THE ANALYSIS OF FACULTY OF LETTERS STUDENTS' PERCEPTION ON BAD LANGUAGE USED BY THE COMEDIANS OF TRANS7’S OPERA VAN JAVA COMEDY SHOW

A Thesis Presented as Partial Fulfilment of the Requirements to Obtain the Sarjana Sastra Degree in the English Letters Study Programme

by:

Elizabeth Praisepurba Henda

Student Number : 06.80.0033

ENGLISH LETTERS STUDY PROGRAMME
FACULTY OF LETTERS
SOEGIJAPRANATA CATHOLIC UNIVERSITY
SEMARANG
2013
A THESIS ON

THE ANALYSIS OF FACULTY OF LETTERS STUDENTS' PERCEPTION ON BAD LANGUAGE USED BY THE COMEDIANS OF TRANS7’S OPERA VAN JAVA COMEDY SHOW

by:

Elizabeth Praisepurba Henda

Student Number : 06.80.0033

Approved by,

Drs. Y. E. Budiyana, M.A

Major Sponsor

Juni 17th, 2013

Dra. Wuryani Hartanto, MA

Co – Sponsor

Juni 17th, 2013
A Thesis defended in front of the Board of Examiners

On Juni 17th, 2013 and declared acceptable

BOARD OF EXAMINERS

Chair person : Drs. Y. E. Budiyan, M. A ................................
Secretory : Dra. Wuryani Hartanto, M. A .................................
Member : E. Ninik Aydawati, SP, M. Hum .................................

Semarang, Juni 17th, 2013

Faculty of Letters
Soegijapranata Catholic University
Dean,

Heny Hartono, SS, M. Pd.

058. 1. 1. 1998. 221
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First of all, I dedicated my very big thanks to my God, The Almighty Jesus Christ who has given all experiences that can teach me to honor every second that happens in my life. His blessing makes me become more than a winner in whatever I do. He is a very good God to me. When I feel down, He strengthens me by His power and when I feel sad He cheers me up. He also helps me to finish my thesis in His time.

Second, I would like to express my gratitude to my major and co-major Sponsors Mr. Y.E. Budiyana and Mrs. Wuryani Hartanto who always guided me patiently. They always gave many suggestions to me and help me to finish my thesis. They were also willing to spend their time to discuss and check my thesis. I also felt very pleased when they corrected my grammatical mistakes and gave more suggestions to me.

I would else like to extend my very big thanks to my father, Mr. Samuel Basri Harnomo who always prays for me and for my lovely mother, Mrs. Debora Endang Puji Lestari who always encourages, prays, supports, loves and trusts me in everything I do. My thanks also go to my younger brothers and sister, Jeremia Suluhjuda Basri, Rufus Dian Saputra Basri and Hulda Lily Sharon who never stop supporting me while I am doing my thesis.

The next is for all of my second family in Youth Christ Community Church Semarang. They are Mbak Rini, Mbak Novik, Cicik Irene, Ida, Maria and
Epa. Thank you for praying and supporting me so I can finish this thesis well. I feel very lucky to have all of you as my sisters in Christ.

Last but not least, I would like to express my very big thanks for everyone closed to me who has made my life so colorful. I would like to say thanks to my friends the Faculty of Letters, Shinta Mahesti, Pascalina Intan, Christian Budi, Bernadus Ferry, and Anggita.

I do realize that my thesis is far from being perfect but I hope it will inspire and give some knowledge to the readers. I would also apologize for not mentioning my friends’ names one by one. Thanks for all the support. May God bless you all.
# TABLE OF CONTENTS

| PAGE OF TITLE | i |
| PAGE OF APPROVAL | ii |
| BOARD OF EXAMINERS | iii |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENT | iv |
| TABLE OF CONTENTS | vi |
| LIST OF TABLES | ix |
| ABSTRACT | x |
| ABSTRAK | xi |

## CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of study .................................................. 1
1.2 Field of the Study .......................................................... 3
1.3 Scope of the Study .......................................................... 3
1.4 Problem Formulation ...................................................... 3
1.5 Objectives of the Study ................................................... 4
1.6 Significance of the Study ................................................ 4
1.7 Definition of Terms ....................................................... 4

## CHAPTER II LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Previous Study ............................................................. 5
2.2 Language Choice .......................................................... 5
2.3 Bad Language ............................................................... 8
  2.3.1 Classification of Bad Language ................................. 8
CHAPTER III  RESEARCH METHODOLOGY .......................................................... 13

3.1 Method of Data Collection .................................................................. 13

3.2 Participants ...................................................................................... 14

3.3 Instruments ..................................................................................... 15

3.4 Procedure ......................................................................................... 18

3.5 Method of Data Analysis .................................................................. 20

CHAPTER IV  DATA ANALYSIS ..................................................................... 21

4.1 The Kinds of Bad Language used by the comedians of Opera Van Java...... 21

4.1.1 Cursing ......................................................................................... 22

4.1.2 Profanity ....................................................................................... 23

4.1.3 Blasphemy ................................................................................... 23

4.1.4 Taboo .......................................................................................... 24

4.1.5 Obscenity .................................................................................... 25

4.1.6 Vulgar ........................................................................................ 27

4.1.7 Epithet ........................................................................................ 28

4.1.8 Insult .......................................................................................... 29

4.2 The Faculty of Letters Students' Perceptions on Bad Language used by the comedians of Opera Van Java ......... 31

4.2.1 Favorable Statements .................................................................. 31

4.2.2 Unfavorable Statement ................................................................. 37

CHAPTER V  CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION ............................................. 42
LIST OF TABLES

TABLE 3.1  An Analysis of questionnaire distribution.............................................17
TABLE 3.2  Validity result for favorable statements................................................19
TABLE 3.3  Validity result for unfavorable statements.............................................20
TABLE 4.1  The Data of Bad Language............................................................21
TABLE 4.2  The use of word "setan" as cursing language.......................................31
TABLE 4.3  The use of word "islam KTP" as profanity language.................................32
TABLE 4.4  The use of word "Tuhan itu pembohong" as blasphemy language....................33
TABLE 4.5  The use of word "ciblek" as taboo language.......................................33
TABLE 4.6  The use of word "janput" as vulgar language.......................................34
TABLE 4.7  The use of word "ndes" as epithet language......................................34
TABLE 4.8  The use of word "tai" as epithet language...........................................35
TABLE 4.9  The use of word "irungmu blesep" as insult language.........................36
TABLE 4.10 The use of word "dasar gendruwo" as cursing language.......................37
TABLE 4.11 The use of word "muslim kere" as blasphemy language............................37
TABLE 4.12 The use of word "Tititmu kecil" as taboo language...............................38
TABLE 4.13 The use of word "kontol" as obscenity language....................................39
TABLE 4.14 The use of word "gateli" as vulgar language.......................................39
TABLE 4.15 The use of word "su" as epithet language.........................................40
TABLE 4.16 The use of word "dasar gagap" as insult language...............................40
ABSTRACT

This study focuses on the perception of the students of Faculty of Letters Soegijapranata Catholic University about bad language used by the comedians in Opera Van Java. In addition, this study’s goal is to find bad language used by the comedian in Opera Van Java and describe the perception of the students of the Faculty of Letters Soegijapranata Catholic University on bad language used by the comedians in Opera Van Java. The writer collected the data from videos on youtube and transcribed them into the document. From an existing document, the writer distributed the questionnaires to 115 respondents who are the students of Faculty of Letters Soegijapranata Catholic University. In this study, it was found that there were 15 bad languages in Opera Van Java comedy show. Students assumed that there are six words which are considered as bad language. On the other hand, nine words are not considered as bad language. This indicates that the use of bad language in Opera Van Java comedy show is very low.