# ICIC APTIKOM 2021 - Presenter's Certificate for Paper ID 43

External

Inbox

dewi.khairani@uinjkt.ac.id <sup>Wed, Nov 3, 2021, 10:09 PM</sup>

Dear Albertus Dwiyoga Widiantoro

Please find your presenter's certificate for paper: Title: Examining the Adoption of Mobile Payment Service: Expectation Confirmation Model with Trust Presenter: Albertus Dwiyoga Widiantoro

in the attachment below. We appreciated that you made great contribution with the paper that you submit to ICIC 2021. Please join us again in the next ICIC in Bali!

Best regards, Committee

# **ELECTRONIC COPYRIGHT OF ICIC 2021**

Dear Authors, Thank you for your participation in the Paper Presentation and all ICIC 2021 events. Now, we are in the next step to publish your works, IEEE Xplore will send you the link to the CORRESPONDING EMAIL ADDRESS for each paper. Please make sure that you fill the CORRECT AND FINAL Paper Authors and Paper Title. Note: - One Google Form Response only for One Paper ID. - Please fill this form no later than November 7, 2021, at 12 PM Thank you for your cooperation and support.

Email \*

yoga@unika.ac.id

Your Paper ID (Answer WITHOUT 0 in front of it) \* If you have more than 1 paper, please make another submission

99

#### Your Paper Presenter's Full Name \*

This is for certificate purpose, make sure you insert the name to be print on the certificate

Albertus Dwiyoga Widiantoro

All Authors in Your Final Camera-Ready Paper \* As example: Dewi Khairani, Siti Ummi Masruroh and Yuditha Ichsani, no additional numbers, the order should match on the Camera Ready Paper

Albertus Widiantoro, Adi Wibowo, Bernardinus Harnadi

Your Final Camera-Ready Paper Title \* should match your Camera Ready Paper

User Sentiment Analysis in the Fintech OVO Review Based on the Lexicon Method

Corresponding Email Address to be sent the Electronic Copyright by IEEE Xplore \* insert only one email

<u>yoga@unika.ac.id</u>

Your Phone Number \* For fast contact if we need to contact you about the publication

081325723410

Thank you for your participation. If you need more information about ICIC 2021 do not hesitate to contact us through the contact person listed on our website. https://icic-aptikom.org

# ICIC 2021 notification for paper 43

External

Inbox

# ICIC 2021 <icic2021@easychair.org> Tue, Oct 5, 2021, 10:12 PM

to me

Dear Albertus Widiantoro

We are pleased to inform you that your paper:

Paper ID: 43

Title: Examining the Adoption of Mobile Payment Service: Expectation Confirmation Model with Trust

that submitted to the 6th International Conference on Informatics and Computing (ICIC 2021) has been **ACCEPTED** for an oral presentation. We cordially invite you to attend by presenting your paper in the ICIC 2021.

It is mandatory to prepare the camera-ready paper as per the instructions listed on ICIC 2021 website and your paper will not be published unless the following are done:

1. Revise your paper(s) according to the reviewers' comments. The detailed review is listed below in this email.

2. The accepted similarity level is a maximum of 20% which you may check using Turnitin or other similar plagiarism checks.

3. Format your camera-ready paper as per guidelines and follow strictly the A4-IEEE format in pdf file by creating it using IEEE pdf express. (<u>https://ieee-pdf-express.org/account/signup</u>)

4. Fill the registration form that can be accessed from the website. Submit it including the proof of your payment and proof of student status when it is relevant (<u>https://s.id/ICIC21\_reg</u>).

5. Send your camera-ready paper (in MS Word and PDF) also the presentation file to <u>https://s.id/ICIC21\_cam</u>

6. Upload your recording presentation to <u>https://s.id/ICIC21\_vid</u> you can read the instruction here (<u>https://icic-aptikom.org/2021new/submission/</u>)

7. Electronic IEEE copyright form will be sent to the correspondent e-mail for each of your accepted papers.

Please be reminded that the due date for early bird registration is 16 October 2021. At least one author has to register for the conference.

The conference will take place by VIRTUAL from 3-4 November 2021. As soon as the schedule is completed, it will be posted on the conference website.

All related conference materials can be found at <u>https://icic-aptikom.org/</u> Please let us know if you have any registration questions.

With the warmest regard,

Technical Program Chairs

#### SUBMISSION: 43

TITLE: Examining the Adoption of Mobile Payment Service: Expectation Confirmation Model with Trust

----- REVIEW 1 -----

SUBMISSION: 43

TITLE: Examining the Adoption of Mobile Payment Service: Expectation Confirmation Model with Trust AUTHORS: Albertus Widiantoro, Bernardinus Harnadi and Hendra Prasetya

----- Overall evaluation ------

Below are my comments:

1. In the introduction, please discuss e-payment/m-payment in Indonesia context. I found there are many studies related to e-payment/m-payment adoption in Indonesia setting, which you never discussed. It is important to articulate the research gaps clearly in the Introduction section.

2. Why did you need to combine ECM with trust?

3. The rationale of the hypotheses should be improved. Please include m-payment context when developing the hypotheses.

4. Please rewrite the methodology section. It should include the following: instrument development, data collection procedure, data analysis method.

5. The value of loading factors, AVE, CR, CA can be combined into a single table.

6. Please add a discussion and implication section. In the current form, you just wrote your results/findings.

7. Please add limitation and future research in the conclusion section.

----- REVIEW 2 ------

SUBMISSION: 43

TITLE: Examining the Adoption of Mobile Payment Service: Expectation Confirmation Model with Trust AUTHORS: Albertus Widiantoro, Bernardinus Harnadi and Hendra Prasetya

----- Overall evaluation ------

- What is the rationale for researching Mobile Payments Service Adoption?

-What are the problems and research questions of this article?

- What is the reliability of the results of this research contribution? try to explain !

- How has previous research related to this topic? what are the differences and drawbacks?
- What are the expectations of the results of this study?
- How do you get the data and how do you validate from the data?
- Does the conclusion of the article answer the research question?

# [EICONCIT'21] Your paper #1570695188 ('Examining the Adoption of Mobile Payment Service: Expectation Confirmation Model with Trust')

External

Inbox



eiconcit=ist...@edas.info Sun, Feb 21, 2021, 7:12 PM

to me, Bernardinus, FX, eiconcit

Dear Mr. Albertus Widiantoro:

Unfortunately, your paper #1570695188 ('Examining the Adoption of Mobile Payment Service: Expectation Confirmation Model with Trust') for EICONCIT'21 has not been accepted for publication. We hope that you will find the reviews useful when revising your paper.

The reviews are below or can be found at <u>https://edas.info/showPaper.php?m=1570695188</u>.

# **Review 1**

Theme: How was the relevance to the themes of the EIConCIT ?

#### Good (4)

Contribution: How was the contribution to academic debate ?

#### Good (4)

Structure: How was the structure of the paper/manuscript/work ?

Good (4)

Standard of English: How was the standard of English ?

#### Good (4)

Method: How was the appropriateness of the research/study method ?

#### Good (4)

Content: How was the relevance and clarity of text, figures, and tables ?

#### Good (4)

Abstract: How was the appropriateness of abstract as a description of the paper/manuscript/work ?

#### Good (4)

*Keywords: How was the appropriateness and number of keywords/key phrases ?* 

#### Good (4)

Originality: How was the originality of the work?

#### Fair (2)

Acknowledgment: How was the acknowledgment of the others work by proper references ?

#### Average (3)

Conclusion: How was the discussion and conclusions?

#### Good (4)

*Result: How was the relevance of the research results to the scientific development in the paper/manuscript/work ?* 

#### Good (4)

#### Comments: Additional Comments

- The similarity rating is too high.
- Some parts in the introduction are not well references cited.
- It is better if the authors represent the meaning from every criteria from the research result, therefore it will be well informed.

# 2021 EIConCIT 2

Theme: How was the relevance to the themes of the EIConCIT ?

### Good (4)

Contribution: How was the contribution to academic debate ?

### Good (4)

Structure: How was the structure of the paper/manuscript/work?

#### Good (4)

Standard of English: How was the standard of English ?

#### Average (3)

Method: How was the appropriateness of the research/study method ?

#### Fair (2)

Content: How was the relevance and clarity of text, figures, and tables ?

#### Fair (2)

Abstract: How was the appropriateness of abstract as a description of the paper/manuscript/work ?

#### Good (4)

Keywords: How was the appropriateness and number of keywords/key phrases ?

#### Good (4)

Originality: How was the originality of the work ?

#### Poor (1)

Acknowledgment: How was the acknowledgment of the others work by proper references ?

Average (3)

Conclusion: How was the discussion and conclusions ?

#### Average (3)

*Result: How was the relevance of the research results to the scientific development in the paper/manuscript/work ?* 

Fair (2)

#### Comments: Additional Comments

This paper measures the use of the mobile payment service. The use of smart pls need to convey the schematic used. this is to provide clarity of the process being carried out. because the methodology is not clear.

# 2021 EIConCIT 3

Theme: How was the relevance to the themes of the EIConCIT ?

Not Applicable (0)

Contribution: How was the contribution to academic debate ?

Fair (2)

Structure: How was the structure of the paper/manuscript/work?

Poor (1)

Standard of English: How was the standard of English?

Average (3)

Method: How was the appropriateness of the research/study method ?

Fair (2)

Content: How was the relevance and clarity of text, figures, and tables ?

#### Fair (2)

Abstract: How was the appropriateness of abstract as a description of the paper/manuscript/work?

Fair (2)

Keywords: How was the appropriateness and number of keywords/key phrases ?

Fair (2)

Originality: How was the originality of the work ?

# Fair (2)

Acknowledgment: How was the acknowledgment of the others work by proper references ?

# Fair (2)

Conclusion: How was the discussion and conclusions ?

#### Fair (2)

*Result: How was the relevance of the research results to the scientific development in the paper/manuscript/work ?* 

#### Fair (2)

Comments: Additional Comments

the paper has a high similarity try to lower below 20 the methodology is not clear the topic is out of scope for the conference submit to another conference

# 2021 EIConCIT 4

Theme: How was the relevance to the themes of the EIConCIT ?

#### Fair (2)

Contribution: How was the contribution to academic debate ?

#### Poor (1)

Structure: How was the structure of the paper/manuscript/work?

#### Poor (1)

Standard of English: How was the standard of English ?

#### Fair (2)

Method: How was the appropriateness of the research/study method ?

# Fair (2)

Content: How was the relevance and clarity of text, figures, and tables ?

### Poor (1)

Abstract: How was the appropriateness of abstract as a description of the paper/manuscript/work ?

#### Poor (1)

*Keywords: How was the appropriateness and number of keywords/key phrases ?* 

### Poor (1)

Originality: How was the originality of the work?

#### Poor (1)

Acknowledgment: How was the acknowledgment of the others work by proper references ?

#### Poor (1)

Conclusion: How was the discussion and conclusions ?

#### Poor (1)

*Result: How was the relevance of the research results to the scientific development in the paper/manuscript/work ?* 

#### Poor (1)

Comments: Additional Comments

1. The paper has a high similarity value and this topic has limited of interest in this conference. Please lower the similarity value and submit it to other conferences.

Regards, Endang Setyati, Chair

# **Copyright Pending Notice for Article: Examining the Adoption of Mobile Payment Service: Expectation Confirmation Model with Trust**

External



ECopyright@ieee.org Mon, Nov 8, 2021, 4:00 PM

to me

Username and password to access the Electronic Publication Agreement for: Examining the Adoption of Mobile Payment Service: Expectation Confirmation Model with Trust

Publication Title: 2021 Sixth International Conference on Informatics and Computing (ICIC) Article Title: Examining the Adoption of Mobile Payment Service: Expectation Confirmation Model with Trust Author E-mail: <u>yoga@unika.ac.id</u> eCF Paper Id: 343771

Dear Sir or Madam:

The above-titled article has been submitted to IEEE for publication in an upcoming journal or conference proceedings. The publication volunteer for that journal or conference has indicated to us that you are authorized to complete the article's publishing agreement with IEEE. Further, he/she has provided your name and e-mail address.

URL: <u>https://ecopyright.ieee.org/ECTT/login.do</u> Username: <u>yoga@unika.ac.id</u> Password: 1636362022932

Please be aware that the manuscript submission process will not be able to continue until this important step is completed. Finally, if you are not authorized to complete the publishing agreement,

I hope you will forward the e-mail on to the person you believe has the necessary authority to sign.

Thank you, in advance, for your consideration and effort.

IEEE IPR Office

# PLEASE DO NOT RESPOND TO THIS EMAIL.

For technical assistance or to search our knowledge base, please visit our support site at : <u>http://ieee.custhelp.com/app/answers/list/p/82</u>

•••

[Message clipped] <u>View entire message</u>