

## CHAPTER 5

### CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTIONS

#### 5.1. Conclusion

In conclusion, the findings of this study can be summarized in the following ways:

- a. Field of Discourse discusses two points of the news, that are: the impact of the National Exam abolishment towards student admission and the insistence of an obvious grade standard replacement.
- b. Tenor of Discourse shows the status of the actors and who raises the pros and cons of the National Exam abolishment. The highest status goes to Nadiem Makariem, Joko Widodo, Syaiful Huda, and Putra Nababan as representatives for legislative and executive assembly, who also become the ones who raise pros towards the National Exam abolishment. The middle status goes to Ubaid Matraji as The Indonesian Education Monitoring Network, who raise the pros, and Mas Ayu Yuliana as the headteacher, who raises cons towards the National Exam abolishment. The lowest status is on the student guardians, Yetti Utari and Ken Sugandhi, who state their cons towards the National Exam abolishment unless there will be an obvious grade standard replacement.

- c. Mode of Discourse delivers two ways of which the language is played in the discourse: by using Paradox and Personification that imply instead of reflecting an equal and honest process, the National Exam turns out to be a destrcutive system in Education.
- d. The Exclusion strategy of the National Exam news consists of Passivation and Nominalization. It releases the actor in Passivation and focuses on the impacts rather than on the actors in Nominalization.
- e. The Inclusion strategy clarifies that the occasion in Indifferentiation turns out to be a not enforcement requirement in Differentiation, the unclear place in Abstraction becomes clear in Objectivity, the person in Indetermination is not categorized while the name becomes specific in Determination, the identity of the actors are clearly showed in Individualization while the thing mentioned in Assimilation is the community instead.

## 5.2. Suggestions

The writer realized that this research had a limitation: the answers to the research questions are based on the arguments so that the readers might not get the definitive answers. Therefore, the writer proposes some suggestions for better research in the future:

- a. If possible, future research uses Critical Discourse Analysis to get the

more critical structure of the news.

- b. Uses questionnaire to compare some online news broadcasting to get perceptions which online news broadcasting is trusted or frequently presents objective or subjective news.
- c. Uses a paradigm as the research analysis to get a strong interpretation of the discourse.

