

# [JCSSE2019] #1570528296 has been uploaded

External

Inbox

Search for all messages with label Inbox

Remove label Inbox from this conversation



**jcsse=inform...@edas.info**

Thu, Feb 14, 2019,  
12:22 PM

to me

Dear Mr. Bernardinus Harnadi:

Thank you for uploading your paper 1570528296 (*Discovering Factors Associated with Online Gaming Behaviors*) to **2019 16th International Joint Conference on Computer Science and Software Engineering**. The paper is of type application/pdf and has a length of 268598 bytes.

You can modify your paper at <https://edas.info/showPaper.php?m=1570528296> and see all your submissions at <https://edas.info/index.php?c=25834> using the EDAS identifier [bharnadi@unika.ac.id](mailto:bharnadi@unika.ac.id)

Regards,  
TPC Chair  
Kosin Chamnongthai, PhD  
Krisana Chinnasarn, PhD  
Suwanna Rasmequan, PhD  
Suphakant Phimoltares, PhD  
Saichon Jaiyen, PhD  
Saranya Maneeroj, PhD  
Sunisa Rimcharoen, PhD  
Chakchai So-in, PhD

# JCSSE2019 Your paper 1570528296 ('Discovering Factors Associated with Online Gaming Behaviors')

External

Inbox

Search for all messages with label Inbox

Remove label Inbox from this conversation



**jcsse=inform...@edas.info**

Tue, May 14, 2019,  
7:10 PM

to me, Krisana, Watcharaphong, Saichon, Chakchai, Suwana, Suphakant, Janya, Sunisa, Monnat, Prai

Dear Mr. Bernardinus Harnadi:

Congratulations – We are happy to inform you that your paper 1570528296 ('Discovering Factors Associated with Online Gaming Behaviors') for JCSSE2019 - 2019 16th International Joint Conference on Computer Science and Software Engineering has been accepted for oral presentation, but requires Major Revisions. At least one author has to make a registration and make an oral presentation during the conference sessions (July 10th, 2019 – 12th, 2019). Registration fee is non-refundable. The registration instructions are available at <https://jcsse.informatics.buu.ac.th/2019/registration-policy.php>.

For the paper to be qualified for the selection process for inclusion in the IEEEExplore® Digital Library (IEEE Catalog Number: #46885) and indexed by the relevant databases, you are required to do the followings:

1. Take the review comments into account when producing the camera ready version of your final manuscript. According to the IEEE conference policy, the similarity score must be lower than 25% when you submit your camera ready. If your paper fails to comply with this policy, we reserve the right not to include your paper in the selection process for publishing in the IEEEExplore®.

2. Follow the camera-ready formatting instructions at <https://jcsse.informatics.buu.ac.th/2019/cameraready-submission.php>. Note that the camera-ready submission is starting from May 16, 2019 until May 31, 2019.

3. As the authors of high quality papers, you have an opportunity to choose the following options:

- 3.1 After a week from the end of conference day, you may receive an invitation letter and choose to submit your work to a special issue of Journal of Internet Technology (JIT), which is indexed by Scopus and ISI (<http://jit.ndhu.edu.tw>). However, if you decide to do so, your paper will be excluded from IEEEExplore® Digital Library.

3.2 Alternatively, you may choose to extend your work up to 70% and submit to ECTI-CIT which is indexed by Scopus (<https://www.tci-thaijo.org/index.php/ecticit>). Review process will be conducted by ECTI-CIT. For this option, your paper, as submitted to JCSSE will still be included in IEEEExplore® Digital Library.

On behalf of Faculty of Science, Chulalongkorn University and Faculty of Informatics, Burapha University, We would like to thank you for your contribution to JCSSE and looking forward to seeing you during the conference event at Amari Pattaya Hotels, Chonburi, Thailand.

\*\*\*\*\*

The reviewers comments are below or can be found at <https://edas.info/showPaper.php?m=1570528296>.

===== JCSSE'19 Review 1 =====

> \*\*\* Relevance to the conference: Rate the relevance of the topic addressed in the paper within its area of research.  
Good (4)

> \*\*\* Technical content and scientific rigour: Rate the technical content of the paper (e.g.: completeness of the analysis or simulation study, thoroughness of the treatise, accuracy of the models, etc.), its soundness and scientific rigour.  
Valid work but limited contribution. (3)

> \*\*\* Novelty and originality: Rate the novelty and originality of the ideas or results presented in the paper.  
Some interesting ideas and results on a subject well investigated. (3)

> \*\*\* Quality of presentation: Rate the paper organization, the clearness of text and figures, the completeness and accuracy of references.  
Well written. (4)

> \*\*\* Detailed comments: Please indicate what are the strong/weak aspects of the paper. You may add any detail comments or suggestions that should be made to improve the quality of the paper.

The paper is well written and the issue is in trend. Nevertheless it seems to focus mainly on a fact finding and a statistical test which eventually could not bring about the concise conclusion. For example, Table 5 and its explanation are hard to understand.

The objectives of this study are unclear. It seems to be a further study from the previous work and the author did not mention how different they are. Did the author use the same methodology and find some new results in this paper or the new analytical approach

was proposed? In addition, a research question like "How is the graphical representation of this correlation?" seems to be a result or conclusion than a normal research question that we want to answer.

===== JCSSE'19 Review 2 =====

> \*\*\* Relevance to the conference: Rate the relevance of the topic addressed in the paper within its area of research.  
Little (2)

> \*\*\* Technical content and scientific rigour: Rate the technical content of the paper (e.g.: completeness of the analysis or simulation study, thoroughness of the treatise, accuracy of the models, etc.), its soundness and scientific rigour.  
Valid work but limited contribution. (3)

> \*\*\* Novelty and originality: Rate the novelty and originality of the ideas or results presented in the paper.  
Minor variations on a well investigated subject. (2)

> \*\*\* Quality of presentation: Rate the paper organization, the clearness of text and figures, the completeness and accuracy of references.  
Readable, but revision is needed in some parts. (3)

> \*\*\* Detailed comments: Please indicate what are the strong/weak aspects of the paper. You may add any detail comments or suggestions that should be made to improve the quality of the paper.

Factors related to playing online games are identified using UTAUT.  
Weak point: valid methodology but no innovation.

===== JCSSE'19 Review 3 =====

> \*\*\* Relevance to the conference: Rate the relevance of the topic addressed in the paper within its area of research.  
Little (2)

> \*\*\* Technical content and scientific rigour: Rate the technical content of the paper (e.g.: completeness of the analysis or simulation study, thoroughness of the treatise, accuracy of the models, etc.), its soundness and scientific rigour.  
Marginal work and simple contribution. Some flaws. (2)

> \*\*\* Novelty and originality: Rate the novelty and originality of the ideas or results presented in the paper.  
Minor variations on a well investigated subject. (2)

> \*\*\* Quality of presentation: Rate the paper organization, the clearness of text and figures, the completeness and accuracy of references.

Readable, but revision is needed in some parts. (3)

> \*\*\* Detailed comments: Please indicate what are the strong/weak aspects of the paper. You may add any detail comments or suggestions that should be made to improve the quality of the paper.

1. 29% Similarity score by Turnitin program. Normally should be less than 20%.
2. This study merely used statistical analysis techniques to examine the correlation of factors associated with online gaming behaviors.
3. Importantly, the finding and discussion section is mostly similar to the adopted research.

===== JCSSE'19 Review 4 =====

> \*\*\* Relevance to the conference: Rate the relevance of the topic addressed in the paper within its area of research.

Good (4)

> \*\*\* Technical content and scientific rigour: Rate the technical content of the paper (e.g.: completeness of the analysis or simulation study, thoroughness of the treatise, accuracy of the models, etc.), its soundness and scientific rigour.

Questionable work with severe flaws. (1)

> \*\*\* Novelty and originality: Rate the novelty and originality of the ideas or results presented in the paper.

Minor variations on a well investigated subject. (2)

> \*\*\* Quality of presentation: Rate the paper organization, the clearness of text and figures, the completeness and accuracy of references.

Substantial revision work is needed. (2)

> \*\*\* Detailed comments: Please indicate what are the strong/weak aspects of the paper. You may add any detail comments or suggestions that should be made to improve the quality of the paper.

The authors would like to investigate the relations among variables affecting the intention to use online gaming. The authors applied statistical techniques such as t-test for difference of means, Pearson's correlation coefficient, principal component analysis and factor analysis to estimate the relationship. However, it is not clear in the paper how did they do that. It seems that the authors might confuse between factor analysis and principal component analysis. Some of the variables such as fe1, fe2, fe3 or pe1, pe2, pe3 are not identified properly so that it is difficult to interpret the results shown in their

tables.

Best Regards,

TPC Chair

Kosin Chamnongthai, PhD

Chakchai So-in, PhD

Krisana Chinnasarn, PhD

Monnat Pongpanich, PhD

Praisan Padungweang, PhD

Saichon Jaiyen, PhD

Suphakant Phimoltares, PhD

Sunisa Rimcharoen, PhD

Suwanna Rasmequan, PhD

# JCSSE Author Registration

External

Inbox

Search for all messages with label Inbox

Remove label Inbox from this conversation



**JCSSE-2019 Administrator** <jcsse.inform1@gmail.com>

Mon, May 20, 2019,  
1:00 PM

to me

Dear Author

Congratulations again for your research work(s) which has been accepted for JCSSE-2019. Now we would like to inform login and password for uploading Response to the Comments of Reviewers, Screen Capture of the Response from IEEE PDF eXpress™ site (<http://www.pdf-express.org>), Copyright-Transfer, Author-Biography, Payment-Slip of your paper, and valid IEEE/ECTI membership status (if any).

Username and password for paper #1570528296 (Discovering Factors Associated with Online Gaming Behaviors) is given below:

Username : 1570528296

Password : 17KaWASL

Registration period starts from May 20, 2019. Please sign in to <https://jcsse.informatics.buu.ac.th/2019/reg/> for registration and uploading.

If you have any issue signing in, please contact (+66)863578425 or [w.yookwan@gmail.com](mailto:w.yookwan@gmail.com)

# [JCSSE2019] #1570528296 Final paper has been uploaded

External

Inbox

Search for all messages with label Inbox

Remove label Inbox from this conversation



**jcsse=inform...@edas.info**

Fri, May 31, 2019,  
7:39 PM

to me, Krisana, Watcharaphong, Saichon, Chakchai, Suwana, Suphakant, Janya, Sunisa, Monnat, Prais

Dear Mr. Bernardinus Harnadi

Thank you for uploading your final paper #1570528296 (Discovering Factors Associated with Online Gaming Behaviors) to 2019 16th International Joint Conference on Computer Science and Software Engineering track JCSSE Regular. The paper is of type application/pdf and has a length of application/pdf bytes.

You can modify your paper at <https://edas.info/showPaper.php?m=1570528296> and see all your submissions at <https://edas.info/index.php?c=25834> using the EDAS identifier [krisana@informatics.buu.ac.th](mailto:krisana@informatics.buu.ac.th)

Regards,  
TPC Chair  
Kosin Chamnongthai, PhD  
Chakchai So-in, PhD  
Krisana Chinnasarn, PhD  
Monnat Pongpanich, PhD  
Praisan Padungweang, PhD  
Saichon Jaiyen, PhD  
Suphakant Phimoltares, PhD  
Sunisa Rimcharoen, PhD  
Suwana Rasmequan, PhD