

7. LAMPIRAN

Lampiran 1. Formulir Analisis Sensori

UJI RANKING HEDONIK

Nama : _____ Tanggal : _____
Produk : *Snack bar*
Atribut : *Warna / aroma / tekstur / rasa / overall*

Instruksi :
Berkumur-kumurlah terlebih dahulu sebelum menguji sampel.
Di hadapan Anda terdapat 6 jenis sampel *Snack bar*. Amati dan rasakan sampel secara berurutan dari kiri ke kanan. Setelah mengamati semua sampel, Anda boleh mengulang sesering yang Anda perlukan. Berilah penilaian pada setiap sampel dari skala 1 hingga 6, dengan keterangan sebagai berikut :

Keterangan :
1 = Sangat tidak suka 4 = Netral
2 = Tidak suka 5 = Suka
3 = Kurang suka 6 = Sangat suka

Kode Sampel

Ranking (jangan ada yang dobel)

_____	_____
_____	_____
_____	_____
_____	_____
_____	_____
_____	_____

Terima kasih

Lampiran 2. Hasil Pengolahan SPSS

Analisa Sensori

- **Parameter Warna**
- ✓ **Uji Kruskal Wallis**

Test Statistics^{a,b}

	Warna
Chi-Square	21.639
df	5
Asymp. Sig.	.001

a. Kruskal Wallis Test

b. Grouping Variable: Sampel

- ✓ **Uji Mann Whitney**

Kontrol vs UK41 (warna)

Test Statistics^a

	Warna
Mann-Whitney U	291.000
Wilcoxon W	756.000
Z	-2.402
Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)	.016

a. Grouping Variable: Sampel

Kontrol vs UK32 (warna)

Test Statistics^a

	Warna
Mann-Whitney U	375.000
Wilcoxon W	840.000
Z	-1.134
Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)	.257

a. Grouping Variable: Sampel

Kontrol vs UK55 (warna)

Test Statistics^a

	Warna
Mann-Whitney U	414.000
Wilcoxon W	879.000
Z	-.547
Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)	.585

a. Grouping Variable: Sampel

Kontrol vs UK23 (warna)

Test Statistics^a

	Warna
Mann-Whitney U	358.000
Wilcoxon W	823.000
Z	-1.392
Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)	.164

a. Grouping Variable: Sampel

Kontrol vs UK14 (warna)

Test Statistics^a

	Warna
Mann-Whitney U	272.000
Wilcoxon W	737.000
Z	-2.702
Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)	.007

a. Grouping Variable: Sampel

UK14 vs UK41(warna)

Test Statistics^a

	Warna
Mann-Whitney U	445.000
Wilcoxon W	910.000
Z	-.076
Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)	.939

a. Grouping Variable: Sampel

UK14 vs UK32 (warna)

Test Statistics^a

	Warna
Mann-Whitney U	236.000
Wilcoxon W	701.000
Z	-3.229
Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)	.001

a. Grouping Variable: Sampel

UK14 vs UK55 (warna)

Test Statistics^a

	Warna
Mann-Whitney U	247.000
Wilcoxon W	712.000
Z	-3.054
Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)	.002

a. Grouping Variable: Sampel

UK14 vs UK23 (warna)

Test Statistics^a

	Warna
Mann-Whitney U	300.000
Wilcoxon W	765.000
Z	-2.271
Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)	.023

a. Grouping Variable: Sampel

UK23 vs UK41 (warna)

Test Statistics^a

	Warna
Mann-Whitney U	307.500
Wilcoxon W	772.500
Z	-2.147
Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)	.032

a. Grouping Variable: Sampel

UK23 vs UK32 (warna)

Test Statistics^a

	Warna
Mann-Whitney U	365.000
Wilcoxon W	830.000
Z	-1.287
Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)	.198

a. Grouping Variable: Sampel

UK23 vs UK55 (warna)

Test Statistics^a

	Warna
Mann-Whitney U	364.500
Wilcoxon W	829.500
Z	-1.286
Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)	.198

a. Grouping Variable: Sampel

UK55 vs UK41 (warna)

Test Statistics^a

	Warna
Mann-Whitney U	253.000
Wilcoxon W	718.000
Z	-2.973
Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)	.003

a. Grouping Variable: Sampel

UK55 vs UK32 (warna)

Test Statistics^a

	Warna
Mann-Whitney U	419.500
Wilcoxon W	884.500
Z	-.464
Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)	.643

a. Grouping Variable: Sampel

UK32 vs UK41 (warna)

Test Statistics^a

	Warna
Mann-Whitney U	253.500
Wilcoxon W	718.500
Z	-2.959
Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)	.003

a. Grouping Variable: Sampel

- **Parameter Aroma**

- ✓ **Uji Kruskal Wallis**

Test Statistics^{a,b}

	Aroma
Chi-Square	28.981
df	5
Asymp. Sig.	.000

a. Kruskal Wallis Test

b. Grouping Variable: Sampel

- ✓ **Uji Mann Whitney**

Kontrol vs UK41 (aroma)

Test Statistics^a

	Aroma
Mann-Whitney U	336.000
Wilcoxon W	801.000
Z	-1.734
Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)	.083

a. Grouping Variable: Sampel

Kontrol vs UK32 (aroma)

Test Statistics^a

	Aroma
Mann-Whitney U	315.500
Wilcoxon W	780.500
Z	-2.055
Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)	.040

a. Grouping Variable: Sampel

Kontrol vs UK55 (aroma)

Test Statistics^a

	Aroma
Mann-Whitney U	329.500
Wilcoxon W	794.500
Z	-1.834
Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)	.067

a. Grouping Variable: Sampel

Kontrol vs UK23 (aroma)

Test Statistics^a

	Aroma
Mann-Whitney U	282.500
Wilcoxon W	747.500
Z	-2.545
Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)	.011

a. Grouping Variable: Sampel

Kontrol vs UK14 (aroma)

Test Statistics^a

	Aroma
Mann-Whitney U	206.500
Wilcoxon W	671.500
Z	-3.718
Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)	.000

a. Grouping Variable: Sampel

UK14 vs UK41 (aroma)

Test Statistics^a

	Aroma
Mann-Whitney U	152.000
Wilcoxon W	617.000
Z	-4.512
Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)	.000

a. Grouping Variable: Sampel

UK14 vs UK32 (aroma)

Test Statistics^a

	Aroma
Mann-Whitney U	242.000
Wilcoxon W	707.000
Z	-3.143
Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)	.002

a. Grouping Variable: Sampel

UK14 vs UK55 (aroma)

Test Statistics^a

	Aroma
Mann-Whitney U	176.000
Wilcoxon W	641.000
Z	-4.138
Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)	.000

a. Grouping Variable: Sampel

UK14 vs UK23 (aroma)

Test Statistics^a

	Aroma
Mann-Whitney U	273.500
Wilcoxon W	738.500
Z	-2.674
Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)	.007

a. Grouping Variable: Sampel

UK23 vs UK41 (aroma)

Test Statistics^a

	Aroma
Mann-Whitney U	356.000
Wilcoxon W	821.000
Z	-1.421
Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)	.155

a. Grouping Variable: Sampel

UK23 vs UK32 (aroma)

Test Statistics^a

	Aroma
Mann-Whitney U	442.500
Wilcoxon W	907.500
Z	-.113
Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)	.910

a. Grouping Variable: Sampel

UK23 vs UK55 (aroma)

Test Statistics^a

	Aroma
Mann-Whitney U	392.500
Wilcoxon W	857.500
Z	-.868
Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)	.386

a. Grouping Variable: Sampel

UK55 vs UK41 (aroma)

Test Statistics^a

	Aroma
Mann-Whitney U	405.000
Wilcoxon W	870.000
Z	-.681
Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)	.496

a. Grouping Variable: Sampel

UK55 vs UK32 (aroma)

Test Statistics^a

	Aroma
Mann-Whitney U	406.000
Wilcoxon W	871.000
Z	-.665
Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)	.506


a. Grouping Variable: Sampel

UK32 vs UK41 (aroma)

Test Statistics^a

	Aroma
Mann-Whitney U	353.000
Wilcoxon W	818.000
Z	-1.463
Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)	.144

a. Grouping Variable: Sampel



- **Parameter Tekstur**

- ✓ **Uji Kruskal Wallis**

Test Statistics^{a,b}

	Tekstur
Chi-Square	6.433
df	5
Asymp. Sig.	.266

a. Kruskal Wallis Test

b. Grouping Variable: Sampel

- **Parameter Rasa**

- ✓ **Uji Kruskal Wallis**

Test Statistics^{a,b}

	Rasa
Chi-Square	11.956
df	5
Asymp. Sig.	.035

a. Kruskal Wallis Test

b. Grouping Variable: Sampel

- ✓ **Uji Mann Whitney**

Kontrol vs UK41 (rasa)

Test Statistics^a

	Rasa
Mann-Whitney U	342.500
Wilcoxon W	807.500
Z	-1.621
Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)	.105

a. Grouping Variable: Sampel

Kontrol vs UK32 (rasa)

Test Statistics^a

	Rasa
Mann-Whitney U	400.500
Wilcoxon W	865.500
Z	-.750
Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)	.454

a. Grouping Variable: Sampel

Kontrol vs UK55 (rasa)

Test Statistics^a

	Rasa
Mann-Whitney U	361.500
Wilcoxon W	826.500
Z	-1.336
Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)	.182

a. Grouping Variable: Sampel

Kontrol vs UK23 (rasa)

Test Statistics^a

	Rasa
Mann-Whitney U	353.000
Wilcoxon W	818.000
Z	-1.462
Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)	.144

a. Grouping Variable: Sampel

Kontrol vs UK14 (rasa)

Test Statistics^a

	Rasa
Mann-Whitney U	282.500
Wilcoxon W	747.500
Z	-2.539
Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)	.011

a. Grouping Variable: Sampel

UK14 vs UK41(rasa)

Test Statistics^a

	Rasa
Mann-Whitney U	341.500
Wilcoxon W	806.500
Z	-1.639
Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)	.101

a. Grouping Variable: Sampel

UK14 vs UK32 (rasa)

Test Statistics^a

	Rasa
Mann-Whitney U	266.000
Wilcoxon W	731.000
Z	-2.761
Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)	.006

a. Grouping Variable: Sampel

UK14 vs UK55 (rasa)

Test Statistics^a

	Rasa
Mann-Whitney U	314.000
Wilcoxon W	779.000
Z	-2.045
Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)	.041

a. Grouping Variable: Sampel

UK14 vs UK23 (rasa)

Test Statistics^a

	Rasa
Mann-Whitney U	296.000
Wilcoxon W	761.000
Z	-2.322
Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)	.020

a. Grouping Variable: Sampel

UK23 vs UK41(rasa)

Test Statistics^a

	Rasa
Mann-Whitney U	427.000
Wilcoxon W	892.000
Z	-.347
Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)	.729

a. Grouping Variable: Sampel

UK23 vs UK32 (rasa)

Test Statistics^a

	Rasa
Mann-Whitney U	382.000
Wilcoxon W	847.000
Z	-1.027
Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)	.304

a. Grouping Variable: Sampel

UK23 vs UK55 (rasa)

Test Statistics^a

	Rasa
Mann-Whitney U	438.000
Wilcoxon W	903.000
Z	-.181
Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)	.856

a. Grouping Variable: Sampel

UK55 vs UK41 (rasa)

Test Statistics^a

	Rasa
Mann-Whitney U	427.500
Wilcoxon W	892.500
Z	-.338
Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)	.735

a. Grouping Variable: Sampel

UK55 vs UK32 (rasa)

Test Statistics^a

	Rasa
Mann-Whitney U	398.000
Wilcoxon W	863.000
Z	-.781
Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)	.435

a. Grouping Variable: Sampel

UK32 vs UK41 (rasa)

Test Statistics^a

	Rasa
Mann-Whitney U	374.500
Wilcoxon W	839.500
Z	-1.135
Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)	.256

a. Grouping Variable: Sampel

• **Parameter Overall**

✓ **Uji Kruskal Wallis**

Test Statistics^{a,b}

	Overall
Chi-Square	9.865
df	5
Asymp. Sig.	.079

a. Kruskal Wallis Test

b. Grouping Variable: Sampel

- ❖ Tekstur
- Uji Normalitas Tekstur

Tests of Normality

Sampel	Kolmogorov-Smirnov ^a			Shapiro-Wilk		
	Statistic	df	Sig.	Statistic	df	Sig.
Tekstur UK23	.211	6	.200 [*]	.929	6	.569
UK55	.208	6	.200 [*]	.943	6	.683
UK32	.354	6	.018	.678	6	.004

a. Lilliefors Significance Correction

*. This is a lower bound of the true significance.

- Uji Duncan Tekstur

Tekstur

Duncan		Subset for alpha = 0.05	
Sam pel	N	1	2
UK23	6	1.2905E3	
UK55	6		1.4393E3
UK32	6		1.4955E3
Sig.		1.000	.161

Means for groups in homogeneous subsets are displayed.

- ❖ Kadar Air
- Uji Normalitas Kadar Air

Tests of Normality

Sampel	Kolmogorov-Smirnov ^a			Shapiro-Wilk		
	Statistic	df	Sig.	Statistic	df	Sig.
Kadar_air UK23	.203	6	.200 [*]	.914	6	.463
UK55	.195	6	.200 [*]	.953	6	.766
UK32	.278	6	.163	.878	6	.260

a. Lilliefors Significance Correction

*. This is a lower bound of the true significance.

- Uji Duncan Kadar Air

Kadar_air

Duncan		Subset for alpha = 0.05	
Sam pel	N	1	2
UK55	6	4.9920	
UK32	6	5.2000	
UK23	6		5.7580
Sig.		.255	1.000

Means for groups in homogeneous subsets are displayed.

❖ Kadar Abu

- Uji Normalitas Kadar Abu

Tests of Normality

Sampel	Kolmogorov-Smirnov ^a			Shapiro-Wilk		
	Statistic	df	Sig.	Statistic	df	Sig.
Kadar_abu UK23	.254	5	.200*	.914	5	.492
UK55	.366	5	.027	.733	5	.021
UK32	.267	6	.200*	.809	6	.070

a. Lilliefors Significance Correction

*. This is a lower bound of the true significance.

- Uji Duncan Kadar Abu

Kadar_abu

Duncan			
Sam pel	N	Subset for alpha = 0.05	
		1	2
UK32	6	.9650	
UK55	5	.9960	
UK23	5		1.0920
Sig.		.444	1.000

Means for groups in homogeneous subsets are displayed.

❖ Kadar Lemak

- Uji Normalitas Kadar Lemak

Tests of Normality

Sampel	Kolmogorov-Smirnov ^a			Shapiro-Wilk		
	Statistic	df	Sig.	Statistic	df	Sig.
Kadar_lemak UK23	.174	6	.200*	.936	6	.629
UK55	.229	5	.200*	.881	5	.314
UK32	.236	5	.200*	.912	5	.480

a. Lilliefors Significance Correction

*. This is a lower bound of the true significance.

- Uji Duncan Kadar Lemak

Kadar_lemak

Duncan			
Sam pel	N	Subset for alpha = 0.05	
		1	2
UK32	5	7.1400	
UK55	5		8.0040
UK23	6		8.4150
Sig.		1.000	.204

Means for groups in homogeneous subsets are displayed.

❖ Kadar Protein

• Uji Normalitas Kadar Protein

Tests of Normality

Sampel	Kolmogorov-Smirnov ^a			Shapiro-Wilk		
	Statistic	df	Sig.	Statistic	df	Sig.
Kadar_protein UK23	.280	6	.152	.864	6	.205
UK55	.189	6	.200*	.913	6	.458
UK32	.300	5	.159	.872	5	.276

a. Lilliefors Significance Correction

*. This is a lower bound of the true significance.

• Uji Duncan Kadar Protein

Kadar_protein

Duncan

Sam pel	N	Subset for alpha = 0.05	
		1	2
UK32	5	2.3112	
UK55	6		2.5738
UK23	6		2.8187
Sig.		1.000	.058

Means for groups in homogeneous subsets are displayed.

❖ Kadar Karbohidrat

• Uji Normalitas Kadar Karbohidrat

Tests of Normality

Sampel	Kolmogorov-Smirnov ^a			Shapiro-Wilk		
	Statistic	df	Sig.	Statistic	df	Sig.
Kadar_karbohidrat UK23	.214	5	.200*	.913	5	.484
UK55	.339	4		.878	4	.330
UK32	.247	4		.929	4	.589

a. Lilliefors Significance Correction

*. This is a lower bound of the true significance.

• Uji Duncan Kadar Karbohidrat

Kadar_karbohidrat

Duncan

Sam pel	N	Subset for alpha = 0.05	
		1	2
UK23	5	11.8818	
UK55	4		13.4142
UK32	4		14.4138
Sig.		1.000	.052

Means for groups in homogeneous subsets are displayed.

❖ Kadar Serat Kasar

• Uji Normalitas Kadar Serat Kasar

Tests of Normality

Sampel	Kolmogorov-Smirnov ^a			Shapiro-Wilk		
	Statistic	df	Sig.	Statistic	df	Sig.
Kadar_serat_kasar UK23	.268	6	.200 [*]	.874	6	.242
UK55	.276	5	.200 [*]	.925	5	.562
UK32	.200	5	.200 [*]	.976	5	.911

a. Lilliefors Significance Correction

*. This is a lower bound of the true significance.

• Uji Duncan Kadar Serat Kasar

Kadar_serat_kasar**Duncan**

Sam pel	N	Subset for alpha = 0.05	
		1	2
UK23	6	3.4155	
UK55	5	3.6590	3.6590
UK32	5		3.8146
Sig.		.176	.377

Means for groups in homogeneous subsets are displayed.

❖ Total Kalori

• Uji Normalitas Total Kalori

Tests of Normality

Sampel	Kolmogorov-Smirnov ^a			Shapiro-Wilk		
	Statistic	df	Sig.	Statistic	df	Sig.
Total_kalori UK23	.275	5	.200 [*]	.921	5	.535
UK55	.245	4		.939	4	.647
UK32	.244	4		.934	4	.615

a. Lilliefors Significance Correction

*. This is a lower bound of the true significance.

• Uji Duncan Total Kalori

Total_kalori**Duncan**

Sam pel	N	Subset for alpha = 0.05	
		1	2
UK32	4	130.7550	
UK23	5		135.2220
UK55	4		136.4025
Sig.		1.000	.564

Means for groups in homogeneous subsets are displayed.

- organisation...ervina Yenni .pdf" dated 2016-07-15 [46] (18 matches, 0.4%/2.0%) from a PlagScan document of your organisation...isitas Nindi.docx" dated 2016-07-19 [47] (18 matches, 0.4%/2.0%) from your PlagScan document "Milka_Melin...asi_Kubis_Pu.docx" dated 2017-07-19
- [48] (21 matches, 0.5%/2.0%) from your PlagScan document "Lois_Nancy...Cd_DAN_Cu_DA.docx" dated 2017-07-06 [49] (20 matches, 1.2%/1.8%) from your PlagScan document "Theo_Rony_Y...rakteristik_F.doc" dated 2017-07-06
- [50] (20 matches, 1.1%/1.4%) from a PlagScan document of your organisation...iawan Santoso.pdf" dated 2016-02-01 [51] (19 matches, 1.0%/1.5%) from a PlagScan document of your organisation...0142Renega.docx" dated 2016-07-14 [52] (14 matches, 0.8%/1.0%) from a PlagScan document of your organisation...teping_ketan.docx" dated 2016-02-05 [53] (15 matches, 1.0%/1.2%) from a PlagScan document of your organisation...0.0122 iVORY.docx" dated 2016-03-14
- [54] (16 matches, 0.8%/1.3%) from a PlagScan document of your organisation...ORBET_HERBAL.docx" dated 2016-02-05 [55] (17 matches, 0.3%/1.4%) from a PlagScan document of your organisation...Andika Putri.docx" dated 2016-05-18
- [56] (18 matches, 0.7%/1.2%) from a PlagScan document of your organisation...0162 Melinda.docx" dated 2016-09-15 [57] (16 matches, 0.7%/1.2%) from a PlagScan document of your organisation...0023 Sherly.docx" dated 2016-05-24 [58] (12 matches, 0.8%/0.9%) from a PlagScan document of your organisation...KIMIA_ADONAN.docx" dated 2016-02-05 [59] (15 matches, 0.2%/1.4%) from docplayer.info/35744109-Evaluasi-sifat-k...n-tinggi-protein-selama-penyimpanan.html
- [60] (17 matches, 0.7%/1.0%) from a PlagScan document of your organisation...Penyimpanan.docx" dated 2016-02-05 [61] (14 matches, 0.6%/1.1%) from a PlagScan document of your organisation..._kacap_putih.docx" dated 2016-03-04 [62] (12 matches, 0.7%/0.9%) from a PlagScan document of your organisation...ella REVISI.docx" dated 2016-02-09
- ✓ [63] (17 matches, 0.8%/1.2%) from a PlagScan document of your organisation...0104 bRIGITA.docx" dated 2016-03-07 [64] (17 matches, 0.5%/1.2%) from your PlagScan document
- ✓ "Angelita_Me...alam_Cookies.docx" dated 2017-07-12 [65] (15 matches, 0.4%/1.2%) from a PlagScan document of your organisation...0063 Dina OS.docx" dated 2016-07-19 [66] (16 matches, 0.6%/1.0%) from a PlagScan document of your organisation...ATIUSINDA T.docx" dated 2016-02-05 [67] (13 matches, 0.0%/1.0%) from your PlagScan document
- ✓ "Almira_shel...NNYA_PADA_AT.docx" dated 2017-07-13 [68] (13 matches, 0.5%/1.2%) from a PlagScan document of your organisation...0049 RAISSA.docx" dated 2016-07-12 [69] (12 matches, 0.8%/1.0%) from a PlagScan document of your organisation...0.0024 Sella.docx" dated 2016-05-25 [70] (15 matches, 0.5%/1.1%) from a PlagScan document of your organisation...lyzabeth D.A.docx" dated 2016-06-30 (+ 1 documents with identical matches)
- ✓ [72] (12 matches, 0.7%/0.9%) from a PlagScan document of your organisation...a 12.70.0086.docx" dated 2016-03-10
- ✓ [73] (13 matches, 0.2%/1.2%) from a PlagScan document of your organisation...0101 Alan W.docx" dated 2016-06-21
- ✓ [74] (13 matches, 0.6%/1.0%) from a PlagScan document of your organisation...ICA SETYAWAN.docx" dated 2016-02-10
- ✓ [75] (12 matches, 0.7%/0.9%) from a PlagScan document of your organisation...elia Claudia.docx" dated 2016-04-05
- ✓ [76] (14 matches, 0.6%/1.0%) from a PlagScan document of your organisation...Matus Inda.docx" dated 2016-03-11
- ✓ [77] (11 matches, 0.6%/0.9%) from a PlagScan document of your organisation...Toni Hartanto.doc" dated 2016-07-19
- ✓ [78] (14 matches, 0.3%/1.1%) from a PlagScan document of your organisation...utra Haryono.docx" dated 2016-06-28
- ✓ [79] (12 matches, 0.5%/1.0%) from a PlagScan document of your organisation...na Chandra P.docx" dated 2016-06-30 (+ 1 documents with identical matches)
- ✓ [81] (13 matches, 0.6%/1.0%) from a PlagScan document of your organisation...70.0037 jeje.docx" dated 2016-05-25
- ✓ [82] (12 matches, 0.0%/1.0%) from your PlagScan document "Almira_Shel...NNYA_PADA_AT.docx" dated 2017-07-13
- ✓ [83] (12 matches, 0.5%/0.9%) from a PlagScan document of your organisation...TYVERNINDYA.docx" dated 2016-08-04
- ✓ [84] (13 matches, 0.7%/0.7%) from a PlagScan document of your organisation...a Aulia Sani.docx" dated 2016-07-21
- ✓ [85] (12 matches, 0.5%/1.0%) from a PlagScan document of your organisation...elle Darmawan.doc" dated 2016-07-19
- ✓ [86] (11 matches, 0.4%/0.9%) from a PlagScan document of your organisation...cara_In_Vitr.docx" dated 2016-02-10 (+ 1 documents with identical matches)
- ✓ [88] (10 matches, 0.6%/0.9%) from a PlagScan document of your organisation...nata Meilani.docx" dated 2016-04-05
- ✓ [89] (10 matches, 0.6%/0.9%) from a PlagScan document of your organisation...57 Francisca.docx" dated 2016-08-04
- ✓ [90] (10 matches, 0.3%/0.9%) from a PlagScan document of your organisation...yaningtyas H.docx" dated 2016-08-04
- ✓ [91] (11 matches, 0.4%/1.0%) from a PlagScan document of your organisation...iella Juliani.pdf" dated 2016-07-15
- ✓ [92] (10 matches, 0.5%/0.9%) from a PlagScan document of your organisation...inus Yulianto.pdf" dated 2016-07-15
- ✓ [93] (11 matches, 0.2%/1.0%) from a PlagScan document of your organisation...70.0049 Arya.docx" dated 2016-05-18
- ✓ [94] (10 matches, 0.4%/0.8%) from <https://sarahdyasaviyanti2013.wordpress.com/2015/07/28/8/>
- ✓ [95] (10 matches, 0.6%/0.9%) from a PlagScan document of your organisation...0159 Ardana.docx" dated 2016-03-10 (+ 1 documents with identical matches)
- ✓ [97] (12 matches, 0.4%/0.8%) from a PlagScan document of your organisation...70.0164 Vina.pdf" dated 2016-03-29
- ✓ [98] (12 matches, 0.5%/0.8%) from a PlagScan document of your organisation...I Gurdamulya.docx" dated 2016-02-05
- ✓ [99] (5 matches, 0.1%/0.8%) from a PlagScan document of your organisation...ilian Bustani.pdf" dated 2016-05-23
- ✓ [100] (11 matches, 0.6%/0.7%) from a PlagScan document of your organisation...094 mONICA a.docx" dated 2016-03-14
- ✓ [101] (11 matches, 0.4%/0.8%) from a PlagScan document of your organisation...0.0053 Risa.docx" dated 2016-07-11
- ✓ [102] (12 matches, 0.5%/0.8%) from a PlagScan document of your organisation...mulya PANGAN.docx" dated 2016-03-11
- ✓ [103] (11 matches, 0.3%/0.8%) from your PlagScan document "Aleksander...ERLIBAT_DALAM.pdf" dated 2017-07-19
- ✓ [104] (9 matches, 0.2%/0.9%) from a PlagScan document of your organisation...0.0045 arief.docx" dated 2016-05-18

Settings

Sensitivity: *Medium*

Bibliography: *Bibliography excluded*

Citation detection: *Reduce PlagLevel*

Whitelist: --

