




Topic: Equal Representation in United Nation Security Council

First Speaker:

Everybody interesting to debate because of what? Because we will talk about Security Council, we will talk about how this kind Security Council really represents the developing nation or not, how equality will be achieve in security council or not ladies and gentlemen. Ok, let me thumb up with the issue that I see ladies and gentlemen. First of all, do you still remember what happen to Iraq, do you still remember what happen to Myanmar, do you still remember other International issue which is tried to be solved but this kind of member of security council ladies and gentlemen. No! it does not fulfill the demand of the International society. What is that? Equality. What is that ladies and gentlemen? Fairness. Fairness treatment to this kind of country ladies and gentlemen. What it is not achieve ladies and gentlemen? The issue is that these five big countries which is Russia, which is United State of America, which is uh...China, which is France and one other countries ladies and gentlemen. They do not really give us representation, they do not really give uh...such a transparency in doing this kind of job ladies and gentlemen. Actually Security Council itself which is like Miss Universe, they are saying hey, what is this ladies and gentlemen? But actually they are not ladies and gentlemen, this kind of war ladies and gentlemen, cannot be up hold by the [] Security Council. Because of what? Because there is no representation at all. This is an equality in cover representation ladies and gentlemen. That first issue is a what hey Security Council, member of Security Council does not really represent the guilt political in the world council ladies and gentlemen. Why? Let's analyze. Most of the country in this Security Council actually they are not concept of the world ladies and gentlemen. And the northern east of the world ladies and gentlemen, they are not easiest in this kind of Security Council is is what politically it is not equal on the northern and eastern, eastern, eastern countries ladies and gentlemen. What so bother with northern eastern ladies and gentlemen. Why? Mostly northern country is develop nation, mostly northern country is strong nation, while the eastern country is developing nation and let say they are weak nation ladies and gentlemen, developing nation and when this kind of develop nation ladies and gentlemen are concord this kind of Security Council and where is the bless for the eastern countries ladies and gentlemen, they need to aggregate, they need to tell the world, hey this is southern country needs, while the northern country doesn't understand what the geopolitical [] of the eastern country is is the first geopolitical unrepresentation if the security council. And the next issue is that through global, global uh...eh...through global text totally ladies and gentlemen, first of f all non alignment countries which is represent by South Africa, Asian countries, and Latin American countries ladies and gentlemen. They are demanding to get the representation in this Security Council, this is the issue. So not only five, five big countries in here ladies and gentlemen which is actually represent because they are having boom military and economic to the world ladies and gentlemen but

also there must be five, from first from the Asian north east, second of all the Latin American countries and third from the non alignment countries. This is going at the global. Ok, first of all by organization of African Unity and second of all it is urgent. Because of what? Because we need representation. If there is no representation, still the world questioning about the eligibility about a...uh... let say uh...legitimacy of this Security Council. This the question. This what the uh... what eastern of debate, so basically who are to answer? How this kind of representation of Asian Latin American of course not alignment country would really bring legitimacy to this Security Council. So negative thing to prove to ask that they bringing this kind of new countries to part of Security Council would not bring legitimacy and a representation to brought equality to what to this [] Security Council ladies and gentlemen. Ok, the third is how is the process ladies and gentlemen? Of course we are purposing something that I'm going to tell you. How is the process? First of all, this kind of countries should be nominated from each region ladies and gentlemen, and after this kind of nomination, it goes to the assembly meeting? Because this is the highest, the highest uh...the highest power in this Security Council, if you need the nation even if ladies and gentlemen where the house and out of this kind of nomination ladies and gentlemen. After we have get seven countries to go this kind of Security Council that we would like to assign in general assembly. What is general assembly ladies and gentlemen? General assembly would only accept an issue which is already talked about people, and here the representation, the reformation of Security Council already talked about as the agenda of the general assembly in United Nation that for the thing that we need to foundation, that we need is already exist, that we just need to fulfill this kind of mechanism ladies and gentlemen. And how about this kind of three countries will it really represent developing countries? Yes, because the system in here is not you are not pouring this kind of country uh...this kind of represent countries as a freak ladies and gentlemen. Why rotation? This is the issues. Some countries say no rotation. Some others say yes there is no rotation. First of all, if we rotate this kind of thing, if we will actively force the countries in each region to be more active, to be able to ladies and gentlemen. Second of all, if we could not create the new rules of the world in each region ladies and gentlemen, we just don't need, we don't need, we don't want this kind of thing could be happened ladies and gentlemen. And third of all ladies and gentlemen, with this kind of rotation, yes of course representation would be happened not only some kind. Let say in Asia, let say we have Indonesia. Let say in Asia we have Malaysia or several our countries, but when Malaysia took apart in this Security Council for long long time, just like the big five ladies and gentlemen, that it would not really deeper representation to the Asia or the region itself, but it is kind of additional player in this Security Council that will be rotation ladies and gentlemen. Ok, what I've told you here, it is effective enough to give a representation ladies and gentlemen. Before this, there is no other countries is like this big five which is concord that this Security Council, they will fell unsecure ladies and gentlemen. Because they will worry that their interests to be deliver in the Security Council. Therefore, if we put the if we put the ehm... the representation of each region, as I told you uh... my member speak which is

northern which is come back to Africa, and then the Asia nation also, and also one if they, South Africa uh...ehm...South America nation ladies and gentlemen, of course it will, it will make them feel secure because yes uh... my interest y es uh...my uh...my conclusion it, it can be bring an be brought in Security Council can give back to the trust and the legitimation of Security Council itself, an be up hold ladies and gentlemen. So therefore, by this benefit ladies and gentlemen, uh...may I speak ladies and gentlemen; we still believe we can up hold it. The Security Council legitimation by uh...doing some kind of equal representation in UN Security Council. Thank you ladies and gentlemen.




Second speaker:

We agree of the plan that Security Council right now is not does not give an equal representation because there is nothing uh... because there is no such thing as equal representation ladies and gentlemen. And that is our state. We are here not to prove to you the negative statement of the house, we are not to prove is to prove that there is equal representation. Our burn up prove is not to giving revolution to the Security Council there will be not a betterment actually, but actually great worse condition, you'll create a figure. Well the Security Council of the house, we are not prove to simply show that there is a bad representation in Security Council. Because we all agree that in what every condition, in what every international er... organization, there is uh... always be a matter of national interest in it. But there is prove which they have not given until know is to show by giving the repre uh... eh... revolution. There will be more representation action for these people. And first of all what they made for right now is, if they haven't give an significant answer, what do you mean by equal representation itself. I hope I would not explain it in my speech. Now, what we would be have here is that if you do a revolution for a Security Council and what we sees that they are talking about the permanent one you see the five countries that they that they talked about that they have to be right is that would happen it that you will create a bigger back touch, because it will quick much more vision inside the Security Council itself. Since there are many countries that they are not to be another, and that would encourage the worsening of the output for the decision rather that revolution for the Security Council. And the end you will actually cause vision. Not only inside Security Council but also through of the world, between nation that already are in conflict and this would be gave of more bigger Security Council. And in the end of set and that for in the end the main purpose of the Security Council automatic security will not happen and that is why we do not agree with the revolution of the Security Council. Now before we go to uh...uh... I surprise because affirmative of the negative of the house, we talked about. What is really uh... equal representation and how this can not be done? In any way ladies and gentlemen. But even if it is can be done, even if they can prove, it can be done, well it is not the mouth because there something more important than uh...equal representation and there is beauty of Security Council and that uh... eh...I'm going to split. My sec ond speaker will show how actually by they do the revolution you will cause bigger conflict and you uh... bigger bigger conflict between nation and that for uh...equal representation in Security Council itself. Now, first of all, I want to say, first of all that proposal, now, this equal purpose that they want to be just support to uh...conduct ehm...kind of election probably it would be what in the Security Council. Now the first question is, how actually to do this? Since conflict is done in the region itself, for example that two countries that has purpose to join in Security Council is in the end. Which are neighbors in the same region and yet we already have one back touch. How you are going to expect that we just change to only one country and government make a better power for itself. So what we sees that you actually going to you are not going to able to do this election to be a better place. And second of all in the plan

of equal representation. Now they talked about revolution and we know. Now, what we see that how, for example in Indonesia as the developing country can be vote and give an right ehm... in the Security Council. How could actually represent to the developing itself. It can be ladies and gentlemen. Because first of all even with these five countries here they don't even represent develop country that they are uh... Japan doesn't believe that this five develop countries can represent, that is why is that countries proposing itself to go in Security Council in the first place. And second of all, even though if these countries are is the rest as we know, what would happen is that even though you do have ehm... even though you do have a condition of this situation, there always be other countries like America or other countries that has a half same right, and there we do not see what kind of representation what they are talking about. Now, allow me to tell you about equally in representation. Now, the Security Council right now actually conducts fifteen numbers. The ten are not permanent and they have their right to give their word and what they believe inside of the world of the revolution. Now, they only do not have the right. Now what we see is that, this is the rest that we can get, because equal equal representation is never various ladies and gentlemen in any kind of international uh... what is decision. There is no such they have equal representation. There are two ways this world actually ehm... ehm... equal repress representation. And they see it from the from the economy. Well, if you are developing my country and you are automatically represent develop nation and you are actually going to that representation also. But we see it this is not a very conclu conducive way to actually eliminate equal representation itself. Why? Because it's the quiet of uh... ehm... in the point of economic a dynamic ladies and gentlemen, you see that economic of a country is always change. For example, now America in the past has been one of the most super power economy, and yet right now China, South Korea, and Japan is set to have a bigger and faster development economic its uh...uh...compare to the 20th of America. Now economic can change dynamically. How could you simple, simplify that hey the Security Council can always change the delimitation. And its quiet the population which not way actually ehm... see an equal representation. We see there is also not significant because population always changes. And even though the majority of the UN if your country have the majority of the highest population, that means the represent representation the people of the world ladies and gentlemen. China is the highest, it is a country with the most population and yet this size, China does not representable ladies and gentlemen. So what we see this two things can not be measure as equal representation. But let say if [] if what they say is right, if they give equal representation, what would happen in the end is that is is the question I that is this firm is really give representation of the most important thing for the Security Council automatically. What we see it's all about stability. When you do not able to place stability in a Security Council that they have the right to break security itself, then you are damaging this, why would this break the Security Council ladies and gentlemen? Because what I have said before, there are countries that do not agree with other countries. There is competition for the countries that actually bring to Security Council. And there are international interests to grow inside the Security Council. And when there are

more international interest in Security Council ladies and gentlemen, it will take a longer, a longer time to get the revolution in the first place. And it will create actually more conflict inside the Security Council. What is that ladies and gentlemen? Let see the case directly ladies and gentlemen. What happen is that the Security Council just only five national interests took a month and almost years to actually create maintains a product ladies and gentlemen. Why? Because some countries do not want to go against the government of Sudan in the very first place. There was against the economical condition ladies and gentlemen. As we see, if you add more people, add more countries, add more national interest, what would happen is that there will be more conflict and conception inside. It's right now there en...there is enough conflict inside the Security Council. Imagine when there are more international interest. How many conflict will happen? And this is not save and in the manner itself. How do these five countries became the Security Council in the first place. We see if you what do not and if you give more higher make of people, you actually cause more conflict and it is the potency for the conflict itself and its stability. So it is not for equal representation. Thank you very much.




Third speaker:

Well, thank you ladies and gentleman. I'd like to give some kind of information what we need about Security Council. We just need en...uh...it just reflects the number of people and also just reflects the power ladies and gentleman. What we are talking about if the representation where the explanation can be deliver in the house Security Council ladies and gentleman. People will take to uh...from the beginning ladies and gentleman. And we are uh...I'd like to give you my opinion. The first thing about the back touch, second thing about the repre uh...about the representation itself, and the third thing about the vision. The the first thing ladies and gentleman about the back touch, uh...ladies and gentleman, they said that it can it can out of our proposal we all give a such of back touch but there it will quit uh...bigger count uh...bigger place, more complex uh...ladies and gentleman. But if do not happen ladies and gentleman, they give as an example about in here in Pakistan. But we also have told you that this kind of uh...elimination ladies and gentleman, there will be should if they have the most table situation of the country. If they have a table situation of economic and many others aspect ladies and gentleman. Uh...where uh...if there is two countries ladies and gentleman, and they are they want to be the member of the security Council, I think they will they will they have international interest and then they compete in the in the in the problem in their nuclear. Who is the most power ladies and gentleman? Of course we will pick the country which uh...which had the most table of situation uh...the country which up hold the new right of betterment ladies and gentleman. And so many aspect and therefore we can pick uh...where uh...very qualified ladies and gentlemen. And it will make an easy because there are some people general assembly and of course there is something wrong wrong with the country uh...uh...of country will not uh...support with country the member o f Security Council. And the second thing about the repre representation that I have told you that it is not the matter of power, it is not a matter of the of the you have uh...a large number of population that if we all if we all it it replace that your country expression if uh...I'd like to uh...show the other kind of problem that ladies and gentlemen [] this is this country will not deal with uh...people ladies and gentlemen. And then if uh...the third thing is they say that there something happen , yes it is ladies and gentlemen. But right now the region happens in the mere of the in the [] uh...conflict of interest of each country and if way such of very uh...big problem that ladies and gentlemen, but if the expression happen in this kind of Security Council ladies and gentlemen, they will analyze it, they will uh...they will uh...that this country have ehm...it have uh...it have a represent its region and there is has something called uh...interest ladies and gentlemen. And of course they will consider that they will see that yes there is another interest here and we will like to come if we got this country if uh...uh...member of Security Council in this country because uh...they uh...ladies and gentlemen therefore ladies and gentlemen the vision in uh...th e division they talk about what will happen because uh... what we see here that in this uh...Security Council often we have been reformed by our proposal ladies and gentlemen. There will be not a vision. But ladies and gentlemen, they will see that there is

another interest rather than the developed countries and northern countries interested ladies and gentlemen. And there what we like to bring in the Security Council. So, let me go to my opinion, if there is implication of equal representation in United Nations Security Council which compares two things. The first thing is the balance between every region and the second thing about equality legitimate of Security Council itself. The first thing uh...the balance uh...every region. Ladies and gentlemen, the situation here that there were has some kind of imbalance condition ladies and gentlemen. And we know that the country that dominates between the Security Council is the northern country and the developed country ladies and gentlemen. And this as uh...such of a problem because this country sometime they do something that brings some effects to the weak country. We have uh...as I told you I uh...told by uh...let say particularly ladies and gentlemen. And there when they told was like South Africa like Africa uh... America and uh... Asia ladies and gentlemen. They they have no equal representation here and they they can not be elected but uh...they cannot uh... they cannot tell uh...ehm...they cannot tell the Security Council that yes there is another condition, there is another consideration. So therefore this uh...big country for country, they cannot just uh...bring some uh...some kind of election that we will represent to the weak country ladies and gentlemen. And by this kind of equality representation ladies and gentlemen, they will give kind of another consideration. They will give another vision ladies and gentlemen. So there must be uh...this development ehm...development country can see that yeah we cannot do it because there is some ways that uh...that will be done by by will be done by this new member, new permanent member ladies and gentlemen. Therefore ladies and gentlemen, if we will bring such a uh...equality representation and will be done the problem in our uh...in our world ladies and gentlemen, the image of Security Council has been yeah it's very uh...it's very have bad image because ladies and gentlemen, over that it only compares the country that which is northern country, developed country, big country, strong country ladies and gentlemen, and therefore what we like to do is to bring such uh...good image maybe uh...equal representation ladies and gentlemen. And ladies and gentlemen, there uh...job of Security Council of course to secure the world ladies and gentlemen. But what happens now ladies and gentlemen? That developed country, the weak country, they have something like uh...unsecured ladies and gentlemen, and they are worried about the policy that will be taken by the [] Security Council, because if only consider the important the insurance of this uh...of the country which is uh...which is uh...northern countries and developed countries ladies and gentlemen. Therefore by doing this kind of proposal ladies and gentlemen, uh...the world will be that yeah there are aspirations in deliver in this very strong maintain like uh...Security Council of the United Nations ladies and gentlemen. They will trust again, they will we can give if they trust of uh...the trust of the world to the Security Council ladies and gentlemen. And they will be like to do this because of course the Security Council is safe to secure of the what secure all of the aspects ladies and gentlemen. Of course the country which have no representation at least if the region if not represented in military power and also economical power, and now we are purposing for

something better, we are purposing for new countries from new region to be fulfilled to get in this kind Security Council, to full the representation to of the world ladies and gentlemen. And what is we have done ladies and gentlemen? First of all, there will be such a good positive respect from other countries in the world, there will be trust from the third world, there will be there will be uh... control down by this kind of southern countries in the world ladies and gentlemen. Why? Some for northern countries dominate and eastern countries the the southern countries do not have a position. So there were I believe that hey this kind of thing visible, this kind of thing urgent to be done. Regarding things that Security Council as my case by saying people representation for this three regions.



Fourth speaker:

Our team agrees that we need secure in this world. We need uh...kind of uh... security for some nations. However you from coming from uh... different countries, coming from the elaboration to my split, I'm going to speak up exactly about this kind of uh...house. First is about, they said that until now in the United Nation there is no any kind of uh...the balance of the regions since other regions has more power and the uh...other regions do not have any kind of a balance uh...balance uh...uh...positive uh...uh...balance to face the represent what they want. But we are see, even this morning and just right a kind of journal according to United Nation, has inside in United Nations, there is a kind of qualition. United Nation qualition, for the developing nation, in fact, if they say that this kind of Security Council cannot represent the balance uh...between the regions, that is exactly wrong. Because in United Nation to ex to express what they want exactly the expression uh...what this developing countries uh...what uh...to up hold this kind of United Nation. And then the second one, they are saying by this kind of uh...reformation of this kind of United Nation. It will uphold the legitimacy and give a good image to the predict expression. However what we see, how can they say, how can their risk disability of the United Nation of especially in this Security Council and making the in the insecure among the nation just because they want to make it a kind of uh...represe nt adding the representation to what this kind of case inside the house. Now, coming to my uh...split, which firstly, I would like to clarify my first speaker talked about what happen inside the Security Council itself and there are I'm talk to you about wh at would happen outside the uh...the nation outside the Security Council itself. Now, coming to my uh...split which how this reformation in United Nation would cost in international conscience. Now, I'm going to give you a brief description about what is attention, because uh...there something uh...there must be a little bit misunderstanding about conscience itself. Conscience is a condition where a nation have different power and there is a tendency that one country want to con concur concur another countries and another countries be afraid to be concurred that this kind of conscience. Now, let see I'm going to bring up the case what happen in South Asia, there is the uh...the to this uh...between India and Pakistan. That now India creates giving arise uh... giving a more attention and raising because this kind of nation want to be concurred. Now, let see, what one the political yeah international politic say that one of the ehm...one of the uh... one of the aim of the nation is gaining the power. And gaining the power is back by using the high diplomatic position. And this kind of high diplomatic position can be seen in this kind of Security Council. So we see, if one country wants to get this kind of uh...diplomatic position, high diplomatic position, it will create more attentions. How can we see this one? First, deals compete. Why they have to compete? Because they have a national interest, compete to this kind of interest to be realized this compete. And they see, by this kind of uh...diplomatic position, they believe this kind of a national interest can be gained. But, all of the nations have the competition among them, the raising the conflict of raising up and it will create a insecure among them intention is increase, equal will more insecure. So,

why because the private in the country, the more qualify diplomatic position of course will have more power to realize the international interest. And how about among the nations a pure insecure the uh...among the nation. This was this will lose the main function of Security Council. How? If they are saying that if any responses of uh...of an uh...if if they are saying that adding the representation among this kind of uh...Security Council would not create educated function. But in fact, because they have the the international interest and that they uh...they reaching uh...they are being they are picking uh...the kind of attention among the people. So, when they they the attention increasing and fill more insecure and pure among those nations will will be created by this kind of condition. So it will lose the main function of the Security Council itself. Because of what? They have the important the main function of the Security Council. Is that to create the security among the nations? Create stability among the nation. We are saying that why this kind of thing, we know that ehm...this kind of thing would not even create any kinds of uh...uh...we will not create kinds of security to what this kinds of people. In fact, this kind of by having this kind of uh...by having this kind of uh... uh...representation, adding more representation, it will be more conscious. So we see, that let's uh...let's uh...come back to do what happen in India and Pakistan. We believe that this kind of one of the nations. Let's see, if one of the nations creating up this kind of diplomatic position and then they have economically uh...prestigious. And then of course, logically, this kind of uh... this kind this country, for example the India. The India will gaining and will uh... will creating the other kinds of uh...the ot her kind of the Security Council's member to believing that India that the right thing to this kind of uh...toward the Pakistan. And this Pakistan uh...believing that country is being, they believing that there being prove. They feel the insecure. So, in fact, by this kind of thing, we believe that the insecurity among this kind of nations specially, would, let say for the Pakistan itself. So, they are even, even, uh...even the court the India getting this kind of the position this kind in in Security Council. The India itself was giving the kind of a security and the trust toward the Pakistan. If you are adding this kind of a number of extra representations, it will create uh...the thing uh... uh...it will create this kind of security among the nations. And it wil I create the stability among the nations. It will of course up hold this kind of the main function of Security Council itself. Thank you.

Fifth speaker:

Ladies and gentleman, world statements agree that there are dichotomy in this world. We are live in Security Council has function to create peace and stability among all countries that, that exist in this world ladies and gentlemen. And the dichotomy happen between the... add the developing country, like what would our friends very first speaking. Now actually there is ladies and gentlemen, how come this Security Council can implies can really maximal, maximize their function toward creating peace today's to uh... to the developing country. If there is no equality representation, represent those uh... interest from those country ladies and gentlemen. What they believe that this kind of proposal will bring no effectiveness because it will create blacklist. What we... what we believe in here is that if one of the blacklist and five other will create effectiveness and will up hold the legitimacy of Security Council itself ladies and gentlemen. Now ladies and gentlemen, what they are going to do of this situation, well... we do believe that this kind of issue, this kind of sentiment between dichotomy happen in this world, it happen right now ladies and gentlemen. They say about the proposal but they bring nothing. This the issue ladies and gentlemen. Now ladies and gentlemen, what do you wanna do about this... this situation since the legitimacy of Security Council is being question right away. Now, why since the issue of equal repre... representation is there ladies and gentlemen. This is actually the effect the legitimacy of Security Council is being question all and all again because no equal representation that this is actually happen, even if they said that there is UN poli... policion there. What I have to say here is that what is actually the major of UN polician ladies and gentlemen. Do this kind of body..., do this kind of quali... qualition equal have equal bargaining position when some countries are entry a Security Council become a permanent member ladies and gentlemen. This is not actually effective enough to cover up date will be present, representation issue brought up by this Security Council. Ladies and gentlemen, second question is how this kind of UN council actually give prove to use successfully that already done the job to give equal representation. What we believe ladies and gentlemen, even the uh... even the organization of African Union says this is nothing with eh... UN Council. Why ladies and gentlemen? They are proposing to be a member, permanent member of Security Council rather than join in UN Council. What we believe here ladies and gentlemen, their proposal maybe it is uh... ehm... proposal, actually it is not effective enough. Now, I'm... I'm here as a speaker to do point of matery council. First of all and the point or urgency and second of all how actually this is not, this kind of proposal not to be able to blacklist toward uh... a peace uh... in the world. Now ladies and gentlemen, the channel interests happen in the international body. Yes, we agree that. The question is, in what extent actually this national interest can be tolerated ladies and gentlemen? UN, United Nation and also Security Council is international body, the largest body, the world in depth, control all countries in this world ladies and gentlemen. So we see no tolerance equal the international interest. That is why ladies and gentlemen, equal representation should be there. How? By proposing three represents country from each region to be a permanent Security Council ladies and gentlemen. Why

is that ladies and gentlemen? The age is actually yes that internal interest, which is bigger and larger ladies and gentlemen. Even there is a conflict happen there ladies and gentlemen, we say it is ok. Why? Because the conflict is in the term of the policy making. And in here, that is actually the nature equal representation when all the nation of the country whether it is a nation or developing nation can actually aspirate the aspiration. We can actually uh... impulse the interest toward the security council of the United Nation to be heard ladies and gentlemen. So, ladies and gentlemen, what happen in the term of policy and in the term of aspiration making? We said yes, it is ok because it is impulsing the representation itself. Now ladies and gentlemen, on creating conflict, the last speaker had already mentioned to you how this kind of proposal actually work ladies and gentlemen in the term of mechanism. Yes, general assembly will decide who is actually the nations who have believed that have ability that able to uh... permanent uh... the member of Security Council ladies and gentlemen. So, actually if there is a conflict saying by the second speaker uh... she said the example of India and Pakistan, we say it is non logic issue ladies and gentlemen. Why? Because ladies and gentlemen, when one country becomes member of Security Council, actually the position is being watch through out of the international society ladies and gentlemen. So ladies and gentlemen, now I can be uh... a simple of a think ladies and gentlemen. Have you heard that America and China or have you heard that America and France have some kind of this think, like this kind of India and Pakistan will play if they are in Security Council. No ladies and gentlemen. Why? Because there are something uh... there are something to control inside the Security Council itself. There a low quiet actually the vision happen, there are society between the members. Why? Because the member is actually have a big uh... there are society have a big job toward the peace and also the stability toward other countries uh... countries in this world. Now ladies and gentlemen, all and all we believe that actually yes, there is no equal representation that is the background of our cases which is in the political side. There are certain region have different interest and this kind of interest is not being well aspirated into Security Council. That's why we purpose something to you which is representation from uh... some kind of regional representation which is from the Africa, Latin America, and Asia. In order of what ladies and gentlemen? In order to maintain the equal representation there. And it means ladies and gentlemen, this kind of proposal, well actually answering well actually do the legitimacy of uh... Security Council and more over to the uh... United Nation itself. Now, ladies and gentlemen, we believe that our team have already given to you the clear background of... of... why we want purpose something the... the... background that actually exist in this... in this... in this real look world ladies and gentlemen. While, the negative side of the actually try to neglect this and actually try to bring some argumentation which is actually have been explained in the very first beginning. That is all ladies and gentlemen. We believe that equal representation in United Nation, uh... especially in this Security Council should be there right away. Thank you.

Sixth speaker:

Ehm... good morning ladies and gentlemen. I don't know this thing is destiny or what in this debate. Because of what ladies and gentlemen? Because there are asking our statement this debate. The first speaker already gave really that we help we help uh... uh... stand that right know is is better than we will than we do a revolution in this proposal. Here, there proposal will give a back flash and uh... this order in this society in the... in the... International society. And we said no! We don't have, we do not have no proposal. Just stay like now, right know ladies and gentlemen. What is the big mistake that have been done by this uh... up pro... uh... properties this that they still do not prove ladies and gentlemen. If we heard this proposal, there will be equal representation ladies and gentlemen. Until the third speaker ladies and gentlemen, they just willing and willing and attack of proposal but they are uh... uh... How their proposal will give clear and equal representation in United Nation ladies and gentlemen? Now, I have to remind you ladies and gentlemen. First about the [] uh... the I think the representation Security Council will become more representative. Second is about uh... the bad image that have uh... have been got by this Security Council. First off all, the representation of Security Council. This think that they will add some nations from this countries, from this three regions in this countries into this Security Council ladies and gentlemen. This state uh... about uh... uh... uh... Asian and uh... non alignments uh... so on so far ladies and gentlemen. Why do you give, why do you uh... why do you take that three nations nominative from the three regions ladies and gentlemen. Isn't it the current state? The current situation is right enough ladies and gentlemen. There are fifteen nations in Security Council. Five nations is from uh...uh... United State uh... America and uh... like, like, like that. And there are ten nations that are we rotated in many years ladies and gentlemen. They stated that, those all of the nations in the Security Council do not deliver their opinion or their message from the developing countries ladies and gentlemen. Is it true ladies and gentlemen? You can see a clear, clear uh... uh... clear debate United Nation from this fifteen nations, we can also deliver our opinion, our suggestion, our message to this fifteen nations ladies and gentlemen. We don't have to increase other nations in the Security Council because it will make difference and we do not uh... uh... uh... uh... attack it ladies and gentlemen. So, we do not, I have to edit ladies and gentlemen, look they also having an ugly proposal which this three nations will give will have uh... veto or not ladies and gentlemen. And we conclude that they said that they will be a veto for this three nations ladies and gentlemen. This is an extreme, extreme proposal ladies and gentlemen. They want to give veto, at least that there are eight nations have a veto ladies and gentlemen. It is very dangerous ladies and gentlemen. First, it is unvisible for the three nations to have a veto for the Security Council. Because of what? Because of the five nations will attack the new to give a veto, give the three nations go to the Security Council ladies and gentlemen. In the charter that are being become the founder of the Security Council, there are just five nations that have a veto ladies and gentlemen. How do we, how do government of this uh... this nations want to change the charter, want to change the... the the the the...the...

uh... the uh... the assignment uh... or uh... or yeah... uh...or uh... like uh... to have more more nations to have uh... to have uh... to have uh... [] a veto ladies and gentlemen. If you give these three nations a veto ladies and gentlemen, why [] do you give this do what you give three nations a veto? Because of this is become, because these three nations will give more representative to this Security Council. Ladies and gentlemen, there are still many blocks and group in this society that still represented, represent developing nation ladies and gentlemen. There are still groups like G21 and uh... the other like that. No, the princip, no, not, why my, my argument is that those groups and those blocks that the, that the represent developing nations will accomplish Security Council again and ask for the factor ladies and gentlemen. There are so many nations that have a factor ladies and gentlemen. No, what this, what this [] the urgency of this problem ladies and gentlemen. And the second [] they, they stated that there are three nations that are nominated from three regions ladies and gentlemen. Non alignment uh... uh... Africa and South America ladies and gentlemen. Now, I have state disagree with you. There are still so many blocks and groups that have been represent developing nations. Now, why do you think that just three nations that from three regions ladies and gentlemen. There is other other block of regions. There are still they are to represent developing nations ladies and gentlemen. [] Now, I will uh... I will stated that [] uh... in the second mean of this for the six of image ladies and gentlemen. This is really beautiful argument ladies and gentlemen. Why uh... why I stated that what is the significant of good image in this uh... in this world communicate ladies and gentlemen. What I would say to you, we have to fulfill our aim first. The aim of Security Council is to give [] order order in our world communication ladies and gentlemen. Now, what happen right now is there are an sentiment to the Security Council. What in the current society, there are order and betterment in this society ladies and gentlemen? Now, what's wrong if the Security Council do have a bad bad sentiment but they the Security Council have done their job very well ladies and gentlemen. Now, [] I would like to say that this current situation is current is uh... is enough and we don't have add the three nations because there are still many nations in Security Council, fifteen nations that could give more uh... uh... represent in Security Council. Thank You.