

PAPER NAME

IFRS Adoption In Indonesia and Its Implication On The relationship Between Ownership Structure and I

AUTHOR

Sansaloni Butar Butar

WORD COUNT

4214 Words

CHARACTER COUNT

24275 Characters

PAGE COUNT

10 Pages

FILE SIZE

494.6KB

SUBMISSION DATE

Jul 7, 2023 1:03 PM GMT+7

REPORT DATE

Jul 7, 2023 1:04 PM GMT+7

● 9% Overall Similarity

The combined total of all matches, including overlapping sources, for each database.

- 3% Publications database
- Crossref database
- Crossref Posted Content database
- 9% Submitted Works database

● Excluded from Similarity Report

- Internet database
- Bibliographic material
- Manually excluded text blocks

IFRS Adoption In Indonesia and Its Implication On The relationship
Between Ownership Structure and Investment Efficiency

Sansaloni Butar Butar
sansalonib@yahoo.co.id
Soegijapranata Catholic University, Semarang.

Abstract

Agency theory predicts that separation of ownership and control might lead to moral hazard and adverse selection. In such a situation, investment decision making is not optimal and might put the company and stockholders in danger. Absence of control may induce managers to opportunistically use firms' resources for personal benefit and invest in highly risky projects with negative present value. Therefore, monitoring function by institutional investors are necessary to minimize manager dysfunctional behavior. It is also deemed necessary to offer firm's stock to managers to align the interest of managers and stockholders. This study investigates the effect of institutional and managerial ownership on investment efficiency as a proxy for firms' performance. The effect of these two variables is investigated in the context of IFRS adoption. Since accounting policies underlying IFRS are more market friendly than US accounting standards, it is expected that full convergence to IFRS in 2012 may increase financial statement quality in Indonesia. Contrary to prediction, the evidence shows that converging reporting standard into IFRS does not increase investment efficiency. This suggests that IFRS adoption in Indonesia does not increase financial reporting quality. Furthermore, the impact of managerial ownership on investment efficiency remains unchanged following IFRS adoption. This suggests that managerial ownership does not align the interest of stockholders and managers. As predicted, however, monitoring function of institutional investors is increased after the adoption.

Keywords: *Investment efficiency, moral hazard, adverse selection, institutional ownership, managerial ownership.*

Introduction

Prior studies on the effect of IFRS on the financial reporting quality in Indonesia found inconsistent results. Suprihatin and Tresnaningsih (2013)¹⁰ examine the effect of IFRS adoption using value relevance as a proxy for financial reporting quality and samples period of 2006-2011 find evidence supporting the positive effect of IFRS on value relevance of earnings and equity. Wulandari and Lastanti (2015)¹¹ examine the effect of IFRS by comparing the level of earnings management in 2011 and 2012. They found no evidence to support negative effect of IFRS on earnings management. Maiyarni, et al. (2014)¹² examine the effect of IFRS on firms' value from 2007 to 2012 and failed to provide significant changes in firm value. Nundini and Sri Lastanti (2014)¹³ also failed to identify the impact of IFRS on earnings management in period of 2010 to 2011.

This study attempts to provide additional evidence on the role of IFRS to enhance financial reporting quality in Indonesia. Unlike previous studies in Indonesia, this study uses

investment efficiency as a proxy for financial reporting quality. If the convergence of IFRS affect the quality of financial statements, than investment efficiency is predicted to increase post IFRS adoption. More importantly, this study also investigates the impact of IFRS on the relations between ownership structure and investment efficiency.

Hypothesis Development

Financial Reporting Quality and Investment Efficiency

Prior to full convergence of IFRS in 2012, financial reporting practices in Indonesia are dominantly affected by US accounting standards (SFAS). Up to 2009, there were only 4 IASs adopted in Indonesia. But in following years, the adoption of IAS standards increased to 18 in 2010-2011 (Suprihatin and Tresnaningsih, 2013). At substantive level, IFRS and SFAS are very much the same. Assumptions, concepts, and principles underlying IFRS are considerably affected by SFAS. But in some areas, the two standards have significant differences. Among others are accounting for leasing and asset valuation policy.

IFRS is commonly said to be principle-based standards and SFAS is rule-based standard. Therefore, many believe IFRS allows greater flexibility for managers to use his discretion to determine which accounting methods to be employed. On the other hand, SFAS attempts to restrict managers from using his discretions to intervene accounting process through describing details to be considered when preparing financial statements. Although both standards have attempted to improve the reliability of the financial statements, IFRS provide greater rooms for earnings management practices. As largely discussed in accounting literatures, earnings management can hide the true picture of firms' financial performance and thus harm financial reporting quality.

Despite the inherent weaknesses, IFRS encourage companies to choose fair value methods to better reflect firms real profitability and financial position. It is largely discussed in literature that fair value methods increase value relevance of financial reports. If this is true, then investment efficiency will be picked up. Therefore, it is difficult to argue convincingly the effect of IFRS on investment efficiency. This study takes position that positive effect of IFRS on financial statements are more dominant than their negative effects. Based on these arguments, the correlation between IFRS and investment efficiency is stated as follows :

H1: Investment efficiency is higher after the convergence of IFRS Institutional Ownership

Previous studies in several countries support the positive effect of institutional ownership on investment efficiency and other performance measures (Garcia et al . , 2010)²⁶. Research by Luthfiardi (2012)²⁷ using firms listed in Indonesian Stock Exchange found that institutional ownership positively affects investment efficiency. The role of institutional investors in monitoring manager activities is expected to be stronger after the convergence of IFRS. As described earlier, IFRS open wider space for managers to use discretion in preparing financial statements. Aware of the potential abuse of accounting discretion, institutional investors will be more inclined to heighten its monitoring functions. The relationship between institutional ownership and investment efficiency before and after the convergence of IFRS can be expressed as follows :

H2A: Institutional ownership positively affects investment efficiency before the convergence of IFRS.

H2B: The positive effect of institutional ownership on investment efficiency is more pronounced after the convergence of IFRS.

Managerial Ownership

30 Previous empirical studies suggest positive correlation between managerial ownership and firm performance (Palia and Lichtenberg, 1999³¹; Hubbard and Palia, 1995³²; Hermalin and Weisbach (1991)³³; Morck et al., 1988)³⁴. But it should be noted that a variety of performance measures have been used in these studies. Tobin's Q is used in Morck et al. (1988)³⁵. While Denis et al. (1994)³⁶ and Hubbard and Palia (1995)³⁷ use stock returns as a proxy for firms' performance. Others like Palia and Lichtenberg (1999)³⁸ and Maximovic and Phillips (1995)³⁹ employ the level of productivity to capture firms' efficiency. In contrast to empirical studies carried out abroad, managerial ownership studies using Indonesian stock market data documented inconsistent results (Wiranata and Nugrahanti, 2013⁴⁰).

Company's stock ownerships are expected to decrease managers' opportunistic behaviors. Managers will be motivated to work harder to ensure stockholders' interest are maintained. Managerial ownerships are also expected to boost the quality of financial reporting because managers are more concerned on providing reliable financial statements to convince market participants about firms future prospects. The combined effect of IFRS in reducing managers' opportunistic behaviors and increasing value relevance of financial reports are expected to strengthen the relationship between managerial ownership and investment efficiency. Hypotheses below are stated to reflect this relationship.

H3A: Managerial ownership positively affects investment efficiency prior to the convergence of IFRS.

H3B: The positive effect of managerial ownership on investment efficiency is more pronounced after the convergence of IFRS.

Data and Sample selection

Firms samples are all companies listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange in 2009-2013 with complete information to measure variables, except banking, insurance and other financial institutions. Firms with no complete information on institutional and managerial ownership are excluded from the sample. Based on these criteria, there are 454 observations available to test hypotheses. Annual reports are hand collected from official website of Indonesia Stock Exchange and firms' official websites.

Model

Regression model to test the hypotheses are stated as follows :

$$\text{Effisien}_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{IFRS}_t + \beta_2 \text{Kep_Man}_t + \beta_3 \text{IFRS} * \text{Kep_Man}_t + \beta_4 \text{Kep_Inst}_t + \beta_5 \text{IFRS} * \text{Kep_Inst}_t + \beta_6 \text{Kas}_t + \beta_7 \text{Lev}_t + \varepsilon_t$$

Where Effisien_t = Investment Efficiency at time t; IFRS_{it} = Dummy variable that takes 1 if the observations are taken from 2012-2013, and 0 otherwise; Kep_Man_{it} = Managerial ownership at time t; $\text{IFRS} * \text{Kep_Man}_{it}$ = interaction variable at time t ; Kep_Inst_t = institutional ownership at time t; $\text{IFRS} * \text{Kep_Inst}_t$ = interaction variable at time t, Kas_t = operating cash flow; Lev_t = debt to equity ratio.

Variable Measurement

a) Investment efficiency

Following Biddle et al. (2009)⁴¹ and Gomariz and Ballesta (2014)⁴², this study assumes growth opportunity proxied by sales growth is a major factor that encourages companies to make investment. In other word, invesment is a function of sales growth. Residual of the model reflects a deviation from the ideal level of investment. Negative (positive) residual reflects under (over) investment. Below is a regression model to relate investment and sales growth.

$$\text{Investment}_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{Sales Growth}_t + \varepsilon_t$$

Where,

Investment_t = Total investment in year t, defined as the net fixed asset increase scaled by total aset; Sales Growth_t = Percentage change in sales from year t-1 to t.

Regression residual is then transformed into an absolute value and multiplied by -1. This procedure is applied to facilitate interpretation of the results. Thus, the higher the transformed residual, the higher the investment efficiency.

b) IFRS

A dummy variable that takes 1 if observations belong to 2012 to 2013 and 0 if they belong to 2009-2011 .

c) Institutional Ownership

Institutional ownership reflects the number of stocks owned by institutional investors . This variable is measured by dividing the number of stocks held by institutions by outstanding shares.

d) Managerial Ownership

Managerial ownership reflects the number of stocks owned by managers. This variable is measured by dividing the number of stocks owned by managers by outstanding shares .

e) Cash and leverage (control variable)

Both of these variables are included in the model to control for amount of cash and debt. Fail to do so, may increase the likelihood of errors in variables that may affect the validity of results. Cash is the amount of cash balance at year t divided by total assets and leverage is total debt to total assets ratio .

Descriptive statistics

Descriptive statistics are stated in Table 1.

Mean and median for investment efficiency are -0.005 and -0.011 respectively. These suggest that firms samples experience under- investment . However, the distribution is more skewed to the right. Meanwhile, mean and median for institutional ownership and managerial ownership are 0.671 and 0.067 respectively, suggesting majority of stocks are owned by institutional investors.

Table 1
Descriptive Statistics

	Effisien	Inst_Own	Man_Own	IFRS	Kas	Lev
Mean	-0,005	0,671	0,067	0,467	0,127	0,477
Median	-0,011	0,684	0,026	0,000	0,084	0,480
Standard Deviation	0,026	0,184	0,113	0,500	0,125	0,202
Minimum	-0,050	0,220	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,040

Table 1
Descriptive Statistics

	Effisien	Inst_Own	Man_Own	IFRS	Kas	Lev
Mean	-0,005	0,671	0,067	0,467	0,127	0,477
Median	-0,011	0,684	0,026	0,000	0,084	0,480
Standard Deviation	0,026	0,184	0,113	0,500	0,125	0,202
Minimum	-0,050	0,220	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,040
Maksimum	0,060	1,000	0,700	1,000	0,720	0,980
N = 375						

Median for institutional ownership is almost equal to its mean suggesting that institutional ownership variable distribution approaches a normal distribution. Mean for IFRS is 0,467 indicating that 46.7 % of observations come from 2012 and 2013. On average, cash and debt level are 12.7 % and 47.8 % of total assets.

Results

Table 2 displays the results of regression analysis. Note that conclusion to reject or support the hypotheses is based on one tailed-test. As stated before, hypothesis one predicts that investment efficiency is higher after the convergence of IFRS. Table 2 shows IFRS has p-value of 0.324 suggesting that converging accounting standards into IFRS does not improve financial reporting quality. Hence H1_A is not statistically supported. Hypothesis H2_A predicts that prior to the convergence of IFRS institutional ownership positively affect investment efficiency. To test the hypothesis, the interaction term between IFRS and institutional ownership is added into the model. Since IFRS is a dummy variable with observations belong to 2009-2011 as a reference group, then the coefficient for institutional ownership (Kep_Inst) represents the effect of institutional ownership on investment efficiency prior to the convergence of IFRS. Results shown in Table 2 rejects hypothesis H2_A. Although significant at 10% level but the coefficient is negative.

Table 2

The effect of ownership structure and IFRS on investment efficiency

Variabel	Coefficient		T	Sig. (one tailed)	VIF
	B	Std. Error			
Constant	-,026	,002	-11,995	0,000	
IFRS	,001	,001	,456	0,324	1,008
Kep_Man	,009	,009	,968	0,167	2,235
IFRSxKep_Man	,007	,014	,529	0,298	2,300
Kep_Inst	-,009	,005	-1,581	0,057	2,264
IFRSxKep_Inst	,016	,008	1,892	0,029	2,386
KAS	,010	,006	1,822	0,034	1,104

DER	,004	,004	1,127	0,130	1,144
-----	------	------	-------	-------	-------

The results are not consistent with Garcia et al. (2010)⁴³, Zheka, (2003)⁴⁴ and Walsh and Whelan (2000)⁴⁵.

Hypothesis H2_B predicts the positive effects of institutional ownership on investment efficiency is stronger after the implementation of IFRS in Indonesia. The coefficient for interaction term (IFRS * Kep_inst) shown in table 2 has a positive direction with p-value of 0,029. Hence, hypothesis H2_B is statistically supported. Positive effect indicates correlation between institutional ownership and investment efficiency is higher following IFRS adoption and consistent with several studies such as Verawati et al. (2015)⁴⁶ and Luthfiardi (2012)⁴⁷.

Hypothesis H3_A predicts managerial ownership is positively related to investment efficiency. It can be seen from table 2 that even though the coefficient for managerial ownership (Kep_Man) is positive as predicted, regression coefficient is not statistically significant with p-value of 0.167. The result suggests that prior to IFRS adoption, stocks ownership are not effective tools to align the interest of managers and stockholders. Several previous studies in Indonesia also failed to find a correlation between managerial ownership and firms performance (among others are Wiranata and Nugrahanti (2013)⁴⁸, Aprina (2012)⁴⁹ and Hutahuruk (2011)⁵¹. However, Verawati et al. (2015)⁵¹, Luthfiardi (2012)⁵², Sofyaningsih and Hardiningsih (2011)⁵³ provide opposite results. The inconsistent results are probably caused by different managerial ownership percentage used among studies. Morck et al. (1988)⁵⁴ argue managerial ownership might negatively affect performance if managers are offered a large percentage of stocks. Large managerial ownership can encourage low quality managers to exploit firms' resources for personal gain. Thus hypothesis H3_A is not supported statistically

Hypothesis H3_B predicts positive effect of managerial ownership is more pronounced after the convergence of IFRS. As can be seen from table 2, the coefficient for interaction term between IFRS and managerial ownership is not statistically significant with p-value of 0.298. The result indicates correlation between managerial ownership and investment efficiency has not changed after IFRS convergence. In addition, cash to asset ratio improve investment efficiency.

Conclusion

Managers do not always act rationally and responsibly. Without adequate monitoring mechanisms managers will fall into a moral dilemma (moral hazard) leading to opportunistically use of firm resources. Managers will tend to excessively invest in projects that do not provide sufficient economic benefit or do not give positive net present value. Such a dysfunctional behavior can be detrimental to company success and harm stockholders' interests. To prevent from being accused of mismanagement, managers select accounting policies that will hide firms' poor performance. Low quality of financial reports result in incorrect investment decisions (adverse selection) and causing investment inefficiency. This study examines the role of institutional investors and managerial ownership to reduce moral hazard and adverse selection.

Regression analysis shows converging accounting standards into IFRS does not improve investment efficiency. This means that IFRS do not affect financial reporting quality. Insignificant results are also found for managerial ownership. In particular, managerial ownership does not enhance investment efficiency. But as predicted, the role of

institutional investors in monitoring firms' performance is more effective following IFRS adoption.

Limitation and future research

In this study, investment efficiency is measured using a model developed by Biddle et al. (2009)⁵⁵. The model is too simplistic because it assumes that sales growth is the only factor that affects investment. In fact, many variables might have effects on investment, one of which is free cash flow. In addition, the validity of results is very much dependent on the accuracy of model to detect over (under) investment. Therefore, inferences should be made cautiously.

Further research needs to consider alternative models to estimate investment efficiency. The model used in Lenger et al. (2011)⁵⁶ can be considered as one alternative. They add an interaction term between negative growth and prior year investments to estimate investment efficiency. The model is more realistic than the one proposed in Biddle et al. (2009).

References

1. Blanchard, O., Lopez-de-Silanes, F., Shleifer, A., 1994. What do firms do with cash windfalls? *Journal of Financial Economics* 36, 337–360.
2. Watts, R., and J. Zimmerman. 1986. Positive accounting theory. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall.
3. Frankel, R., and X. Li. 2004. Characteristics of a firm's information environment and the information asymmetry between insiders and outsiders. *Journal of Accounting and Economics* 37 (2): 229-59.
4. Biddle, G., Hilary, G., R.S Verdy, 2009. How does financial reporting quality relate to investment efficiency? *Journal of Accounting and Economics*.
5. Biddle, G., Hilary, G., R.S Verdy, 2009. How does financial reporting quality relate to investment efficiency? *Journal of Accounting and Economics*.
6. Cheng, M; Dhaliwal, D; Zhang Y. 2013. Does investment efficiency improve after the disclosure of material weakness in internal control over financial reporting?. *Journal Of accounting and Economics* 56: 1-18.
7. Jensen, M.C; and W.H. Meckling. 1976. Theory of the Firm: Managerial behavior, agency costs and ownership structure. *Journal of Financial Economics*. 305-360 (October)
8. Grossman, S. J., and Hart, O. D. 1986. The costs and benefits of ownership: A theory of vertical and lateral integration. *Journal of Political Economy*, 94, 4, 691-719.
9. Shleifer, A. And Vishny, R. W. 1986. Large stockholders and corporate control. *Journal of Political Economy*, 94, 3, 461-488.
10. Suprihatin S., dan E. Tresnaningsih. 2013. Dampak konvergensi International Financial Reporting Standard terhadap nilai relevan informasi akuntansi. *Jurnal Akuntansi dan Keuangan Indonesia*. Vol. 10, Nomor 2: 171-183.
11. Wulandari W.A., dan Sri Lastanti H. 2015. Pengaruh konvergensi IFRS efektif tahun 2012, kompleksitas akuntansi dan probabilitas kebangkrutan terhadap timeliness dan manajemen laba. *Jurnal Akuntansi Trisaksi* Volume 2, No. 1.hal 67-86.

12. Maiyarni R., Herawaty N., dan Fitriyani D. 2014. Pengaruh penerapan International Financial Reporting Standard terhadap nilai perusahaan. *Manajemen Keuangan*, Vol. 3, No. 1: 373-463
13. Nindini A.A., dan Sri Lastanti H. 2014. Pengaruh Konvergensi IFRS dan mekanisme corporate governance terhadap earnings management pada perusahaan manufaktur yang terdaftar di Bursa Efek Indonesia. *E-Jurnal Akuntansi Trisakti*. Volume 1, nomor 2, hal. 19-32.
14. Biddle, G., Hilary, G., R.S Verdy, 2009. How does financial reporting quality relate to investment efficiency? *Journal of Accounting and Economics*.
15. Blanchard, O., Lopez-de-Silanez, F., Shleifer, A., 1994. What do firms do with cash windfalls? *Journal of Financial Economics* 36, 337–360.
16. Cheng, M; Dhaliwal, D; Zhang Y. 2013. Does investment efficiency improve after the disclosure of material weakness in internal control over financial reporting?. *Journal Of accounting and Economics* 56: 1-18.
17. Jensen, M.C; and W.H. Meckling. 1976. Theory of the Firm: Managerial behavior, agency costs and ownership structure. *Journal of Financial Economics*. 305-360 (October)
18. Gomariz, M.F.C; Ballesta, J.P.S. 2014. Financial reporting quality, debt maturity, and investment efficiency. *Journal of Banking and Finance* 40: 494-506.
19. Cheng, M; Dhaliwal, D; Zhang Y. 2013. Does investment efficiency improve after the disclosure of material weakness in internal control over financial reporting?. *Journal Of accounting and Economics* 56: 1-18.
20. Jensen, M., 1986. Agency costs of free cash flow, corporate finance, and takeovers. *American Economic Review* 76, 323–329.
21. La Porta, P., Lopez-de-Silanes, F. And Shleifer, A. 1999. Corporate ownership around the world. *Journal of Finance*, 54, 471-517.
22. Grossman, S. J., and Hart, O. D. 1986. The costs and benefits of ownership: A theory of vertical and lateral integration. *Journal of Political Economy*, 94, 4, 691-719.
23. Shleifer, A. And Vishny, R. W. 1986. Large stockholders and corporate control. *Journal of Political Economy*, 94, 3, 461-488.
24. Shleifer, A. And Vishny, R. W. 1986. Large stockholders and corporate control. *Journal of Political Economy*, 94, 3, 461-488.
25. Hartzell, J.C., Sun, L., Titman, S., 2014. Institutional investors as monitors of corporate diversification decisions: Evidence from real estate investment trusts. *Journal of Corporate Finance* 25, 61-72.
26. García Lara, J. M., García Osma, B., Penalva, F., 2010. Conditional conservatism and firm investment efficiency. Working paper. Universidad Carlos III de Madrid.
27. Luthfiardi, Ikhsan. 2012. Analisis Pengaruh *Corporate Governance Performance Index* dan Konsentrasi Kepemilikan Perusahaan terhadap Efisiensi Investasi. Skripsi, Universitas Indonesia. Jakarta.
28. Demsetz, H., 1997. The Firm in Economic Theory: A Quite Resolution. *American Economic Review*, 87(2), 426-429.

29. Jensen, M.C; and W.H. Meckling. 1976. Theory of the Firm: Managerial behavior, agency costs and ownership structure. *Journal of Financial Economics*. 305-360 (October)
30. Jensen, M.C; and W.H. Meckling. 1976. Theory of the Firm: Managerial behavior, agency costs and ownership structure. *Journal of Financial Economics*. 305-360 (October)
31. Palia, D., F. Lichtenbergr. 1999. Managerial ownership and firm performance: A re-examination using productivity measurement. *Journal of Corporate Finance* 5 (1999) 323–339.
32. Hubbard, G., Palia, D., 1995. Benefits of control, managerial ownership, and the stock returns of acquiring firms. *Rand Journal of Economics, Winter*, 782–792.
33. Hermalin, B.E., Weisbach, M.S., 1991. The effects of board composition and direct incentives on firm performance. *Financial Management, Winter*, 101–112.
34. Morck, R., Shleifer, A., Vishny, R.W., 1988. Management ownership and market valuation. *Journal of Financial Economics* 20, 293–315.
35. Morck, R., Shleifer, A., Vishny, R.W., 1988. Management ownership and market valuation. *Journal of Financial Economics* 20, 293–315.
36. Denis, D.J., Denis, D.K., Sarin, A., 1994. Ownership structure and top management turnover. *Working paper*, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Blacksburg, VA.
37. Hubbard, G., Palia, D., 1995. Benefits of control, managerial ownership, and the stock returns of acquiring firms. *Rand Journal of Economics, Winter*, 782–792.
38. Palia, D., F. Lichtenbergr. 1999. Managerial ownership and firm performance: A re-examination using productivity measurement. *Journal of Corporate Finance* 5 (1999) 323–339.
39. Maximovic, V., Phillips, G., 1995. Efficiency of bankrupt firms and industry conditions: Theory and evidence. *Working paper*, University of Maryland, Adelphi, MD.
40. Wiranata Y.A., Y.W Nugrahanti. 2013. Pengaruh struktur kepemilikan terhadap profitabilitas perusahaan manufaktur di Indonesia. *Jurnal Akuntansi dan Keuangan*. Vol. 15, No. 1: 15-26.
41. Biddle, G., Hilary, G., R.S Verdy, 2009. How does financial reporting quality relate to investment efficiency? *Journal of Accounting and Economics*.
42. Gomaris, M.F.C; Ballesta, J.P.S. 2014. Financial reporting quality, debt maturity, and investment efficiency. *Journal of Banking and Finance* 40: 494-506
43. García Lara, J. M., García Osma, B., Penalva, F., 2010. Conditional conservatism and firm investment efficiency. Working paper. Universidad Carlos III de Madrid.
44. Zheka, V. (2003). Corporate governance *ownership structure and corporate efficiency: the case of Ukraine*. National University of “Kyiv-Mohyla Academy” Economic Education and Research Consortium Master’s Program in Economics.
45. Walsh, P. P., Whelan, C., 2000, “Firm performance and the Political Economy of Corporate Governance: Survey Evidence for Bulgaria, Hungary, Slovakia and Slovenia”, William Davidson Institute Working Paper 338.

46. Verawati, C.I Merina, dan I Kurniawati. 2015. Analisis pengembangan Corporate value berdasarkan keputusan investasi dan pendanaan, struktur kepemilikan serta kebijakan deviden pada perusahaan manufaktur yang terdaftar di Bursa Efek Indoneisa. *Simposium Nasional Akuntansi 18 Medan*, 16-19 September.
47. Luthfiardi, Ikhsan. 2012. Analisis Pengaruh *Corporate Governance Performance Index* dan Konsentrasi Kepemilikan Perusahaan terhadap Efisiensi Investasi. Skripsi, Universitas Indonesia. Jakarta.
48. Wiranata Y.A., Y.W Nugrahanti. 2013. Pengaruh struktur kepemilikan terhadap profitabilitas perusahaan manufaktur di Indonesia. *Jurnal Akuntansi dan Keuangan*. Vol. 15, No. 1: 15-26
49. Aprina D. 2012. Pengaruh kepemilikan manajerial, kepemilikan institusional, dan ukuran perusahaan terhadap kinerja perusahaan yang diukur menggunakan *economic value added*. *Working paper*. Universitas Gunadarma.
50. Hutahuruk J.R. 2011. Pengaruh kepemilikan manajemen, kepemilikan institusi, DER dan ROA terhadap nilai perusahaan. *Working paper*.
51. Verawati, C.I Merina, dan I Kurniawati. 2015. Analisis pengembangan Corporate value berdasarkan keputusan investasi dan pendanaan, struktur kepemilikan serta kebijakan deviden pada perusahaan manufaktur yang terdaftar di Bursa Efek Indoneisa. *Simposium Nasional Akuntansi 18 Medan*, 16-19 September.
52. Luthfiardi, Ikhsan. 2012. Analisis Pengaruh *Corporate Governance Performance Index* dan Konsentrasi Kepemilikan Perusahaan terhadap Efisiensi Investasi. Skripsi, Universitas Indonesia. Jakarta.
53. Sofyaningsih S. dan P. Hardiningsih. 2011. Struktur kepemilikan, kebijakan deviden, kebijakan utang, dan nilai perusahaan. *Dinamika Keuangan dan Perbankan*. Vol. 3, No.1: 68-87.
54. Morck, R., Shleifer, A., Vishny, R.W., 1988. Management ownership and market valuation. *Journal of Financial Economics* 20, 293–315.
55. Biddle, G., Hilary, G., R.S Verdy, 2009. How does financial reporting quality relate to investment efficiency? *Journal of Accounting and Economics*.
56. Lenger S., J Ernstberger, dan J. Stiebale. 2011. The impact of IFRS on firms' investment efficiency-European evidence on private and public firms. *Working paper*.

● 9% Overall Similarity

Top sources found in the following databases:

- 3% Publications database
- Crossref database
- Crossref Posted Content database
- 9% Submitted Works database

TOP SOURCES

The sources with the highest number of matches within the submission. Overlapping sources will not be displayed.

1	Curtin University of Technology on 2020-07-11	<1%
	Submitted works	
2	Royal Holloway and Bedford New College on 2021-12-08	<1%
	Submitted works	
3	Universiti Putra Malaysia on 2011-06-13	<1%
	Submitted works	
4	Alharahsheh, Khaled A.. "Relationship between Ownership Concentrati...	<1%
	Publication	
5	STIE Perbanas Surabaya on 2018-10-10	<1%
	Submitted works	
6	Queen's University of Belfast on 2021-10-26	<1%
	Submitted works	
7	Rim El Houcine, Adel Boubaker. "The Relation Between Stock Repurcha...	<1%
	Crossref	
8	Silverstein, Brian. "Essays on Managerial Opportunism, Pension De-Ris...	<1%
	Publication	
9	South Bank University on 2012-01-11	<1%
	Submitted works	

- 10 Tao Chen, Lingmin Xie, Yuanyuan Zhang. "How does analysts' forecast ... <1%
Crossref

- 11 University of Wolverhampton on 2013-01-23 <1%
Submitted works

- 12 Victoria University on 2023-06-30 <1%
Submitted works

- 13 uva on 2019-11-14 <1%
Submitted works

- 14 Asia Pacific University College of Technology and Innovation (UCTI) on... <1%
Submitted works

- 15 City University on 2018-08-28 <1%
Submitted works

- 16 Institute of Graduate Studies, UiTM on 2011-05-05 <1%
Submitted works

- 17 Middlesex University on 2020-12-24 <1%
Submitted works

- 18 Universiti Putra Malaysia on 2018-11-02 <1%
Submitted works

- 19 Universiti Utara Malaysia on 2010-11-30 <1%
Submitted works

- 20 University of Cambridge on 2022-09-28 <1%
Submitted works

- 21 Heriot-Watt University on 2022-04-04 <1%
Submitted works

- 22 **Pan-Atlantic University on 2022-07-07** <1%
Submitted works
-
- 23 **University of Bristol on 2011-10-13** <1%
Submitted works
-
- 24 **Conrado Diego García-Gómez, Ender Demir, José María Díez-Esteban, ...** <1%
Crossref
-
- 25 **International University - VNUHCM on 2016-05-16** <1%
Submitted works
-
- 26 **iGroup on 2012-10-03** <1%
Submitted works
-
- 27 **Ashok J. Robin, Hao Zhang. "Do Industry-Specialist Auditors Influence ...** <1%
Crossref
-
- 28 **Aston University on 2020-11-13** <1%
Submitted works
-
- 29 **Tiecheng Leng, Ying Liu, Yi Xiao, Chunxiao Hou. "Does firm financializa...** <1%
Crossref
-
- 30 **Palia, D.. "Managerial ownership and firm performance: A re-examinati...** <1%
Crossref
-
- 31 **Udayana University on 2020-03-22** <1%
Submitted works

● Excluded from Similarity Report

- Internet database
- Manually excluded text blocks
- Bibliographic material

EXCLUDED TEXT BLOCKS

IFRS Adoption In Indonesia and Its Implication On The relationshipBetween Owner...

University of Birmingham on 2022-05-05

Investment efficiency is higher

Royal Holloway and Bedford New College on 2021-12-08

The relationship between

Royal Holloway and Bedford New College on 2021-12-08

The positive effect of

Lifang Li, Lexin Qiu, Fangming Xu, Xinwei Zheng. "The impact of green credit on firm's green investment effic...

institutional ownership

University of Auckland on 2016-09-12

of IFRS on value relevance ofearnings and equity

Curtin University of Technology on 2020-07-11

examine the effect of

Victoria University on 2023-06-30

on the effect of IFRS on the financial reporting quality

Pan-Atlantic University on 2022-07-07

as a proxy for financial reporting quality

Paul Tanyi, Barri Litt. "The Unintended Consequences of the Frequency of PCAOB Inspection", Journal of Bu...

effect of IFRS on earnings management

Victoria University on 2023-06-30

the effect of IFRS on

Victoria University on 2023-06-30

to provide

... yciIoP dneDiviD fo tceffE evitcirtseR eht gnitagitim ni ytilauQ gnitropeR laicnaniF fo eloR ehT" .

as a proxy for financial reporting quality

Paul Tanyi, Barri Litt. "The Unintended Consequences of the Frequency of PCAOB Inspection", Journal of Bu...

the impact of IFRS on the

... : .

Financial Reporting Quality and Investment Efficiency

International University - VNUHCM on 2016-05-16

this study also investigates

International University - VNUHCM on 2016-05-16

the

Udayana University on 2020-03-22

the positive effect of

Isabel-María García-Sánchez, Emma García-Meca. "Do talented managers invest more efficiently? The mode..."

firms listed in Indonesian Stock Exchange

University of Birmingham on 2022-09-06

found that institutional ownership positively affects investment efficiency. The

Queen's University of Belfast on 2021-10-26

Institutional ownership positively affects investment efficiency

Queen's University of Belfast on 2021-10-26

correlation between managerial ownership and firm performance

University of Birmingham on 2022-09-06

Tobin's Q is used in

University of Hull on 2009-05-30

Hermalinand Weisbach (1991

Carlos Fernández Méndez, Silvia Gómez-Ansón. "Does ownership structure affect firm performance? Eviden..."

Morck et al.(1988

London School of Commerce on 2013-01-23

the quality of financial

University of Bristol on 2011-09-29

the relationship between managerial ownership and investment efficiency

Royal Holloway and Bedford New College on 2021-12-08

positively affects investment efficiency

Lifang Li, Lexin Qiu, Fangming Xu, Xinwei Zheng. "The impact of green credit on firm's green investment effic..."

The positive effect of

William Ming Yan Cheung, Hyun Joong Im, Srinivasan Selvam. "Stock liquidity and investment efficiency: Evi..."

after

Fakultas Ekonomi Universitas Indonesia on 2015-06-30

are all companies listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange in

Trisakti University on 2016-05-04

Biddle et al. (2009

International University - VNUHCM on 2019-06-10

from the

Foreign Trade University on 2023-06-27

in year t, defined as the net

M^a Fuensanta Cutillas Gomariz, Juan Pedro Sánchez Ballesta. "Financial reporting quality, debt maturity and..."

Percentage change in sales from year t-1 to t

Pham, Trung. "The Effect of Internal Governance on Earnings Quality.", Kent State University, 2020

measured by

Rim El Houcine, Adel Boubaker. "The Relation Between Stock Repurchase And Ownership Structure In Franc..."

number of stocks owned by managers

Davenport University on 2015-09-04

included in the model to control for

Universiti Putra Malaysia on 2011-06-13

control variable

University of Hull on 2010-09-10

by total assets

Victoria University on 2023-06-30