

LAMPIRAN
KUESIONER PENELITIAN

ANALISIS PENERIMAAN PENGGUNA SISTEM INFORMASI AKUNTANSI
DARI PERSPEKTIF *TECHNOLOGY ACCEPTANCE MODEL*
(STUDI EMPIRIS PADA TOKO BANGUNAN DI SEMARANG)

IDENTITAS RESPONDEN

1. Nama : _____ (opsional)

2. Jenis Kelamin : L P

3. Umur : 18-25 26-30 31-40 41-50

4. Pendidikan : SMA/K D3 S1 S2 S3

5. Jabatan : Manajerial

Manajerial (terdiri dari pimpinan seperti presiden direktur, direktur perusahaan, dan general manager)

Klerikal

Klerikal (terdiri dari staf yang berhubungan dengan akuntansi seperti bagian keuangan, pajak, dan administrasi)

Non Klerikal

Non Klerikal (terdiri dari staf operasional/ non akuntansi seperti staf kontrol, logistik, dan sumber daya manusia)

6. Lama Bekerja : < 5 tahun

5-10 tahun

>10 tahun

KETERANGAN

1 = Sangat Tidak Setuju (STS)

3 = Setuju (S)

2 = Tidak Setuju (TS)

4 = Sangat Setuju (SS)

ATTITUDE TOWARD USING (Aakers dan Myers, 2006)

NO	PERNYATAAN	STS	TS	S	SS
1	Saya percaya bahwa menggunakan sistem informasi ini merupakan ide yang bagus				
2	Menggunakan sistem informasi ini dalam mengerjakan pekerjaan memberikan saya banyak kesenangan				
3	Saya menyukai ide menggunakan sistem informasi ini				
4	Secara umum menggunakan sistem informasi ini memberikan keuntungan dalam mengerjakan pekerjaan				
5	Secara keseluruhan, saya menikmati penggunaan sistem informasi ini				

BEHAVIORAL INTENTION (Wibowo, 2008)

NO	PERNYATAAN	STS	TS	S	SS
1	Saya berniat untuk menggunakan sistem informasi ini dalam pekerjaan saya				
2	Saya berniat untuk menggunakan sistem informasi ini sesering mungkin dalam pekerjaan saya				
3	Saya berencana untuk menggunakan sistem informasi ini masa mendatang				
4	Saya berniat untuk meningkatkan penggunaan sistem informasi ini dalam pekerjaan saya.				
5	Saya memperkirakan akan menggunakan sistem informasi ini secara berlanjut di masa depan				

PERCEIVED USEFULNESS (Davis, 1989)

NO	PERNYATAAN	STS	TS	S	SS
1	Menggunakan sistem informasi ini akan memungkinkan saya lebih cepat dalam menyelesaikan pekerjaan				
2	Menggunakan sistem informasi ini akan meningkatkan kinerja saya dalam pekerjaan				
3	Menggunakan sistem informasi ini akan meningkatkan efektivitas kerja dan memudahkan saya dalam pekerjaan				
4	Saya menemukan kegunaan dalam menggunakan sistem informasi ini				
5	Menggunakan sistem informasi ini akan meningkatkan produktivitas saya dalam pekerjaan				

PERCEIVED EASE OF USE (Davis, 1989)

NO	PERNYATAAN	STS	TS	S	SS
1	Bagi saya belajar menggunakan sistem informasi ini merupakan hal yang mudah				
2	Saya menemukan kemudahan penyesuaian saat menggunakan sistem informasi ini				
3	Sistem informasi ini jelas dan mudah untuk dimengerti				
4	Secara keseluruhan, saya menemukan kemudahan penggunaan pada sistem informasi ini				
5	Menurut saya adalah hal yang mudah untuk menjadi terampil menggunakan sistem informasi ini				

CREDIBILITY PERCEIVED (Wang et al., 2003)

NO	PERNYATAAN	STS	TS	S	SS
1	Saya yakin menggunakan sistem informasi dapat mengurangi kemungkinan kecurangan				
2	Saya akan menggunakan sistem informasi jika dapat menjamin keamanan dalam bertransaksi				
3	Saya yakin dalam menggunakan sistem informasi akan memenuhi kebutuhan informasi				
4	Saya yakin dalam menggunakan sistem informasi dapat menjaga keamanan data pribadi				

LAMPIRAN 1

UJI VALIDITAS

PERCEIVES USEFULNESS (PU)

Correlations

		X1.1	X1.2	X1.3	X1.4	X1.5	X1
X1.1	Pearson Correlation	1	.729**	.754**	.561**	.613**	.868**
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000	.000	.000	.000	.000
	N	53	53	53	53	53	53
X1.2	Pearson Correlation	.729**	1	.617**	.592**	.729**	.864**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000		.000	.000	.000	.000
	N	53	53	53	53	53	53
X1.3	Pearson Correlation	.754**	.617**	1	.579**	.623**	.855**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000		.000	.000	.000
	N	53	53	53	53	53	53
X1.4	Pearson Correlation	.561**	.592**	.579**	1	.612**	.787**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	.000		.000	.000
	N	53	53	53	53	53	53
X1.5	Pearson Correlation	.613**	.729**	.623**	.612**	1	.846**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	.000	.000		.000
	N	53	53	53	53	53	53
X1	Pearson Correlation	.868**	.864**	.855**	.787**	.846**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	
	N	53	53	53	53	53	53

** . Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

PERCEIVED EASE OF USE (PEOU)

Correlations

		X2.1	X2.2	X2.3	X2.4	X2.5	X2
X2.1	Pearson Correlation	1	.403**	.420**	.511**	.539**	.704**
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.003	.002	.000	.000	.000
	N	53	53	53	53	53	53
X2.2	Pearson Correlation	.403**	1	.504**	.570**	.424**	.727**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.003		.000	.000	.002	.000
	N	53	53	53	53	53	53
X2.3	Pearson Correlation	.420**	.504**	1	.694**	.626**	.828**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.002	.000		.000	.000	.000
	N	53	53	53	53	53	53
X2.4	Pearson Correlation	.511**	.570**	.694**	1	.703**	.884**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	.000		.000	.000
	N	53	53	53	53	53	53
X2.5	Pearson Correlation	.539**	.424**	.626**	.703**	1	.830**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.002	.000	.000		.000
	N	53	53	53	53	53	53
X2	Pearson Correlation	.704**	.727**	.828**	.884**	.830**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	
	N	53	53	53	53	53	53

** . Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

PERCEIVED CREDIBILITY (PC)

Correlations

		X3.1	X3.2	X3.3	X3.4	X3
X3.1	Pearson Correlation	1	.460**	.375**	.436**	.790**
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.001	.006	.001	.000
	N	53	53	53	53	53
X3.2	Pearson Correlation	.460**	1	.364**	.363**	.691**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.001		.007	.008	.000
	N	53	53	53	53	53
X3.3	Pearson Correlation	.375**	.364**	1	.482**	.683**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.006	.007		.000	.000
	N	53	53	53	53	53
X3.4	Pearson Correlation	.436**	.363**	.482**	1	.749**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.001	.008	.000		.000
	N	53	53	53	53	53
X3	Pearson Correlation	.790**	.691**	.683**	.749**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	.000	.000	
	N	53	53	53	53	53

** . Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

ATTITUDE TOWARD USING (ATU)

Correlations

		Y1.1	Y1.2	Y1.3	Y1.4	Y1.5	Y1
Y1.1	Pearson Correlation	1	.402**	.342*	.620**	.376**	.730**
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.003	.012	.000	.006	.000
	N	53	53	53	53	53	53
Y1.2	Pearson Correlation	.402**	1	.441**	.350*	.399**	.703**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.003		.001	.010	.003	.000
	N	53	53	53	53	53	53
Y1.3	Pearson Correlation	.342*	.441**	1	.225	.336*	.622**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.012	.001		.105	.014	.000
	N	53	53	53	53	53	53
Y1.4	Pearson Correlation	.620**	.350*	.225	1	.315*	.657**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.010	.105		.021	.000
	N	53	53	53	53	53	53
Y1.5	Pearson Correlation	.376**	.399**	.336*	.315*	1	.519**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.006	.003	.014	.021		.000
	N	53	53	53	53	53	53
Y1	Pearson Correlation	.730**	.703**	.622**	.657**	.519**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	
	N	53	53	53	53	53	53

** . Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

* . Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).

*BEHAVIORAL INTENTION (BI)***Correlations**

		Y2.1	Y2.2	Y2.3	Y2.4	Y2.5	Y2
Y2.1	Pearson Correlation	1	.577**	.237	.448**	.323*	.629**
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000	.088	.001	.018	.000
	N	53	53	53	53	53	53
Y2.2	Pearson Correlation	.577**	1	.392**	.531**	.544**	.650**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000		.004	.000	.000	.000
	N	53	53	53	53	53	53
Y2.3	Pearson Correlation	.237	.392**	1	.327*	.469**	.446**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.088	.004		.017	.000	.001
	N	53	53	53	53	53	53
Y2.4	Pearson Correlation	.448**	.531**	.327*	1	.261	.521**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.001	.000	.017		.059	.000
	N	53	53	53	53	53	53
Y2.5	Pearson Correlation	.323*	.544**	.469**	.261	1	.628**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.018	.000	.000	.059		.000
	N	53	53	53	53	53	53
Y2	Pearson Correlation	.629**	.650**	.446**	.521**	.628**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	.001	.000	.000	
	N	53	53	53	53	53	53

** . Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

* . Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).

LAMPIRAN 2

UJI RELIABILITAS

PERCEIVES USEFULNESS (PU)

Reliability Statistics

Cronbach's Alpha	Cronbach's Alpha Based on Standardized Items	N of Items
.899	.899	5

PERCEIVED EASE OF USE (PEOU)

Reliability Statistics

Cronbach's Alpha	Cronbach's Alpha Based on Standardized Items	N of Items
.856	.854	5

PERCEIVED CREDIBILITY (PC)

Reliability Statistics


Cronbach's Alpha	Cronbach's Alpha Based on Standardized Items	N of Items
.738	.738	4

*ATTITUDE TOWARD USING (ATU)***Reliability Statistics**

Cronbach's Alpha	Cronbach's Alpha Based on Standardized Items	N of Items
.755	.754	5

*BEHAVIORAL INTENTION (BI)***Reliability Statistics**

Cronbach's Alpha	Cronbach's Alpha Based on Standardized Items	N of Items
.776	.777	5



LAMPIRAN 3

STATISTIK DESKRIPTIF

ATTITUDE TOWARD USING (ATU)

JENIS KELAMIN

Case Processing Summary

		Cases				Total	
		Valid		Missing			
Jenis_Kelamin		N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
ATU	Laki-Laki	19	100.0%	0	.0%	19	100.0%
	Perempuan	34	100.0%	0	.0%	34	100.0%

Descriptives

Jenis_Kelamin			Statistic	Std. Error
ATU	Laki-Laki	Mean	17.11	.389
		95% Confidence Interval for Mean		
		Lower Bound	16.29	
		Upper Bound	17.92	
		5% Trimmed Mean	17.12	
		Median	18.00	
		Variance	2.877	
		Std. Deviation	1.696	
		Minimum	14	
		Maximum	20	
		Range	6	
		Interquartile Range	2	
		Skewness	-.183	.524
		Kurtosis	-1.036	1.014
Perempuan		Mean	16.06	.277
		95% Confidence Interval for Mean		
		Lower Bound	15.50	
		Upper Bound	16.62	
		5% Trimmed Mean	16.03	
		Median	16.00	
		Variance	2.602	
		Std. Deviation	1.613	
		Minimum	13	
		Maximum	20	
		Range	7	
		Interquartile Range	2	
		Skewness	.451	.403
		Kurtosis	.176	.788

UMUR

Case Processing Summary

Umur		Cases					
		Valid		Missing		Total	
		N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
ATU	18-25	31	100.0%	0	.0%	31	100.0%
	26-30	16	100.0%	0	.0%	16	100.0%
	31-40	5	100.0%	0	.0%	5	100.0%
	41-50	1	100.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%

Descriptives^a

Umur			Statistic	Std. Error
ATU	18-25	Mean	16.39	.303
		95% Confidence Interval for Mean	Lower Bound 15.77	
			Upper Bound 17.01	
		5% Trimmed Mean	16.39	
		Median	16.00	
		Variance	2.845	
		Std. Deviation	1.687	
		Minimum	13	
		Maximum	20	
		Range	7	
		Interquartile Range	3	
		Skewness	.142	.421
		Kurtosis	-.151	.821
	26-30	Mean	16.69	.454
		95% Confidence Interval for Mean	Lower Bound 15.72	
			Upper Bound 17.65	
		5% Trimmed Mean	16.65	
		Median	16.50	

	Variance		3.296	
	Std. Deviation		1.815	
	Minimum		14	
	Maximum		20	
	Range		6	
	Interquartile Range		3	
	Skewness		.304	.564
	Kurtosis		-1.144	1.091
31-40	Mean		16.40	.678
	95% Confidence Interval for Mean	Lower Bound	14.52	
		Upper Bound	18.28	
	5% Trimmed Mean		16.39	
	Median		16.00	
	Variance		2.300	
	Std. Deviation		1.517	
	Minimum		15	
	Maximum		18	
	Range		3	
	Interquartile Range		3	
	Skewness		.315	.913
	Kurtosis		-3.081	2.000

a. ATU is constant when Umur = 41-50. It has been omitted.

Pendidikan

Case Processing Summary

Pendidikan		Cases					
		Valid		Missing		Total	
		N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
ATU	SMA/K	15	100.0%	0	.0%	15	100.0%
	D3	14	100.0%	0	.0%	14	100.0%
	S1	24	100.0%	0	.0%	24	100.0%

Descriptives

Pendidikan			Statistic	Std. Error
ATU	SMA/K	Mean	16.00	.414
		95% Confidence Interval for Mean	Lower Bound 15.11	
			Upper Bound 16.89	
		5% Trimmed Mean	15.94	
		Median	15.00	
		Variance	2.571	
		Std. Deviation	1.604	
		Minimum	14	
		Maximum	19	
		Range	5	
		Interquartile Range	2	
		Skewness	.959	.580
		Kurtosis	-.379	1.121
	D3	Mean	16.50	.489
		95% Confidence Interval for Mean	Lower Bound 15.44	
			Upper Bound 17.56	
		5% Trimmed Mean	16.56	
		Median	16.50	
		Variance	3.346	

	Std. Deviation		1.829	
	Minimum		13	
	Maximum		19	
	Range		6	
	Interquartile Range		3	
	Skewness		-.352	.597
	Kurtosis		-.640	1.154
S1	Mean		16.67	.349
	95% Confidence Interval for Mean	Lower Bound	15.94	
		Upper Bound	17.39	
	5% Trimmed Mean		16.67	
	Median		16.00	
	Variance		2.928	
	Std. Deviation		1.711	
	Minimum		13	
	Maximum		20	
	Range		7	
	Interquartile Range		3	
	Skewness		.229	.472
	Kurtosis		-.055	.918

JABATAN

Case Processing Summary

Jabatan		Cases					
		Valid		Missing		Total	
		N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
ATU	Non Klerikal	14	100.0%	0	.0%	14	100.0%
	Klerikal	34	100.0%	0	.0%	34	100.0%
	Manajerial	5	100.0%	0	.0%	5	100.0%

Descriptives

Jabatan			Statistic	Std. Error
ATU	Non Klerikal	Mean	16.64	.498
		95% Confidence Interval for Mean		
		Lower Bound	15.57	
		Upper Bound	17.72	
		5% Trimmed Mean	16.66	
		Median	16.50	
		Variance	3.478	
		Std. Deviation	1.865	
		Minimum	13	
		Maximum	20	
		Range	7	
		Interquartile Range	3	
		Skewness	-.054	.597
		Kurtosis	-.132	1.154
Klerikal		Mean	16.29	.275
		95% Confidence Interval for Mean		
		Lower Bound	15.73	
		Upper Bound	16.85	
		5% Trimmed Mean	16.30	
		Variance	2.578	

	Std. Deviation		1.605	
	Minimum		13	
	Maximum		19	
	Range		6	
	Interquartile Range		3	
	Skewness		.187	.403
	Kurtosis		-.780	.788
Manajerial	Mean		16.80	.970
	95% Confidence Interval for Mean	Lower Bound	14.11	
		Upper Bound	19.49	
	5% Trimmed Mean		16.72	
	Median		16.00	
	Variance		4.700	
	Std. Deviation		2.168	
	Minimum		15	
	Maximum		20	
	Range		5	
	Interquartile Range		4	
	Skewness		.913	.913
	Kurtosis		-.738	2.000

LAMA BEKERJA

Case Processing Summary

Lama_Bekerja		Cases					
		Valid		Missing		Total	
		N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
ATU	< 5 tahun	39	100.0%	0	.0%	39	100.0%
	5-10 tahun	12	100.0%	0	.0%	12	100.0%
	> 10 tahun	2	100.0%	0	.0%	2	100.0%

Descriptives

Lama_Bekerja			Statistic	Std. Error
ATU	< 5 tahun	Mean	16.33	.255
		95% Confidence Interval for Mean		
		Lower Bound	15.82	
		Upper Bound	16.85	
		5% Trimmed Mean	16.31	
		Median	16.00	
		Variance	2.544	
		Std. Deviation	1.595	
		Minimum	13	
		Maximum	20	
		Range	7	
		Interquartile Range	2	
		Skewness	.444	.378
		Kurtosis	-.349	.741
5-10 tahun	Mean	16.67	.620	
	95% Confidence Interval for Mean			
	Lower Bound	15.30		
	Upper Bound	18.03		
	5% Trimmed Mean	16.69		
	Median	17.00		
Variance	4.606			

	Std. Deviation		2.146	
	Minimum		13	
	Maximum		20	
	Range		7	
	Interquartile Range		3	
	Skewness		-.208	.637
	Kurtosis		-.967	1.232
> 10 tahun	Mean		17.00	1.000
	95% Confidence Interval for Mean	Lower Bound	4.29	
		Upper Bound	29.71	
	5% Trimmed Mean		.	
	Median		17.00	
	Variance		2.000	
	Std. Deviation		1.414	
	Minimum		16	
	Maximum		18	
	Range		2	
	Interquartile Range		.	
	Skewness		.	.
	Kurtosis		.	.

STATISTIK DESKRIPTIF

BEHAVIORAL INTENTION

JENIS KELAMIN


Case Processing Summary

Jenis_Kelamin		Cases					
		Valid		Missing		Total	
		N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
BI	Laki-Laki	19	100.0%	0	.0%	19	100.0%
	Perempuan	34	100.0%	0	.0%	34	100.0%

Descriptives

Jenis_Kelamin			Statistic	Std. Error
BI	Laki-Laki	Mean	17.21	.347
		95% Confidence Interval for Mean		
		Lower Bound	16.48	
		Upper Bound	17.94	
		5% Trimmed Mean	17.18	
		Median	17.00	
		Variance	2.287	
		Std. Deviation	1.512	
		Minimum	15	
		Maximum	20	
		Range	5	
		Interquartile Range	3	
		Skewness	.141	.524
Kurtosis	-.895	1.014		
Perempuan	Mean	16.24	.319	
	95% Confidence Interval for Mean			
	Lower Bound	15.59		
	Upper Bound	16.88		
	5% Trimmed Mean	16.36		

Median	16.00	
Variance	3.458	
Std. Deviation	1.860	
Minimum	10	
Maximum	19	
Range	9	
Interquartile Range	2	
Skewness	-.966	.403
Kurtosis	2.603	.788



UMUR

Case Processing Summary

Umur		Cases					
		Valid		Missing		Total	
		N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
BI	18-25	31	100.0%	0	.0%	31	100.0%
	26-30	16	100.0%	0	.0%	16	100.0%
	31-40	5	100.0%	0	.0%	5	100.0%
	41-50	1	100.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%

Descriptives^a

Umur		Statistic	Std. Error
BI	18-25	Mean	16.35
		95% Confidence Interval for Lower Bound	15.62
		Mean	17.09
		Upper Bound	16.47
		5% Trimmed Mean	17.00
		Median	4.037
		Variance	2.009
		Std. Deviation	10
		Minimum	20
		Maximum	10
		Range	2
		Interquartile Range	
		Skewness	-.840
	Kurtosis	2.175	.821
26-30	Mean	17.12	.364
		95% Confidence Interval for Lower Bound	16.35
		Mean	17.90
		Upper Bound	17.14
		5% Trimmed Mean	17.00
	Median		

	Variance		2.117	
	Std. Deviation		1.455	
	Minimum		15	
	Maximum		19	
	Range		4	
	Interquartile Range		3	
	Skewness		.051	.564
	Kurtosis		-1.485	1.091
31-40	Mean		16.40	.600
	95% Confidence Interval for Mean	Lower Bound	14.73	
		Upper Bound	18.07	
	5% Trimmed Mean		16.39	
	Median		17.00	
	Variance		1.800	
	Std. Deviation		1.342	
	Minimum		15	
	Maximum		18	
	Range		3	
	Interquartile Range		2	
	Skewness		-.166	.913
	Kurtosis		-2.407	2.000

a. BI is constant when Umur = 41-50. It has been omitted.

PENDIDIKAN

Case Processing Summary

Pendidikan		Cases					
		Valid		Missing		Total	
		N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
ATU	SMA/K	15	100.0%	0	.0%	15	100.0%
	D3	14	100.0%	0	.0%	14	100.0%
	S1	24	100.0%	0	.0%	24	100.0%

Descriptives

Pendidikan			Statistic	Std. Error
ATU	SMA/K	Mean	16.00	.414
		95% Confidence Interval for Mean	Lower Bound 15.11 Upper Bound 16.89	
		5% Trimmed Mean	15.94	
		Median	15.00	
		Variance	2.571	
		Std. Deviation	1.604	
		Minimum	14	
		Maximum	19	
		Range	5	
		Interquartile Range	2	
		Skewness	.959	.580
		Kurtosis	-.379	1.121
	D3	Mean	16.50	.489
		95% Confidence Interval for Mean	Lower Bound 15.44 Upper Bound 17.56	
		5% Trimmed Mean	16.56	
		Median	16.50	
		Variance	3.346	

	Std. Deviation		1.829	
	Minimum		13	
	Maximum		19	
	Range		6	
	Interquartile Range		3	
	Skewness		-.352	.597
	Kurtosis		-.640	1.154
S1	Mean		16.67	.349
	95% Confidence Interval for Mean	Lower Bound	15.94	
		Upper Bound	17.39	
	5% Trimmed Mean		16.67	
	Median		16.00	
	Variance		2.928	
	Std. Deviation		1.711	
	Minimum		13	
	Maximum		20	
	Range		7	
	Interquartile Range		3	
	Skewness		.229	.472
	Kurtosis		-.055	.918

JABATAN

Case Processing Summary

Jabatan		Cases					
		Valid		Missing		Total	
		N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
ATU	Non Klerikal	14	100.0%	0	.0%	14	100.0%
	Klerikal	34	100.0%	0	.0%	34	100.0%
	Manajerial	5	100.0%	0	.0%	5	100.0%

Descriptives

Jabatan			Statistic	Std. Error
ATU	Non Klerikal	Mean	16.64	.498
		95% Confidence Interval for Lower Bound	15.57	
		Mean Upper Bound	17.72	
		5% Trimmed Mean	16.66	
		Median	16.50	
		Variance	3.478	
		Std. Deviation	1.865	
		Minimum	13	
		Maximum	20	
		Range	7	
		Interquartile Range	3	
		Skewness	-.054	.597
		Kurtosis	-.132	1.154
	Klerikal	Mean	16.29	.275
		95% Confidence Interval for Lower Bound	15.73	
		Mean Upper Bound	16.85	
		5% Trimmed Mean	16.30	
		Median	16.00	

	Variance		2.578	
	Std. Deviation		1.605	
	Minimum		13	
	Maximum		19	
	Range		6	
	Interquartile Range		3	
	Skewness		.187	.403
	Kurtosis		-.780	.788
Manajerial	Mean		16.80	.970
	95% Confidence Interval for Mean	Lower Bound	14.11	
		Upper Bound	19.49	
	5% Trimmed Mean		16.72	
	Median		16.00	
	Variance		4.700	
	Std. Deviation		2.168	
	Minimum		15	
	Maximum		20	
	Range		5	
	Interquartile Range		4	
	Skewness		.913	.913
	Kurtosis		-.738	2.000

LAMA BEKERJA

Case Processing Summary

Lama_Bekerja		Cases					
		Valid		Missing		Total	
		N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
ATU	< 5 tahun	39	100.0%	0	.0%	39	100.0%
	5-10 tahun	12	100.0%	0	.0%	12	100.0%
	> 10 tahun	2	100.0%	0	.0%	2	100.0%

Descriptives

Lama_Bekerja			Statistic	Std. Error
ATU	< 5 tahun	Mean	16.33	.255
		95% Confidence Interval for Mean		
		Lower Bound	15.82	
		Upper Bound	16.85	
		5% Trimmed Mean	16.31	
		Median	16.00	
		Variance	2.544	
		Std. Deviation	1.595	
		Minimum	13	
		Maximum	20	
		Range	7	
		Interquartile Range	2	
		Skewness	.444	.378
		Kurtosis	-.349	.741
5-10 tahun	Mean	16.67	.620	
	95% Confidence Interval for Mean			
	Lower Bound	15.30		
	Upper Bound	18.03		
	5% Trimmed Mean	16.69		
	Median	17.00		
	Variance	4.606		
Std. Deviation	2.146			

	Minimum		13	
	Maximum		20	
	Range		7	
	Interquartile Range		3	
	Skewness		-.208	.637
	Kurtosis		-.967	1.232
> 10 tahun	Mean		17.00	1.000
	95% Confidence Interval for Mean	Lower Bound	4.29	
		Upper Bound	29.71	
	5% Trimmed Mean		.	
	Median		17.00	
	Variance		2.000	
	Std. Deviation		1.414	
	Minimum		16	
	Maximum		18	
	Range		2	
	Interquartile Range		.	
	Skewness		.	.
	Kurtosis		.	.

LAMPIRAN 4

UJI NORMALITAS MODEL 1

One-Sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test

		Unstandardized Residual
N		53
Normal Parameters ^a	Mean	.0000000
	Std. Deviation	.71823315
Most Extreme Differences	Absolute	.140
	Positive	.140
	Negative	-.070
Kolmogorov-Smirnov Z		1.016
Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)		.253
a. Test distribution is Normal.		

UJI NORMALITAS MODEL 2

One-Sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test

		Unstandardized Residual
N		53
Normal Parameters ^a	Mean	.0000000
	Std. Deviation	1.11733329
Most Extreme Differences	Absolute	.114
	Positive	.114
	Negative	-.075
Kolmogorov-Smirnov Z		.833
Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)		.491
a. Test distribution is Normal.		

UJI NORMALITAS MODEL 3

One-Sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test

		Unstandardized Residual
N		53
Normal Parameters ^a	Mean	.0000000
	Std. Deviation	1.28561869
Most Extreme Differences	Absolute	.143
	Positive	.090
	Negative	-.143
Kolmogorov-Smirnov Z		1.041
Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)		.228
a. Test distribution is Normal.		

UJI HETEROSKEDASTISITAS MODEL 1

Coefficients^a

Model	Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.
	B	Std. Error	Beta		
1 (Constant)	.709	.650		1.091	.281
Perceived Usefulness	-.007	.024	-.044	-.294	.770
Perceived Ease of Use	.027	.027	.151	.999	.323
Perceived Credibility	-.034	.039	-.125	-.873	.387

a. Dependent Variable: RES2

UJI HETEROSKEDASTISITAS MODEL 2

Coefficients^a

Model		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.
		B	Std. Error	Beta		
1	(Constant)	1.651	.965		1.712	.093
	X1	.051	.036	.206	1.411	.165
	X2	-.040	.040	-.146	-.990	.327
	X3	-.074	.058	-.178	-1.273	.209

a. Dependent Variabel: Abs_res1

UJI HETEROSKEDASTISITAS MODEL 3

Coefficients^a

Model		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.
		B	Std. Error	Beta		
1	(Constant)	2.618	1.170		2.237	.030
	Attitude Toward Using	-.103	.071	-.199	-1.451	.153

a. Dependent Variable: RES2

UJI MULTIKOLINIERITAS MODEL 1

Coefficients^a

Model	Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized	t	Sig.	Collinearity Statistics	
	B	Std. Error	Beta			Tolerance	VIF
	1 (Constant)	1.376	1.081				1.273
Perceived Usefulness	.291	.041	.434	7.129	.000	.887	1.128
Perceived Ease of Use	.437	.045	.594	9.636	.000	.864	1.157
Perceived Credibility	.256	.065	.229	3.926	.000	.961	1.041

a. Dependent Variable: Behavioral Intention

UJI MULTIKOLINIERITAS MODEL 2

Coefficients^a

Model	Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.	Collinearity Statistics	
	B	Std. Error	Beta			Tolerance	VIF
	1 (Constant)	4.599	1.682				2.734
X1	.017	.063	.027	.275	.785	.887	1.128
X2	.418	.071	.596	5.919	.000	.864	1.157
X3	.369	.101	.348	3.638	.001	.961	1.041

a. Dependent Variabel: Y1

UJI MULTIKONIERITAS MODEL 3

Coefficients^a

Model	Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.	Collinearity Statistics	
	B	Std. Error	Beta			Tolerance	VIF
	1 (Constant)	4.555	1.745				2.610
Attitude Toward Using	.732	.106	.696	6.930	.000	1.000	1.000

a. Dependent Variable: Behavioral Intention

UJI KOEFISIEN DETERMINASI (R^2)

MODEL 1

Model Summary^b

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	.916 ^a	.839	.829	.740

a. Predictors: (Constant), Perceived Credibility, Perceived Usefulness, Perceived Ease of Use

b. Dependent Variable: Behavioral Intention

UJI KOEFISIEN DETERMINASI (R^2)

MODEL 2

Model Summary

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	.755 ^a	.570	.544	1.15103

a. Predictors: (Constant), X3, X1, X2

UJI KOEFISIEN DETERMINASI (R^2)

MODEL 3

Model Summary^b

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	.696 ^a	.485	.475	1.298

a. Predictors: (Constant), Attitude Toward Using

b. Dependent Variable: Behavioral Intention

LAMPIRAN 5

UJI HIPOTESIS

UJI MODEL FIT (UJI F) MODEL 1

ANOVA^b

Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	140.043	3	46.681	85.271	.000 ^a
	Residual	26.825	49	.547		
	Total	166.868	52			

a. Predictors: (Constant), Perceived Credibility, Perceived Usefulness, Perceived Ease of Use

b. Dependent Variable: Behavioral Intention

UJI MODEL FIT (UJI F) MODEL 2

ANOVA^b

Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	86.100	3	28.700	21.663	.000 ^a
	Residual	64.919	49	1.325		
	Total	151.019	52			

a. Predictors: (Constant), X3, X1, X2

b. Dependent Variabel: Y1

UJI MODEL FIT (UJI F) MODEL 3

ANOVA^b

Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	80.922	1	80.922	48.018	.000 ^a
	Residual	85.946	51	1.685		
	Total	166.868	52			

a. Predictors: (Constant), Attitude Toward Using

b. Dependent Variable: Behavioral Intention

UJI T MODEL 1

Coefficients^a

Model	Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.
	B	Std. Error	Beta		
1 (Constant)	1.376	1.081		1.273	.209
Perceived Usefulness	.291	.041	.434	7.129	.000
Perceived Ease of Use	.437	.045	.594	9.636	.000
Preceived Credibility	.256	.065	.229	3.926	.000

a. Dependent Variable: Behavioral Intention

UJI T MODEL 2

Coefficients^a

Model	Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.
	B	Std. Error	Beta		
1 (Constant)	4.599	1.682		2.734	.009
X1	.017	.063	.027	.275	.785
X2	.418	.071	.596	5.919	.000
X3	.369	.101	.348	3.638	.001

a. Dependent Variabel: Y1

UJI T MODEL 3

Coefficients^a

Model	Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.
	B	Std. Error	Beta		
1 (Constant)	4.555	1.745		2.610	.012
Attitude Toward Using	.732	.106	.696	6.930	.000

a. Dependent Variable: Behavioral Intention



8.9% PLAGIARISM
APPROXIMATELY

7.09% IN QUOTES

Report #12534001

BAB IPENDAHULUAN 1.1 Latar Belakang Di era globalisasi saat ini, sangat memerlukan adanya suatu informasi dan teknologi yang cepat, cermat dan tepat untuk menentukan daya saing perusahaan dalam hal pengambilan keputusan. Persaingan yang semakin ketat menuntut perusahaan untuk memiliki sebuah sistem yang dapat membantu menunjang kegiatan bisnis. Dalam menjalankan suatu tanggung jawab pekerjaan, setiap orang, lembaga, dan organisasi membutuhkan pengetahuan dan wawasan yang luas karena hal tersebut bisa menjadi suatu nilai tambah. Di jaman yang terus menjadi maju dimana teknologi serta informasi terus tumbuh, tiap kita dituntut agar mempunyai pengetahuan yang luas hendak teknologi serta informasi, oleh sebab itu kita wajib mengikuti pertumbuhan teknologi serta informasi. Lingkungan bisnis perusahaan yang sekarang ini makin berkompetitif seperti, para pelaku usaha, tentunya semakin merasakan perubahan ekonomi yang terus menerus berganti seiring berjalannya waktu, dan hal tersebut mengakibatkan perusahaan terus memikirkan cara-cara baru mengenai teknologi informasi perusahaan yang lebih relevan, tepat waktu, dapat dipercaya, dan teruji dengan maksud akan membantu perusahaan dalam pekerjaannya dan dapat digunakan dalam proses pengambilan keputusan perusahaan. Maka dalam hal ini perusahaan memerlukan dan bahkan menuntut