

PROJECT REPORT

COMPARISON LEARNING VECTOR QUANTIZATION
AND NAIVE BAYES ALGORITHM IN AIRLINE
PASSENGER SATISFACTION

NIKODEMUS GALIH CANDRA WICAKSONO
18.K1.0082

Faculty of Computer Science Soegijapranata Catholic University 2022





Judul Tugas Akhir: : COMPARISON LEARNING VECTOR QUANTIZATION AND NAIVE

BAYES ALGORITHM IN AIRLINE PASSENGER SATISFACTION

Diajukan oleh : Nikodemus Galih Candra Wicakso

NIM : 18.K1.0082

Tanggal disetujui : 14 Januari 2022

Telah setujui oleh

Pembimbing : Hironimus Leong S.Kom., M.Kom.

Penguji 1 : Hironimus Leong S.Kom., M.Kom.

Penguji 2 : R. Setiawan Aji Nugroho S.T., MCompIT., Ph.D

Penguji 3 : Rosita Herawati S.T., M.I.T.

Penguji 4 : Y.b. Dwi Setianto S.T., M.Cs.

Penguji 5 : Yonathan Purbo Santosa S.Kom., M.Sc

Penguji 6 : Yulianto Tejo Putranto S.T., M.T.

Ketua Program Studi : Rosita Herawati S.T., M.I.T.

Dekan : Dr. Bernardinus Harnadi S.T., M.T.

Halaman ini merupakan halaman yang sah dan dapat diverifikasi melalui alamat di bawah ini.

sintak.unika.ac.id/skripsi/verifikasi/?id=18.K1.0082

DECLARATION OF AUTHORSHIP

I, the undersigned:

Name

: NIKODEMUS GALIH CANDRA WICAKSONO

ID

: 18.K1.0082

declare that this work, titled "COMPARISON LEARNING VECTOR QUANTIZATION AND NAÏVE BAYES ALGORITHM IN AIRLINE PASSENGER SATISFACTION", and the work presented in it is my own. I confirm that:

- 1. This work was done wholly or mainly while in candidature for a research degree at Soegijapranata Catholic University
- Where any part of this thesis has previously been submitted for a degree or any other qualification at this University or any other institution, this has been clearly stated.
- 3. Where I have consulted the published work of others, this is always clearly attributed.
- 4. Where I have quoted from the work of others, the source is always given.
- 5. Except for such quotations, this work is entirely my own work.
- I have acknowledged all main sources of help.
- Where the work is based on work done by myself jointly with others, I have made clear exactly what was done by others and what I have contributed myself.

Semarang, January, 20, 2022



NIKODEMUS GALIH CANDRA WICAKSONO

18.K1.0082

STATEMENT PAGE OF SCIENTIFIC PUBLICATIONS FOR ACADEMIC INTEREST

I, the undersigned:

Name : NIKODEMUS GALIH CANDRA WICAKSONO

Study Program : Informatics Engineering

Faculty : Computer Science

Type of Work : Thesis

Approve to grant Soegijapranata Catholic University Semarang Non-exclusive Royalty-Free Rights for the scientific works entitled "COMPARISON LEARNING VECTOR QUANTIZATION AND NAIVE BAYES ALGORITHM IN AIRLINE PASSENGER SATISFACTION" along with existing tools (if needed). With these rights, database form, maintain, and publish this final project as long as it includes my name as the writer/creator and as the copyright owner.

This statement was made truthfully.

Semarang, January, 20, 2022

NIKODEMUS GALIH CANDRA WICAKSONO

18.K1.0082

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I thank all lecturers of Informatics Engineering at Soegijapranata Unika Semarang. Because they all the knowledge that I have gained during the study period is very useful in writing this thesis report. Especially to Mr. Hironimus Leong as my supervisor who always helped in the making of this thesis from beginning to end. And also for always providing useful motivation in the preparation of this thesis and for my future.

I am also grateful and thank all my close friends. They have always accompanied, helped, and motivated since the beginning of the study period until the completion of this thesis. Because of them I was able to develop myself together during my studies.

I also thank my family who always give support and encouragement so that this thesis can be completed quickly because they are always asked "How is the thesis going?". They are also the ones who have helped in various ways to date.

And finally I say to myself. Thank you for fighting so far. And also, spirit and good luck always after graduating from the study period.

Semarang, January, 20, 2022

NIKODEMUS GALIH CANDRA WICAKSONO

18.K1.0082

ABSTRACT

Data is something that is very easy to obtain nowadays. Because data is easily obtained and stored digitally, the data becomes very large. For example, data from airline customer satisfaction which reaches around 130000 data. However, to process that much data, an algorithm is needed. Therefore, here I use the Naive Bayes algorithm and learning vector quantization (LVQ). Both algorithms are algorithms for classifying. This study was conducted to determine whether the two algorithms can be used in classifying airline passenger satisfaction data. I also compared the two algorithms to find out which one is better at classifying the airline satisfaction data. The level of accuracy becomes a parameter to determine a better algorithm.

In completing this research, several steps were carried out. The first step is to get and process the data. In processing the data, data preprocessing is carried out, namely deleting empty data and changing the data so that the program can process it. After that, the classification process is carried out using both algorithms. Each algorithm test was carried out 5 tests with different amounts of training data, namely 90%, 75%, 50%, 25% and 10%. After the test is complete, the accuracy of all tests is calculated. The average accuracy of each test with different training data will be the accuracy value of the algorithm.

From the results of the testing that has been done, there are 5 tests for naive Bayes and also 5 tests for learning vector quantization. The accuracy results obtained are the average Naive Bayes accuracy of 89.076% while the average accuracy of learning vector quantization is 79.39% for the airline's passenger satisfaction data. So it can be concluded that the two algorithms can be used to classify airline passenger satisfaction data and the Naive Bayes algorithm is better than learning vector quantization.

Keyword: naive_bayes, bayesian, learning_vector_quantization, lvq

TABLE OF CONTENTS

R	i
OVAL AND RATIFICATION PAGE	ii
ARATION OF AUTHORSHIP	iii
EMENT PAGE OF SCIENTIFIC PUBLICATIONS FOR ACADEMIC INTE	RESTiv
OWLEDGMENT	v
RACT	vi
E OF CONTENTS	vii
OF FIGURE	ix
OF TABLE	
TER 1 INTRODUCTION	11
Background	11
Problem Formulation	12
Scope	12
Objective	12
TER 2 LITERATURE STUDY	
TER 3 RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	16
Data Collection	16
Algorithm	16
Coding and Design	16
Analysis	17
Make a Report	
TER 4 ANALYSIS AND DESIGN	
TER 5 IMPLEMENTATION AND RESULTS	
	OVAL AND RATIFICATION PAGE

5.2.	Results	45
CHAP	ΓER 6 CONCLUSION	51
REFER	RENCES	52
APPEN	NDIX	



LIST OF FIGURE

Figure 4.1 Workflow	18
Figure 4.2 LVQ Architecture	26
Figure 5.1 Graph of accuracy LVQ alpha=0.9	48
Figure 5.2 Graph of accuracy LVQ alpha=0.1 and eps=0.0000001	48
Figure 5.3 Graph of accuracy LVQ alpha=0.1 and eps=0.0001	49
Figure 5.4 Graph of accuracy alpha=0.1 and eps=0.01	49
Figure 5.5 Graph of accuracy alpha=0.01 and eps=0.0000001	49
Figure 5.6 Graph of accuracy alpha=0.01 and eps=0.0001	49
Figure 5.7 Graph of accuracy alpha=0.05 and eps=0.0000001	50
Figure 5.8 Graph of accuracy alpha=0.05 and eps=0.0001	50

LIST OF TABLE

Table 3.1. Analysis	17
Table 4.1. Data Table	18
Table 4.2. Modified Attribute Data Table	20
Table 4.3. Distribution of Training and Testing Data	20
Table 4.4. Data Sample Naïve Bayes (20 data).	22
Table 4.5. Training Dataset Naïve Bayes	22
Table 4.6. Testing Dataset Naïve Bayes	23
Table 4.7. Data Sample LVQ (20 data)	27
Table 4.8. Training Dataset LVQ	27
Table 4.9. Testing Dataset LVQ	28
Table 4.10. Initial Weight	29
Table 5.1. Naive Bayes Results	45
Table 5.2. Results LVQ Alpha 0.9 and Eps 0.0000001, 0.0001, 0.01	
Table 5.3. Results LVQ Alpha 0.1 and Eps 0.0000001	
Table 5.4. Results LVQ Alpha 0.1 and Eps 0.0001	
Table 5.5. Results LVQ Alpha 0.1 and Eps 0.01	47
Table 5.6. Results LVQ Alpha 0.01 and Eps 0.0000001	47
Table 5.7. Results LVQ Alpha 0.01 and Eps 0.0001	47
Table 5.8. Results LVQ Alpha 0.05 and Eps 0.0000001	47
Table 5.9. Results LVQ Alpha 0.05 and Eps 0.0001	47