX; Sanjaya, Ridwan; Sihombing, Ranto Partomuan

It is a pleasure to accept your manuscript IDD-02-2023-0017.R4, entitled "Role of Age, Gender, and Cultural Factors as Moderator on Technology Acceptance of Online Entertainment" in its current form for publication in Information Discovery and Delivery. Please note, no further changes can be made to your manuscript.

This email will be followed by a second message containing a copy of your author accepted manuscript (AAM) which is the version that we will typeset and publish in the journal.

Your article will now go through editorial checks by Emerald's editorial team to ensure it meets our publication standards. These checks can take up to five days; we'll be in touch if we have any queries at this stage. Once this step has been completed you will receive an email directing you to Emerald Submit to select your publishing licence and submit your article to production. If you are publishing in one of our subscription journals and wish to make your article open access you can choose this option in Emerald Submit.

If you have not received an email with editorial queries or an invitation to complete licensing on Emerald Submit within 10 working days of acceptance, please do contact the JEO (Journal Editorial Office), you can find their details on the journal homepage:

<https://www.emeraldgrouppublishing.com/journal/idd>

Please note that it is the corresponding author who must sign the publishing licence on behalf of all authors of your article..

Once you have completed licensing on Emerald Submit, your article will enter the production process and you'll be provided with a proof. You will need to approve your proof before your article is published. If you have any queries about the proofing system you can contact the journal's Supplier Project Manager (SPM) whose contact details are on the journal homepage: <https://www.emeraldgrouppublishing.com/journal/idd>.

By publishing in this journal your work will benefit from Emerald EarlyCite. Once the above steps are completed your article will be published online in EarlyCite. EarlyCite is the author proofed, typeset version of record, fully citable by DOI. The EarlyCite article sits outside of a journal issue and is paginated in isolation. The EarlyCite article will later be collated into a journal issue according to the journals' publication schedule.

Thank you for your contribution. On behalf of the Editors of Information Discovery and Delivery, we look forward to your continued contributions to the Journal.

Sincerely,
Dr. Wu He
Editor, Information Discovery and Delivery
hewu@yahoo.com



Berdi <bharnadi@unika.ac.id>

Emerald's green open access policy and self-archiving Instructions

Information Discovery and Delivery <onbehalf@manuscriptcentral.com>

Mon, Mar 18, 2024 at 5:00 AM

Reply-To: permissions@emerald.com

To: bharnadi@unika.ac.id, yoga@unika.ac.id, hendra@unika.ac.id, ridwan@unika.ac.id, ranto@unika.ac.id

17-Mar-2024

Dear Harnadi, Bernardinus; Widiantoro, Albertus; Prasetya, FX; Sanjaya, Ridwan; Sihombing, Ranto Partomuan,

"Role of Age, Gender, and Cultural Factors as Moderator on Technology Acceptance of Online Entertainment" - IDD-02-2023-0017.R4

Congratulations on having your article accepted for publication.

Please find a PDF of your Author Accepted Manuscript (AAM) attached. Please ensure you store this safely, as you will need it if you want to self-archive your work. This PDF is not a proof of your work; you will be sent a proof once your article has been typeset.

Please note: The PDF must be opened with Adobe Acrobat Reader. Download the PDF, open Adobe Acrobat Reader then go to File, select Open, locate the PDF in your Downloads folder and open it.

Next steps

Your article will now go through editorial checks by Emerald's editorial team to ensure it meets our publication standards; we'll be in touch if we have any queries. Once this stage is complete you will then receive an email directing you to Emerald Submit to complete your publishing licence.

Once the licence is completed, your article will enter the production process and you'll be provided with a proof. You will need to approve your proof before your article is published.

Emerald's self-archiving policy

Emerald is aware that many authors wish to make their work available in institutional repositories or are mandated to do so by their funders. Emerald operates a zero embargo policy across all of its journals; this enables all of our authors to make their article open access via a 'green' route.

This means that as soon as your article has been published in the journal on Emerald insight, you may make the full text of your AAM available within your personal website, institutional repository (IR), or SCN signed up to the Voluntary STM Sharing Principles. The AAM may also be shared with interested individuals, for teaching and training purposes at your own institution and for grant applications.

How to deposit the AAM of your article

For full information on how to deposit your work, please visit our author rights page. Please refer to the terms of your own institution or funder to ensure full compliance.

If you are required to deposit your article in your institution's repository, you will need to:

- Either manually deposit the accepted manuscript attached to this email or a version from your own records (it must be the version accepted for publication by the journal's Editor) into your repository;

Or, if applicable, forward your AAM to your institution's Repository Manager.

To deposit your AAM, you will need to adhere to the following conditions:

- You must include the DOI (10.1108/IDD-02-2023-0017) of your article;
- Include all of the relevant metadata (article title, journal name, volume, issue no. etc.).
- Include the date your article was accepted for publication, which was: (17-Mar-2024)

- The AAM must clearly indicate where the article was published, or where it is forthcoming;
- Include a clear licensing statement (see below).
- Include the article abstract (see below):

Research on technology acceptance of online entertainment with age, gender, and cultural factors as moderator, is rarely conducted. Previous research predominantly focused on age or gender as moderator, neglecting the influence of cultural factors. Therefore, this research aims to investigate acceptance of online entertainment technology, incorporating age, gender, and cultural factors as moderator.

Data were collected through a survey comprising 1,121 individuals aged 14–24 years from three cities in Indonesia. The proposed theoretical model examined the causal effect of acceptance and moderating effects due to individual gender, age, power distance, individualism, feminism, and uncertainty avoidance. Subsequently, structural equation modeling was used to evaluate the theoretical model, and the results confirmed several findings from previous research.

The findings confirmed the positive direct impact of habit and price value on behavioral intention and hedonic motivation, as well as social influence on habit. The recent findings derived from the moderating effect analysis showed that age, individualism, and feminism played moderating role in the effects on individual intention due to habit. Additionally, gender and uncertainty avoidance moderated the effects on individual habit due to hedonic motivation. This research contributes to the limited knowledge on technology acceptance of online entertainment, and also integrates the causal effects of individual intention due to habit, price value, hedonic motivation, and social influence, considering the moderating role of culture, age, and gender. Consequently, the investigation provides valuable insights into the literature by presenting evidence of age, gender, and cultural differences in acceptance. Furthermore, it offers practical guidance to online entertainment application developers on designing applications to satisfy consumers of different ages, genders, and cultures.

Deposit licences

Emerald allows authors to deposit their AAM under the Creative Commons Attribution Non-commercial International Licence 4.0 (CC BY-NC 4.0). To do this, the deposit must clearly state that the AAM is deposited under this licence and that any reuse is allowed in accordance with the terms outlined by the licence. To reuse the AAM for commercial purposes, permission should be sought by contacting permissions@emerald.com.

Emerald appreciates that some authors may not wish to use the CC BY-NC licence; in this case, you should deposit the AAM and include the copyright line of the published article. Should you have any questions about our licensing policies, please contact permissions@emerald.com. For more information on the deposit licenses, please see our deposit information: <https://www.emeraldgroupublishing.com/our-services/authors/author-policies/author-rights#deposit>

Please note that the full text of the AAM must only appear in the institutional repository once the final version of the article has been published on Emerald insight (www.emeraldinsight.com). You do not need to wait for the article to be assigned to an issue.

If you have any questions about Emerald's repository policy, please contact permissions@emerald.com.

Congratulations once more on having your article accepted and thank you for choosing to publish with Emerald.

Kind Regards,

Laura Wilson
Head of Research Integrity, Rights & Policy, Emerald Publishing

Failed to Load PDF Document" error message indicates that the web browser you are using, Google Chrome, is trying to open the electronic transcript within its own native PDF viewer. Because the transcript is a secured PDF, it must be opened with Adobe Acrobat Reader. Even if Adobe Acrobat Reader is already installed on your computer, if another program (like Chrome) tries to open the file you will get an error message. To ensure that the transcript file opens, please open Adobe Acrobat Reader first, then go to file, select open, locate the transcript in your Downloads folder, and open it.

 **PDF_Proof.PDF**
290K