CHAPTER 5 IMPLEMENTATION AND RESULTS

5.1. Implementation

The first step is to enter the CSV file in the Orange application. The import option allows the user to inspect the contents of the raw data set fields. From the image below, we can see the car price data has 19,237 rows, 14 features, and 4 metas.

	CSV File Import - Orange ? ×	
	File: Car_price_prediction.csv V	
T's	15257 TOWS, 147 CBUILES, 410 CBS	
	Import Options Cancel Reload	
	Figure 5.1 CSV File Import	

After importing the CSV file, choose Select Transform. In the image below, it can be seen that there are 13 features consisting of ID, manufacturer, production year, category, leather interior, fuel type, cylinders, gear box type, drive wheels, doors, wheels, color, airbags, and column price that are the target for data processing. On the other hand, there are metas, which consist of levy, model, engine volume, and mileage.



After that, make a data sampler. Establish the preset percentage of data in the data sampler. In order to choose columns, attach the imported CSV file to the data sampler. The Fixed Ratio of Data in this study is set to 70%, 80%, and 90%.

🗔 Data Sampler ? 🛛 🗙	🗔 Data Sampler ? 🛛 🗙	🗔 Data Sampler ? 🛛 🗙	
Sampling Type Fixed proportion of data:	Sampling Type Fixed proportion of data:	Sampling Type Fixed proportion of data:	
70 %		90 %	
O Fixed sample size	 Fixed sample size 	○ Fixed sample size	
Instances: 1	Instances: 1	Instances: 1	
Sample with replacement	Sample with replacement	Sample with replacement	
O Cross validation	O Cross validation	O Cross validation	
Number of subsets: 10 🗘	Number of subsets: 10 🗘	Number of subsets: 10	
Unused subset: 1	Unused subset: 1 🗘	Unused subset: 1	
O Bootstrap	O Bootstrap	O Bootstrap	
Options	Options A S	Options	
Replicable (deterministic) sampling	Replicable (deterministic) sampling	Replicable (deterministic) sampling	
Stratify sample (when possible)	Stratify sample (when possible)	Stratify sample (when possible)	
Sample Data	Sample Data	Sample Data	
② □ 19.2k 17.3k 192	② □ 19.2k → 17.3k 192	② □ 19.2k → 17.3k 192	

Figure 5.3 Set Ratio Data to 70%, 80%, and 90%

Then click the model, what we use here is a model that can do the regression process. this time we use Neural Network and KNN as a model to get training data. We will later use these two models as comparisons which are effective in predicting car prices.



Figure 5.4 Select Model Neural Network and KNN

After selecting the model, in the Evaluation stage, the Orange application can display several results according to what we need. Because we aim to predict car prices, what we need are predictions. We can see the results in the evaluation section, the model with the lowest MSE value is the most effective model in predicting prices.



Figure 5.5 Select Prediction from Evaluate

Connect everything after completing the previously described setup to enable the use of the predictions. Make sure that nothing is missed in each process because it will affect the end result. Especially in the Data Sampler and Remaining data sections.



Neural Network

Figure 5.6 Connect all Steps

Another thing to do is to change the link between the Sample Data and Predictions. The Data Sample will be connected to Data by default; change it to remaining data being connected to Data. This needs to be done so that the prediction process can run properly.

婆 Edit Links - Orange	?	×
Data Sample Data Data Remaining Data Predictors		
Data Sampler Prediction	15	
Clear All	Cano	el

Figure 5.7 Connect Remaining Data to Data

5.2. Results

After conducting an experiment with 90% sampler data, the predicted results are as shown in the image below. The data will be processed using the SME, RMSE, and MAE formulas. From this formula, you can see which model has the lowest error value.

Neural N	letwork	kNN	Price
-	24881	12654	16935
· G	3174	12168	11604
-0	4726	13817	7840
	13800	2446	2195
	5308	14445	706
	33269	9046	47042
	23501	44181	24709
	42909	14552	27598
-	15674	6539	1333
_	15255	15367	15681

Figure 5.1 Car Price Prediction Results

$$MSE = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=0}^{N} (target - prediction)^{2}$$
$$RMSE = \sqrt{MSE}$$
$$MAE = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=0}^{N} |target - prediction|$$
Figure 5.2 MSE, RMSE, and MAE formulas



Figure 5.3 Calculation results with the MSE, RMSE, and MAE formulas



Figure 5.4 Result Prediction with 70%, 80%, and 90% data training.

Based on the results of the graph above, it can be concluded that the Neural Network model has a lower error value than the KNN mode. This conclusion was obtained after three trials with a comparison of the variance between the training data and the testing data. The comparison variances are 70%-30%, 80%-20%, 90%-10%. Of the three variants, the Neural Network produces the lowest value.

