

CHAPTER 5

CONCLUSION

In this chapter, the writer would write both the conclusion of this study and the suggestion for the future study.

5.1 Conclusion

There were some things that the writer used to find the differences that could be inferred from the duo and the group chat. The things were the distance of each response and the type of the response. The distance of each response between the duo and the group chat were random. Sometimes the response in the duo chat could be faster than the response in group chat and vice versa. The type of the response of the duo and the group chats were various. The most common type of response which was related to the adjacency pair was the Question and Answer type.

There were some other things that could be inferred too from this study. They were number of the adjacency pair in each chunk of the chat, the immediateness of the reply and the chat pattern. The presence of the adjacency pair in both duo and group chat were less frequent compared with the number of turns the chatters made. Related to the immediateness of the reply, the writer found out that in this study the duo chat had the higher rate. The question which was related to a particular topic would have the response faster than in group chat. The chat patterns in duo and group chat were random. It was because of the way the way people communicate in chatting was different from the way people communicate orally.

5.2 Suggestion

For future study, the writer saw that there were some parts of the Conversational Analysis that could be researched further like the turn-taking and the repair. Those parts of the Conversational Analysis could be the topic of research if there was any scholar who was interested to make another study related to the chats. Another thing that could be done further was applying the comparative analysis of two different chatting platforms.

