

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Ananggadipa, Septian, 2012, “Studi Empiris Pada Penggunaan Aplikasi Pajak : Integrasi *Theory Of Planned Behavior* dan *Technology Acceptance Model*” (Studi Empiris pada Perusahaan Go Public di Indonesia). Skripsi, Program Sarjana Fakultas Ekonomika dan Bisnis Universitas Diponegoro Semarang.
- Ajzen, I. 1985. “*From intentions to actions: A theory of planned behavior*. In J. Kuhl & J. Beckmann (Eds.), *Action control: From cognition to behavior*”. New York: Springer-Verlag. pp. 11–39.
- Ajzen, I., 1991, The Theory Of Planned Behavior. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 50(1): hal. 179-211.
- BJ Fogg, 2003, *Persuasive Technology: Using Computers to Change What We Think and Do*, United States of America: Morgan Kaufmann.
- Beck, L., & Ajzen, I, 1991, “Predicting dishonest actions using the theory of planned behavior”. *Journal of Research in Personality*, 25: hal. 285-301.
- Bruner II, G. C. dan Kumar, A., 2005, “Applying T.A.M. to consumer usage of handheld Internet devices”, *Journal of Business Research*, 58: hal. 553–558.
- Cheng-Tsung Lu, Shaio-Yan Huang, dan Pang-Yen Lo, 2010, “An empirical study of on-line tax filing acceptance model: Integrating TAM and TPB”, *Journal of Business Management* Vol. 4(5), hal 800-810.
- Compeau, Deborah and Christopher Higgins, “The Development of a Measure of Computer Self Efficacy,” *ASAC 1991 Conference*, Niagara Falls, New York, 1991a, hal: 34-48
- Compeau, D. R., and C. A. Higgins (1991), "A Social Cognitive Theory Perspective on Individual Reactions to Computing Technology." in *Proceedings of the 12th International Conference on Information Systems*, J.I. De-Gross et al. (Eds.), New York, 1991b, hal: 187-198,
- Darma, Nyoman, 2012, *Sistem Informasi Manajemen dan Peranannya Dalam Operasional Perusahaan*.
<http://nyomandarma.blogspot.com/2012/01/sistem-informasi-manajemen-dan-peranan.html>. Diakses pada tanggal 7 Oktober 2013.

- Davis, F, 1989, "Perceived Usefulness, Perceived Ease of Use, and User Acceptance of Information Technology". *MIS Quarterly Vol.13 (3)*: hal. 319-340.
- Davis FD, Bagozzi RP, Warshaw PR., 1989, "User acceptance of computer technology: a comparison of two theoretical models". *Manage Science* 35(8): hal 982-1002.
- Dina Artika, Prima, 2013, "Analisis Penerimaan Software Pustaka – Pro Di Perpustakaan Daerah Kabupaten Jepara Dengan Technology Acceptance Model (TAM). Thesis Undergraduate, Universitas Diponegoro.
- Dreana, Monica Tirza, 2012, "Analisis Faktor-Faktor yang Mempengaruhi penerimaan penggunaan SIMAWEB Di Fakultas Ekonomi dan Bisnis Universitas Diponegoro : Integrasi TAM dan TPB". Skripsi, Program Sarjana Fakultas Ekonomi dan Bisnis Universitas Diponegoro.
- Efebera HD, Hayes C, Hunton JE, O'Neil C, 2004, "Tax compliance intentions of low-income individual taxpayers". *Advances in Accounting Behavioral Research*. 7, hal: 1-25.
- Fishbein, M., and Ajzen, I., 1975, *Belief, Attitude, Intention and Behavior: An Introduction to Theory and Research*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA.
- Ghozali, Imam, 2008, *Model Persamaan Struktural Konsep dan Aplikasi dengan Program Amos 16.0*. Semarang : Badan Penerbit UNDIP.
- Ghozali, Imam, 2011, *Analisis Multivariate dengan Program SPSS*. Semarang : Badan Penerbit UNDIP.
- Hartwick, Jon and Henri Barki, "Explaining the Role of User Participation in Information System Use," *Management Science*, 40,4 (1994), hal: 440-465.
- Hidayat dan Nugroho, 2010, "Studi Empiris *Theory of Planned Behavior* dan Pengaruh Kewajiban Moral pada Perilaku Ketidakpatuhan Pajak Wajib Pajak Orang Pribadi", *Jurnal, Fakultas Ekonomi, Universitas Airlangga Surabaya*.
- Hoffman, Donna L. and Thomas P. Novak, 1996, "Marketing in Hypermedia Computer-Mediated Environments: Conceptual Foundations," *Journal of Marketing*, 60 (July), hal. 50–68.

- Horst, M., Kuttschreuter, M. & Gutteling, J, 2007, "Perceived usefulness, personal experiences, risk perception and trust as determinants of adoption of e-government services in The Netherlands". *Computers in Human Behavior*, 23, hal: 1838-1852.
- Hsu MH, Chiu CM, 2004, "Predicting electronic service continuance with a decomposed theory of planned behaviour". *Behaviour and Information Technology*. 23(5), hal: 359-373.
- Hung SY, Chang CM, Yu TJ, 2006, "Determinants of user acceptance of the e-Government services: The case of online tax filing and payment system". *Government Information. Q.* 23(1), hal: 97-122.
- Jen-Ruei Fu, Cheng-Kiang Farn , Wen-Pin Chao, 2006, "Acceptance of electronic tax filing: A study of taxpayer intentions", *Information & Management* 43: hal. 109–126.
- Jogiyanto, 2007, *Sistem Informasi Keperilakuan*. Edisi Revisi. Yogyakarta: Penerbit Andi.
- Jogiyanto, 2010, *Metodologi Penelitian Bisnis: Salah Kaprah dan Pengalaman-Pengalaman*. Yogyakarta: Badan Penerbit Fakultas Ekonomi UGM.
- Joreskog, K. G., & Sorbom, D., 1984, *LISREL VI: Analysis of linear structural relationships by the method of maximum likelihood*. Chicago: National Educational Resources.
- Kurniawan, Titus Wahyu, 2012, "Determinan *Actual Use* Sintak Unika oleh Mahasiswa Unika Soegijapranata: Perluasan pada *Technology Acceptance Model*", Skripsi, Program Sarjana Fakultas Ekonomi dan Bisnis Universitas Katolik Soegijapranata.
- Kuantin, Juni 2013, *Peran Teknologi Informasi dalam Organisasi*. <http://kuantin1993.blogspot.com/2013/06/peran-teknologi-informasi-dalam.html>. Diakses pada tanggal 7 Oktober 2013.
- Lybas, 23 Oktober 2012, *Virtual Account alias VA*. <http://lybas.com/2012/10/23/virtual-account-alias-va/>. Diakses pada tanggal 8 Oktober 2013.
- Mathieson, Kieran, 1991, "Predicting User Intentions: Comparing the Technology Acceptance Model with the Theory of Planned Behavior" *Information Systems Research* 2(3), hal: 173-191.
- Mathwick, Charla and Eduard Rigdon, 2004, "Play, Flow, and the Online Search Experience," *Journal of Consumer Research*, 31 (2), hal: 324-332.

- Monika, Vena, Ayu, Advensia, Ranto, Yusni, 2013, *Alat-Alat Pengujian Hipotesis*. Semarang: Penerbit Universitas Katolik Soegijapranata Semarang.
- Nugroho, Wahyu Aditya, 2012, “Model Tingkat Penerimaan SISTEM Informasi Berbasis *Online* Dengan Metode Integrasi TAM dan TPB, Studi Empiris Pada SIMAWEB FEB UNDIP”, Skripsi, Program Sarjana Fakultas Ekonomika dan Bisnis Universitas Diponegoro Semarang.
- Parlente, Wandu, Januari 2013, *Peranan Teknologi Informasi dan Komunikasi dalam Bisnis*. <http://wandiparlente.blogspot.com/2013/01/peranan-teknologi-informasi-dan.html>. Diakses pada 7 Oktober 2013.
- Patrick Y. K. Chau dan Paul J. Hu, 2002, “Examining a Model of Information Technology Acceptance by Individual Professionals: An Exploratory Study”, *Journal of Management Information Systems Volume 18 Issue 4, Number 4* (Spring): hal. 191-229.
- Ramayah T., Rouibah, K., Gopi, M. & Rangel, G.J., 2009, “A decomposed theory of reasoned action to explain intention to use Internet stock trading among Malaysian investors”. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 25(6): hal. 1222-1230.
- Randall, Donna M. and Gibson, Annetta M., 1991, “Ethical Decision Making in the Medical Profession: An Application of the Theory of Planned Behavior”, *Journal of Business Ethics*, Vol. 10, No. 2, hal: 111-122.
- Romney, Marshall B dan Paul J. Steinbart, 2012, *Accounting Information Systems*, United States of America: Pearson., twelfth edition.
- Sarwono, Jonathan, 2007, *Analisis Jalur Untuk Riset Bisnis: Aplikasi Riset Pemasaran, Keuangan, MSDM dan Kewirausahaan*. Yogyakarta: Penerbit Andi.
- Santoso, Singgih, 2004, *Buku Latihan SPSS : Statistik Parametrik*. Jakarta: Penerbit PT. Elex Media Komputindo.
- Santoso, Singgih, 2012, *Analisis SEM Menggunakan AMOS*. Jakarta: Penerbit PT. Elex Media Komputindo.
- Sekaran, Uma, 2009, *Research Method for Bussiness: A Skill Building Approach*, New York: John Willey & Sons, Inc., fifth edition.
- Shirley Taylor dan Peter A. Todd, Juni 1995, “Understanding Information Technology Usage: A Test of Competing Models”, *Institute for Operations Research and the Management Sciences*: hal. 144-176.

Sulistiyanto, H. Sri dan Susilawati, Clara, 2008, *Metode Penulisan Skripsi*. Semarang: Penerbit Universitas Katolik Soegijapranata Semarang.


Thompson, R.L., C. Higgins and J. M. Howekk, "Personal Computing: Towards a Conceptual Model of Utilization", *MIS Quartely*, 15, 1 (1991), hal: 125-143.

Tjini dan Badriwan 2013, "Pengaruh Kepercayaan, Persepsi Kegunaan, Persepsi Kemudahan, dan Persepsi Kenyamanan Terhadap Minat Penggunaan Sistem *Internet Banking*", Jurnal, Universitas Brawijaya Malang.

Warkentin, M., Gefen, D., Pavlou, P. & Rose, G., 2002, "Encouraging citizen adoption of e-government by building trust". *Electronic Markets*, 12(3): hal. 157-162.

Wibowo, Arief, 2008, "Kajian Tentang Perilaku Pengguna Sistem Informasi Dengan Pendekatan *Technology Acceptance Model (TAM)*", Jurnal, Program Studi Sistem Informasi, Fakultas Teknologi Informasi, Universitas Budi Luhur.

Wijanarko, Wahyu, 10 Desember 2010, *Mengenal BCA Virtual Account*. <http://id.wahyu.com/2010/12/mengenal-bca-virtual-account.html>. Diakses pada tanggal 8 Oktober 2013.



LAMPIRAN



1. Surat Ijin Penelitian

FAKULTAS EKONOMI DAN BISNIS
 Jl. Pawiyatan Luhur IV/1 Bendaan Duwur Semarang 50234
 Telp. (024) 8441555 (punting) Fax (024) 8445265 - 8415429
 Telp. Fakultas (024) 70798942 - Faksimile (024) 8441555 ext. 193
 e-mail: humas@unika.ac.id

Unika
SOEGIJAPRANATA

Nomor : 0096/B.7.3/FEB/IX/2013
 Lamp. :
 Hal : Ijin Penelitian dan Pengambilan Data

08 Oktober 2013

Kepada Yth:
 Wakil Rektor I
 Cq. Kepala BAAK Unika Soegijapranata
 Di SEMARANG

Dengan hormat
 Dalam rangka mempersiapkan mahasiswa Fakultas Ekonomi dan Bisnis Universitas Katolik Soegijapranata Semarang untuk memenuhi tugas akhir, maka mahasiswa yang bersangkutan diwajibkan menyusun Tugas Akhir / Skripsi.

Berkenaan dengan keperluan tersebut, maka kami mohon berkenan memberi bantuan serta kebijaksanaan ijin untuk mahasiswa kami di bawah ini :

Nama : VINCENT FEBRIAN WIBOWO
 N I M : 10.60.0088
 Tempat / tgl. Lahir : Semarang, 09 Februari 1992
 Program Studi : Akuntansi
 Alamat : Jalan Citandui I No. 35 Semarang
 Telepon : 087832960559

Judul Tugas Akhir / Skripsi :

FAKTOR-FAKTOR DETERMINAN DALAM PENGGUNAAN VIRTUAL ACCOUNT DENGAN INTEGRASI MODEL TPB DAN TAM DI UNIVERSITAS KATOLIK SOEGIJAPRANATA SEMARANG.

Demikian, atas perhatian dan kerjasamanya yang baik disampaikan terima-kasih.

an, Dekan
 Wakil Dekan Bidang Akademik,

DR. OCTAVIANUS D. HARTOMO, M.SI, AKT
 NPP: 058.1.1995/170

*setia pada
 tradisi unggul*

004-00/UNIKA/FE/R-QSR/X/07

Jl. Pawiyatan Luhur IV/1 Bendan Duwur Semarang 50234
Telp. (024) 8441555 (hunting) Fax. (024) 8415429 - 8445265
e-mail: humas@unika.ac.id

Unika
SOEGIJAPRANATA

Nomor : 0191/B.7.3/Rek/X/2013
Lampiran: -
Hal : Ijin Penelitian

11 Oktober 2013

Kepada :
Yth. **VINCENT FEBRIAN WIBOWO (NIM 10.60.0088)**
Mahasiswa Akuntansi
Universitas Semarang
Di Semarang

Dengan hormat,
Berdasarkan surat dari Wakil Dekan I Fakultas Ekonomi dan Bisnis Nomor :
0096/B.7.3/FEB/X/2013 tanggal 8 Oktober 2013, dengan ini kami memberikan ijin
kepada Saudara untuk melakukan penelitian di lingkungan Unika Soegijapranata.

Dengan Judul :


**" FAKTOR-FAKTOR DETERMINAN DALAM PENGGUNAAN VIRTUAL ACCOUNT
DENGAN INTEGRASI MODEL TPB DAN TAM DI UNIVERSITAS KATOLIK
SOEGIJAPRANATA SEMARANG "**

Pada prinsipnya pihak Universitas tidak keberatan, dengan catatan:

1. Tidak mengganggu aktivitas para Narasumber
2. Setelah selesai penelitian harap memberikan laporan

Atas perhatiannya, kami ucapkan terima kasih.

a.n. Rektor,
Wakil Rektor Bidang Akademik,



Dr. Ridwan Sanjaya, SE, S.Kom, MS.IEC
NPP : 05812002255

Tembusan :

1. Dekan /Ka. Progdi di lingkungan Unika
2. Pembantu Dekan I /Kajur di lingkungan Unika
3. Ka. Biro di lingkungan Unika

2. Kuesioner Penelitian

Judul : Determinan Penggunaan Virtual Account dengan Pendekatan Integrasi Model TPB dan TAM di Universitas Katolik Soegijapranata Semarang

Identitas Responden :

Nama :
 NIM :
 Fakultas/Jurusan :
 Usia :
 ATM Milik Bank :
 Jenis Kelamin : Laki-Laki/Perempuan*
 *(coret yang tidak perlu)

Petunjuk Pengisian :

1. Isilah dengan memberikan tanda centang (✓) pernyataan-pernyataan di bawah ini sesuai dengan kondisi nyata yang Anda rasakan, yaitu:
 1. Sangat tidak setuju (STS)
 2. Tidak setuju (TS)
 3. Netral (N)
 4. Setuju (S)
 5. Sangat Setuju (SS)
2. Identitas Anda akan dirahasiakan dan kuesioner ini untuk membantu saya dalam mengerjakan laporan skripsi akhir. Terimakasih.

PERNYATAAN	RESPON				
	STS	TS	N	S	SS
<i>Perceived Usefulness (Titus, 2012)</i>					
Pembayaran melalui Virtual Account dengan elektronik (ATM / <i>mobile banking</i>) mempercepat kegiatan administrasi keuangan saya.					
Pembayaran melalui Virtual Account dengan elektronik (ATM / <i>mobile banking</i>) lebih efisien daripada harus datang mengantri ke Bank Internasional Indonesia.					
Pembayaran melalui Virtual Account dengan elektronik (ATM / <i>mobile banking</i>) memudahkan kegiatan administrasi keuangan saya.					
Pembayaran melalui Virtual Account dengan elektronik (ATM / <i>mobile banking</i>) bermanfaat bagi saya.					

<i>Perceived Ease of Use (Titus, 2012)</i>	STS	TS	N	S	SS
Mengakses pembayaran melalui Virtual Account dengan elektronik (ATM / <i>mobile banking</i>) bagi saya mudah untuk dipelajari.					
Pembayaran melalui Virtual Account dengan elektronik (ATM / <i>mobile banking</i>) dapat diakses dengan mudah dari luar kampus.					
Mengoperasikan pembayaran melalui Virtual Account dengan elektronik (ATM / <i>mobile banking</i>) sangat jelas dan mudah dipahami.					
Mengakses pembayaran melalui Virtual Account dengan elektronik (ATM / <i>mobile banking</i>) tidak sulit bagi saya.					
<i>Perceived Behavioral Control (Hsu dan Chiu, 2004)</i>	STS	TS	N	S	SS
Saya dapat melakukan pembayaran melalui Virtual Account dengan perangkat elektronik (misal ATM / <i>mobile banking</i>).					
Saya dapat mengendalikan diri saat melakukan pembayaran melalui Virtual Account dengan perangkat elektronik (misal ATM / <i>mobile banking</i>).					
<i>Attitude (Hung et al., 2006)</i>	STS	TS	N	S	SS
Menggunakan sistem pembayaran melalui Virtual Account dengan perangkat elektronik (misal ATM / <i>mobile banking</i>) merupakan ide bagus.					
Saya menyukai ide pembayaran elektronik (ATM / <i>mobile banking</i>) melalui Virtual Account.					
Menggunakan perangkat elektronik (misal ATM / <i>mobile banking</i>) pada sistem Virtual Account menjadi pengalaman yang menyenangkan.					
<i>Social Norms (Efebera et al., 2004)</i>	STS	TS	N	S	SS
Saya akan menggunakan perangkat elektronik untuk pembayaran melalui Virtual Account					
Teman saya berharap jika saya menggunakan perangkat elektronik (misal ATM / <i>mobile banking</i>) untuk pembayaran melalui Virtual Account.					
<i>Subjective Norms (Taylor and Todd, 1995)</i>	STS	TS	N	S	SS
Orang-orang yang mempengaruhi tingkah laku saya akan berfikir bahwa saya harus melakukan pembayaran melalui Virtual Account dengan perangkat elektronik (misal ATM / <i>mobile banking</i>).					

Orang-orang yang penting bagi saya akan berfikir bahwa saya harus melakukan pembayaran melalui Virtual Account dengan perangkat elektronik (misal ATM / <i>mobile banking</i>).					
<i>Behavior Intention (Davis, 1989)</i>	STS	TS	N	S	SS
Saya berniat untuk menggunakan perangkat elektronik (misal ATM / <i>mobile banking</i>) untuk pembayaran melalui Virtual Account di masa mendatang.					
Perangkat elektronik (misal ATM / <i>mobile banking</i>) merupakan metode prioritas saya dalam pembayaran melalui Virtual Account.					
Saya ingin merekomendasikan Virtual Account dengan perangkat elektronik (misal ATM / <i>mobile banking</i>).					
<i>Actual Use (Ajzen, 1991)</i>	STS	TS	N	S	SS
Saya pernah menggunakan perangkat elektronik (misal ATM / <i>mobile banking</i>) untuk pembayaran melalui Virtual Account.					
Saya menggunakan perangkat elektronik (misal ATM / <i>mobile banking</i>) untuk pembayaran melalui Virtual Account tahun ini.					
Saya akan terus menggunakan perangkat elektronik (misal ATM / <i>mobile banking</i>) untuk pembayaran melalui Virtual Account.					

3. Hasil Uji Validitas dan Uji Reliabilitas

a. *Perceived Usefulness*

Correlations

		PU1	PU2	PU3	PU4	PU
PU1	Pearson Correlation	1	.580**	.682**	.701**	.875**
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000	.000	.000	.000
	N	399	399	399	399	399
PU2	Pearson Correlation	.580**	1	.562**	.484**	.777**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000		.000	.000	.000
	N	399	399	399	399	399
PU3	Pearson Correlation	.682**	.562**	1	.751**	.881**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000		.000	.000
	N	399	399	399	399	399
PU4	Pearson Correlation	.701**	.484**	.751**	1	.860**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	.000		.000
	N	399	399	399	399	399
PU	Pearson Correlation	.875**	.777**	.881**	.860**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	.000	.000	
	N	399	399	399	399	399

** . Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

Case Processing Summary

		N	%
Cases	Valid	399	100.0
	Excluded ^a	0	.0
	Total	399	100.0

a. Listwise deletion based on all variables in the procedure.

Reliability Statistics

Cronbach's Alpha	Cronbach's Alpha Based on Standardized Items	N of Items
.870	.870	4

Item Statistics

	Mean	Std. Deviation	N
PU1	3.9825	.91728	399
PU2	4.0451	.90391	399
PU3	4.0000	.89105	399
PU4	3.8972	.84886	399

Inter-Item Correlation Matrix

	PU1	PU2	PU3	PU4
PU1	1.000	.580	.682	.701
PU2	.580	1.000	.562	.484
PU3	.682	.562	1.000	.751
PU4	.701	.484	.751	1.000

Item-Total Statistics

	Scale Mean if Item Deleted	Scale Variance if Item Deleted	Corrected Item-Total Correlation	Squared Multiple Correlation	Cronbach's Alpha if Item Deleted
PU1	11.9424	5.115	.764	.590	.816
PU2	11.8797	5.699	.604	.388	.880
PU3	11.9248	5.175	.778	.635	.810
PU4	12.0276	5.434	.751	.630	.823

Scale Statistics

Mean	Variance	Std. Deviation	N of Items
15.9248	9.125	3.02076	4

b. *Perceived Ease of Use*

Correlations

		PEOU1	PEOU2	PEOU3	PEOU4	PEOU
PEOU1	Pearson Correlation	1	.494**	.601**	.593**	.825**
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000	.000	.000	.000
	N	399	399	399	399	399
PEOU2	Pearson Correlation	.494**	1	.520**	.472**	.750**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000		.000	.000	.000
	N	399	399	399	399	399
PEOU3	Pearson Correlation	.601**	.520**	1	.661**	.856**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000		.000	.000
	N	399	399	399	399	399

PEOU4	Pearson Correlation	.593**	.472**	.661**	1	.837**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	.000		.000
	N	399	399	399	399	399
PEOU	Pearson Correlation	.825**	.750**	.856**	.837**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	.000	.000	
	N	399	399	399	399	399

** . Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

Case Processing Summary

		N	%
Cases	Valid	399	100.0
	Excluded ^a	0	.0
	Total	399	100.0

a. Listwise deletion based on all variables in the procedure.

Reliability Statistics

Cronbach's Alpha	Cronbach's Alpha Based on Standardized Items	N of Items
.835	.834	4

Item Statistics

	Mean	Std. Deviation	N
PEOU1	3.7469	.89025	399
PEOU2	3.9599	.81654	399
PEOU3	3.6341	.90584	399
PEOU4	3.7744	.88212	399

Inter-Item Correlation Matrix

	PEOU1	PEOU2	PEOU3	PEOU4
PEOU1	1.000	.494	.601	.593
PEOU2	.494	1.000	.520	.472
PEOU3	.601	.520	1.000	.661
PEOU4	.593	.472	.661	1.000

Item-Total Statistics

	Scale Mean if Item Deleted	Scale Variance if Item Deleted	Corrected Item-Total Correlation	Squared Multiple Correlation	Cronbach's Alpha if Item Deleted
PEOU1	11.3684	4.771	.673	.456	.788
PEOU2	11.1554	5.348	.574	.333	.829
PEOU3	11.4812	4.567	.722	.532	.765
PEOU4	11.3409	4.738	.694	.504	.778

Scale Statistics

Mean	Variance	Std. Deviation	N of Items
15.1153	8.183	2.86053	4

c. *Perceived Behavioral Control*

Correlations

		PBC1	PBC2	PBC
PBC1	Pearson Correlation	1	.572**	.893**
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000	.000
	N	399	399	399
PBC2	Pearson Correlation	.572**	1	.880**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000		.000
	N	399	399	399
PBC	Pearson Correlation	.893**	.880**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	
	N	399	399	399

** . Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

Case Processing Summary

		N	%
Cases	Valid	399	100.0
	Excluded ^a	0	.0
	Total	399	100.0

a. Listwise deletion based on all variables in the procedure.

Reliability Statistics

Cronbach's Alpha	Cronbach's Alpha Based on Standardized Items	N of Items
.727	.728	2

Item Statistics

	Mean	Std. Deviation	N
PBC1	3.8922	.89157	399
PBC2	3.6541	.84810	399

Inter-Item Correlation Matrix

	PBC1	PBC2
PBC1	1.000	.572
PBC2	.572	1.000

Item-Total Statistics

	Scale Mean if Item Deleted	Scale Variance if Item Deleted	Corrected Item-Total Correlation	Squared Multiple Correlation	Cronbach's Alpha if Item Deleted
PBC1	3.6541	.719	.572	.327	.a
PBC2	3.8922	.795	.572	.327	.a

a. The value is negative due to a negative average covariance among items. This violates reliability model assumptions. You may want to check item codings.

Scale Statistics

Mean	Variance	Std. Deviation	N of Items
7.5464	2.379	1.54244	2

d. Attitude

Correlations

		ATT1	ATT2	ATT3	ATT
ATT1	Pearson Correlation	1	.702**	.511**	.860**
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000	.000	.000
	N	399	399	399	399
ATT2	Pearson Correlation	.702**	1	.550**	.883**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000		.000	.000
	N	399	399	399	399
ATT3	Pearson Correlation	.511**	.550**	1	.812**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000		.000
	N	399	399	399	399
ATT	Pearson Correlation	.860**	.883**	.812**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	.000	
	N	399	399	399	399

** . Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

Case Processing Summary

		N	%
Cases	Valid	399	100.0
	Excluded ^a	0	.0
	Total	399	100.0

a. Listwise deletion based on all variables in the procedure.

Reliability Statistics

Cronbach's Alpha	Cronbach's Alpha Based on Standardized Items	N of Items
.809	.810	3

Item Statistics

	Mean	Std. Deviation	N
ATT1	3.9649	.82898	399
ATT2	3.8571	.88944	399
ATT3	3.5815	.88978	399

Inter-Item Correlation Matrix

	ATT1	ATT2	ATT3
ATT1	1.000	.702	.511
ATT2	.702	1.000	.550
ATT3	.511	.550	1.000

Item-Total Statistics

	Scale Mean if Item Deleted	Scale Variance if Item Deleted	Corrected Item-Total Correlation	Squared Multiple Correlation	Cronbach's Alpha if Item Deleted
ATT1	7.4386	2.453	.689	.515	.709
ATT2	7.5464	2.233	.717	.542	.676
ATT3	7.8221	2.513	.576	.333	.824

Scale Statistics

Mean	Variance	Std. Deviation	N of Items
11.4035	4.930	2.22030	3

e. *Social Norms***Correlations**

		SOCN1	SOCN2	SOCN
SOCN1	Pearson Correlation	1	.491**	.863**
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000	.000
	N	399	399	399
SOCN2	Pearson Correlation	.491**	1	.864**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000		.000
	N	399	399	399
SOCN	Pearson Correlation	.863**	.864**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	
	N	399	399	399

** . Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

Case Processing Summary

		N	%
Cases	Valid	399	100.0
	Excluded ^a	0	.0
	Total	399	100.0

a. Listwise deletion based on all variables in the procedure.

Reliability Statistics

Cronbach's Alpha	Cronbach's Alpha Based on Standardized Items	N of Items
.659	.659	2

Item Statistics

	Mean	Std. Deviation	N
SOCN1	3.6667	.97798	399
SOCN2	3.3133	.98205	399

Inter-Item Correlation Matrix

	SOCN1	SOCN2
SOCN1	1.000	.491
SOCN2	.491	1.000

Item-Total Statistics

	Scale Mean if Item Deleted	Scale Variance if Item Deleted	Corrected Item-Total Correlation	Squared Multiple Correlation	Cronbach's Alpha if Item Deleted
SOCN1	3.3133	.964	.491	.241	.a
SOCN2	3.6667	.956	.491	.241	.a

a. The value is negative due to a negative average covariance among items. This violates reliability model assumptions. You may want to check item codings.

Scale Statistics

Mean	Variance	Std. Deviation	N of Items
6.9799	2.864	1.69231	2

f. Subjective Norms

Correlations

		SN1	SN2	SN
SN1	Pearson Correlation	1	.659**	.908**
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000	.000
	N	399	399	399
SN2	Pearson Correlation	.659**	1	.913**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000		.000
	N	399	399	399
SN	Pearson Correlation	.908**	.913**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	
	N	399	399	399

** . Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

Case Processing Summary

		N	%
Cases	Valid	399	100.0
	Excluded ^a	0	.0
	Total	399	100.0

a. Listwise deletion based on all variables in the procedure.

Reliability Statistics

Cronbach's Alpha	Cronbach's Alpha Based on Standardized Items	N of Items
.794	.794	2

Item Statistics

	Mean	Std. Deviation	N
SN1	2.9724	.98315	399
SN2	3.1378	1.00678	399

Inter-Item Correlation Matrix

	SN1	SN2
SN1	1.000	.659
SN2	.659	1.000

Item-Total Statistics

	Scale Mean if Item Deleted	Scale Variance if Item Deleted	Corrected Item-Total Correlation	Squared Multiple Correlation	Cronbach's Alpha if Item Deleted
SN1	3.1378	1.014	.659	.434	.a
SN2	2.9724	.967	.659	.434	.a

a. The value is negative due to a negative average covariance among items. This violates reliability model assumptions. You may want to check item codings.

Scale Statistics

Mean	Variance	Std. Deviation	N of Items
6.1103	3.284	1.81226	2

g. Behavior Intention

Correlations

		BI1	BI2	BI3	BI
BI1	Pearson Correlation	1	.620	.580	.853
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000	.000	.000
	N	399	399	399	399
BI2	Pearson Correlation	.620**	1	.553**	.867**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000		.000	.000
	N	399	399	399	399
BI3	Pearson Correlation	.580**	.553**	1	.830**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000		.000
	N	399	399	399	399
BI	Pearson Correlation	.853**	.867**	.830**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	.000	
	N	399	399	399	399

** . Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

Case Processing Summary

		N	%
Cases	Valid	399	100.0
	Excluded ^a	0	.0
	Total	399	100.0

a. Listwise deletion based on all variables in the procedure.

Reliability Statistics

Cronbach's Alpha	Cronbach's Alpha Based on Standardized Items	N of Items
.805	.808	3

Item Statistics

	Mean	Std. Deviation	N
BI1	3.7744	.82927	399
BI2	3.5363	.97355	399
BI3	3.5764	.86154	399

Inter-Item Correlation Matrix

	BI1	BI2	BI3
BI1	1.000	.620	.580
BI2	.620	1.000	.553
BI3	.580	.553	1.000

Item-Total Statistics

	Scale Mean if Item Deleted	Scale Variance if Item Deleted	Corrected Item-Total Correlation	Squared Multiple Correlation	Cronbach's Alpha if Item Deleted
BI1	7.1128	2.618	.682	.465	.709
BI2	7.3509	2.258	.659	.441	.734
BI3	7.3108	2.637	.628	.397	.760

Scale Statistics

Mean	Variance	Std. Deviation	N of Items
10.8872	5.135	2.26616	3

h. Actual Use

Correlations

		AU1	AU2	AU3	AU
AU1	Pearson Correlation	1	.600**	.516**	.832**
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000	.000	.000
	N	399	399	399	399
AU2	Pearson Correlation	.600**	1	.674**	.888**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000		.000	.000
	N	399	399	399	399
AU3	Pearson Correlation	.516**	.674**	1	.845**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000		.000
	N	399	399	399	399
AU	Pearson Correlation	.832**	.888**	.845**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	.000	
	N	399	399	399	399

** . Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

Case Processing Summary

		N	%
Cases	Valid	399	100.0
	Excluded ^a	0	.0
	Total	399	100.0

a. Listwise deletion based on all variables in the procedure.

Reliability Statistics

Cronbach's Alpha	Cronbach's Alpha Based on Standardized Items	N of Items
.815	.816	3

Item Statistics

	Mean	Std. Deviation	N
AU1	3.6591	1.10463	399
AU2	3.5815	1.08797	399
AU3	3.5764	1.01176	399

Inter-Item Correlation Matrix


	AU1	AU2	AU3
AU1	1.000	.600	.516
AU2	.600	1.000	.674
AU3	.516	.674	1.000

Item-Total Statistics

	Scale Mean if Item Deleted	Scale Variance if Item Deleted	Corrected Item-Total Correlation	Squared Multiple Correlation	Cronbach's Alpha if Item Deleted
AU1	7.1579	3.691	.611	.383	.804
AU2	7.2356	3.397	.730	.541	.679
AU3	7.2406	3.846	.664	.474	.750

Scale Statistics

Mean	Variance	Std. Deviation	N of Items
10.8170	7.507	2.73983	3



4. Hasil Uji *Full Structural Equation Modeling*

Analysis Summary

Date and Time

Date: 21 Februari 2014

Time: 21:49:07

Title

Va: 21 Februari 2014 21:49

Notes for Group (Group number 1)

The model is recursive.

Sample size = 399

Variable Summary (Group number 1)

Your model contains the following variables (Group number 1)

Observed, endogenous variables

pu4

pu3

pu2

pu1

peou4

peou3

peou2

peou1

pbc1

pbc2

socn2

socn1

att3

att2

att1

bi1

bi2

bi3

au3

au2

au1

sn2

sn1

Unobserved, endogenous variables

PU
 PBC
 ATT
 BI
 AU
 SN
 Unobserved, exogenous variables

d4

d3

d2

d1

PEOU

d8

d7

d6

d5

d9

d10

SOCN

d15

d14

d13

d12

d11

e3

e4

e5

e8

e7

e6

e2

e1

z1


z3

z6

z2

z4

z5



Variable counts (Group number 1)

Number of variables in your model:	60
Number of observed variables:	23
Number of unobserved variables:	37
Number of exogenous variables:	31
Number of endogenous variables:	29

Parameter summary (Group number 1)

	Weights	Covariances	Variances	Means	Intercepts	Total
Fixed	37	0	0	0	0	37
Labeled	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unlabeled	26	1	31	0	0	58
Total	63	1	31	0	0	95

Notes for Model (Default model)**Computation of degrees of freedom (Default model)**

Number of distinct sample moments: 276
 Number of distinct parameters to be estimated: 58
 Degrees of freedom (276 - 58): 218

Result (Default model)

Minimum was achieved
 Chi-square = 829,041
 Degrees of freedom = 218
 Probability level = ,000

Estimates (Group number 1 - Default model)**Scalar Estimates (Group number 1 - Default model)****Maximum Likelihood Estimates****Regression Weights: (Group number 1 - Default model)**

			Estimate	S.E.	C.R.	P	Label
PU	<---	PEOU	,764	,059	12,941	***	
PBC	<---	PU	,078	,071	1,108	,268	
PBC	<---	PEOU	,838	,086	9,768	***	
ATT	<---	PU	,311	,050	6,202	***	
ATT	<---	PEOU	,132	,085	1,552	,121	
SN	<---	SOCN	,877	,096	9,122	***	
ATT	<---	SOCN	,521	,093	5,623	***	
BI	<---	ATT	,750	,092	8,133	***	
BI	<---	PBC	-,003	,065	-,040	,968	
BI	<---	SN	,191	,038	4,991	***	
AU	<---	BI	1,198	,078	15,444	***	
pu4	<---	PU	1,000				

			Estimate	S.E.	C.R.	P	Label
pu3	<---	PU	1,051	,049	21,395	***	
pu2	<---	PU	,793	,057	13,970	***	
pu1	<---	PU	1,013	,052	19,430	***	
peou4	<---	PEOU	1,000				
peou3	<---	PEOU	1,061	,061	17,311	***	
peou2	<---	PEOU	,754	,058	13,079	***	
peou1	<---	PEOU	,895	,062	14,407	***	
pbc1	<---	PBC	1,000				
pbc2	<---	PBC	,856	,063	13,541	***	
socn2	<---	SOCN	1,000				
socn1	<---	SOCN	1,336	,108	12,334	***	
att3	<---	ATT	1,000				
att2	<---	ATT	1,188	,078	15,307	***	
att1	<---	ATT	1,007	,072	14,003	***	
bi1	<---	BI	1,000				
bi2	<---	BI	1,224	,074	16,454	***	
bi3	<---	BI	,960	,068	14,190	***	
au3	<---	AU	1,000				
au2	<---	AU	,976	,057	16,995	***	
au1	<---	AU	,859	,061	14,163	***	
sn2	<---	SN	1,000				
sn1	<---	SN	,905	,072	12,606	***	

Standardized Regression Weights: (Group number 1 - Default model)

			Estimate
PU	<---	PEOU	,725
PBC	<---	PU	,081
PBC	<---	PEOU	,821
ATT	<---	PU	,369
ATT	<---	PEOU	,148
SN	<---	SOCN	,639
ATT	<---	SOCN	,520
BI	<---	ATT	,732
BI	<---	PBC	-,003
BI	<---	SN	,256
AU	<---	BI	,885
pu4	<---	PU	,856
pu3	<---	PU	,867
pu2	<---	PU	,642
pu1	<---	PU	,812

	Estimate
peou4 <--- PEOU	,787
peou3 <--- PEOU	,818
peou2 <--- PEOU	,644
peou1 <--- PEOU	,700
psc1 <--- PBC	,797
psc2 <--- PBC	,718
socn2 <--- SOCN	,626
socn1 <--- SOCN	,843
att3 <--- ATT	,699
att2 <--- ATT	,837
att1 <--- ATT	,758
bi1 <--- BI	,770
bi2 <--- BI	,801
bi3 <--- BI	,703
au3 <--- AU	,855
au2 <--- AU	,774
au1 <--- AU	,670
sn2 <--- SN	,842
sn1 <--- SN	,781

Covariances: (Group number 1 - Default model)

	Estimate	S.E.	C.R.	P	Label
PEOU <--> SOCN	,341	,040	8,449	***	

Correlations: (Group number 1 - Default model)

	Estimate
PEOU <--> SOCN	,786

Variances: (Group number 1 - Default model)

	Estimate	S.E.	C.R.	P	Label
PEOU	,488	,054	9,051	***	
SOCN	,385	,060	6,459	***	
z1	,257	,030	8,605	***	
z3	,059	,014	4,273	***	
z2	,113	,030	3,785	***	
z4	,428	,060	7,126	***	
z5	,081	,015	5,412	***	
z6	,162	,031	5,140	***	

	Estimate	S.E.	C.R.	P	Label
d4	,198	,020	9,947	***	
d3	,198	,021	9,532	***	
d2	,485	,037	13,079	***	
d1	,288	,026	11,209	***	
d8	,300	,026	11,400	***	
d7	,272	,025	10,736	***	
d6	,390	,030	12,943	***	
d5	,405	,032	12,522	***	
d9	,291	,035	8,394	***	
d10	,349	,032	10,884	***	
d15	,596	,048	12,469	***	
d14	,278	,039	7,183	***	
d13	,404	,032	12,656	***	
d12	,234	,023	10,342	***	
d11	,289	,024	12,017	***	
e3	,278	,024	11,690	***	
e4	,339	,030	11,118	***	
e5	,381	,031	12,500	***	
e8	,273	,033	8,369	***	
e7	,474	,043	10,997	***	
e6	,672	,054	12,456	***	
e2	,298	,052	5,729	***	
e1	,379	,047	8,007	***	

Squared Multiple Correlations: (Group number 1 - Default model)

	Estimate
PU	,526
SN	,409
ATT	,847
PBC	,778
BI	,800
AU	,782
sn1	,610
sn2	,709
au1	,449
au2	,599
au3	,731
bi3	,494
bi2	,642
bi1	,593

	Estimate
att1	,575
att2	,700
att3	,488
socn1	,711
socn2	,392
pbc2	,516
pbc1	,636
peou1	,491
peou2	,415
peou3	,669
peou4	,619
pu1	,659
pu2	,413
pu3	,751
pu4	,733

Minimization History (Default model)

Iteration		Negative eigenvalues	Condition #	Smallest eigenvalue	Diameter	F	NTries	Ratio
0	e	16		-,614	9999,000	5995,731	0	9999,000
1	e*	12		-,199	4,142	3250,316	20	,330
2	e	6		-,136	1,511	1750,634	5	,880
3	e	1		-,009	1,020	1147,563	5	,791
4	e*	0	139,525		,878	901,033	5	,784
5	e	0	84,647		,572	849,529	2	,000
6	e	0	135,600		,293	829,892	1	1,061
7	e	0	183,225		,101	829,064	1	1,028
8	e	0	194,894		,012	829,041	1	1,009
9	e	0	194,161		,000	829,041	1	1,000

Model Fit Summary

CMIN

Model	NPAR	CMIN	DF	P	CMIN/DF
Default model	58	829,041	218	,000	3,803
Saturated model	276	,000	0		
Independence model	23	5873,345	253	,000	23,215

RMR, GFI

Model	RMR	GFI	AGFI	PGFI
Default model	,054	,842	,801	,665
Saturated model	,000	1,000		
Independence model	,362	,190	,117	,174

Baseline Comparisons

Model	NFI Delta1	RFI rho1	IFI Delta2	TLI rho2	CFI
Default model	,859	,836	,892	,874	,891
Saturated model	1,000		1,000		1,000
Independence model	,000	,000	,000	,000	,000

Parsimony-Adjusted Measures

Model	PRATIO	PNFI	PCFI
Default model	,862	,740	,768
Saturated model	,000	,000	,000
Independence model	1,000	,000	,000

NCP

Model	NCP	LO 90	HI 90
Default model	611,041	526,513	703,134
Saturated model	,000	,000	,000
Independence model	5620,345	5374,156	5872,905

FMIN

Model	FMIN	F0	LO 90	HI 90
Default model	2,083	1,535	1,323	1,767
Saturated model	,000	,000	,000	,000
Independence model	14,757	14,121	13,503	14,756

RMSEA

Model	RMSEA	LO 90	HI 90	PCLOSE
Default model	,084	,078	,090	,000
Independence model	,236	,231	,242	,000

AIC

Model	AIC	BCC	BIC	CAIC
Default model	945,041	952,485	1176,401	1234,401
Saturated model	552,000	587,422	1652,953	1928,953
Independence model	5919,345	5922,297	6011,091	6034,091

ECVI

Model	ECVI	LO 90	HI 90	MECVI
Default model	2,374	2,162	2,606	2,393
Saturated model	1,387	1,387	1,387	1,476
Independence model	14,873	14,254	15,507	14,880

HOELTER

Model	HOELTER .05	HOELTER .01
Default model	122	130
Independence model	20	21

Minimization: ,078
 Miscellaneous: 3,682
 Bootstrap: ,000
 Total: 3,760